GAIA Program Is Valid And LEGAL

Global Alliance alongside the gold Dinar will work wonders in Southeast Asia.
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RE: ERAP AND ATONG, BANGSAMORO FEDERAL STATE, SULTANATE OF SULU, V.K. DURHAM AND A RUMOR MILL, FLOATING NUCLEAR “MICRO-POWER PLANTS”

WHICH IS FOREIGN: WE OR THEE?

Hatonn—In this world of instant electronic communication, we are each at a loss as to what, exactly, means “foreign”.

In the U.S., for instance, a corporation in “another” state is “foreign” and in most countries where a person is not a local citizen he is a “foreigner”—except of course when he is labeled “alien”.

Please recognize that visitors on visa authorizations which are lawful and properly processed are still but visitors—even in this electronic age. Therefore, all categories can describe us in various ways and mostly the categorization means NOTHING. But let us look at some of the things which take place constantly between nations to suit the needs of the Elite bullshippers.

In the case of the unlawful (unconstitutional) cast-out of one, Estrada from the Presidency, in favor of seating little miss muffit Arroyo, the entire basis of a phony impeachment process (never even half completed before being dumped in the trash heap by prosecution lawyers stomping out of the Senate), a man was unseated on SOLE testimony of a KNOWN AND ADMITTED CRIMINAL PROVINCE GOVERNOR DEALING IN CRIMINAL GAMBLING, PLUNDER (TAKING PUBLIC FUNDS FOR PERSONAL USE) AND THROUGH WHICH HE BECAME A NATIONAL HERO. This person is most often identified as Luis “Chavit” Singson. These manipulators have as many as a dozen or more labels.

Ah, in the same case, after the Senate debacle, the deposed President was put into holding in a medical center instead of jail while being forced (literally) into “chains” along with his son, the then mayor of the most prestigious areas in the Philippines and to which his half-brother is now seated to that authority.

Named in the case is a Charlie “Atong” Ang whom “Chavit” accused of being the immediate distributor of criminal funds (plunder and gambling) to said President “Erap” Estrada whose name is Joseph Erijcita or as now accused, Jose Velarde. However, Jose Velarde is actually somebody ELSE now recognized by the court but too confusing to further fragment this little preface tale. Jose Velarde is actually Jaime Dichaves (pronounced Hiemie). See what I mean?

Charlie split to the U.S.—NEVADA to be specific. He went to Las Vegas where he had quite a lot of fun and games before he was arrested in preparation to extradite him back to the Philippines to testify in the Presidential debacle. So, he was arrested and held without bail by the U.S. Feds.

This was to be a real COUP, readers, because the U.S. wanted a Mark Jimenez back in the U.S. for MAJOR BIG TIME tax evasion, illegal campaign contributions, buying favors from Clinton and on and on and on. This is a world-class rat whose name, you guessed it, is not “Mark Jimenez” but rather

(Continued on page 2)
has a dozen names according to need in whatever country he happens to be in habituation.

This is not the remarkable thing about Mark, however. Mark is now a Congressional Representative from the 6th District, Manila. Well, enough of this, for there is no way to briefly untangle the web now stuck together more compressed than a golf ball ruptured and exploding in every direction.

The point is that THIS WEEK the court in Las Vegas, Nevada made a decision to GRANT BAIL to Ang because they could not concur with the unlawful railroading of said “Ang” on the sole testimony of a and KNOWN criminal.

By the way, you don’t get recognized to be within the ten top most corrupt governments in the world except through “everybody” in tow being at least as corrupt as the next. The bond of protection is through the ability to totally blackmail one another. THAT IN ITSELF IS AS OLD AS LONG BEFORE THE ZIONIST PROTOCOLS AS A FUNCTIONAL WAY TO KEEP CONTROL.

Anyway, this ruling of the court in Nevada dumps the truth of the corruption of the Philippine judicial and recognizes the conspiracy that unseated Erap and the unconstitutional takeover by Arroyo’s thugs. The “stuff” is flying in every direction as you might guess.

Now, we have the President (Arroyo) signing in on a treaty with the U.S.—kept totally secret until after the signing, a logistics treaty that effectively allows the U.S., FOR WAR PURPOSES, to have bases to stash arms, use ports for repairs and necessary maintenance, etc., for military purposes. Which, by the way, insures ability to have a MILITARY presence in the Philippines which insures capability of the further stripping and shipping out of the wealth thereof. Gold flows like water FROM here to there and Philippines which insures capability of the further ability to have a MILITARY presence in the Philippines which insures capability of the further stripping and shipping out of the wealth thereof.

Some are realizing that it is better to have gold and are working diligently at shifting some to their own coffers—i.e., players in the CIA, etc.

The point is that it is anticipated by the “insiders” that a coup is “imminent” with the couplers being a real SURPRISE. However, since we know the players as told to us, we suggest that it is BS or rumor placement (starters) or it isn’t such a big SECRET.

Since there needs to be a precipitating incident, however, it appears the newest agreement with the U.S. may well be the deciding bellringer (pun intended). The U.S. is involved to its ears and that is above its eyes in the planning and most of those “negotiators” (instructors) are billeted next door in the most expensive hotel in Southeast Asia—the Penn.

So, what will happen and more specifically TO US?

Time wasted and then we can move forward, for some of the new players “say” they will move to gain backup from GAIA’s program while causing the Central Bank, et al., to bring home the gold. Who knows? Not even “The Shadow” knows in this world any longer. Can we wait? GIVE ME AN ALTERNATIVE, PLEASE.

Here, the daily news is FILLED with recognition or speculation that the DOLLAR is in dastardly trouble and the frantic fits and starts of trying to get into something more stable than the dollar is working its own “black” magic. It is panic in Corruptionville.

ABOUT THAT NESARA

You may as well LAUGH AT SELF now because of that which is written above, about the dollar, is the Waterloo at hand.

You who feed on the “Dove” nonsense along with Bellringer’s clanging, please reconsider your position. Check out the “Real NESARA” on that prefab Internet and you will find it has gone NOWHERE! It is not even a reasonable nor “possible” tool for anything. The dollar already has no meaning and I warn you, even a treaty agreement AFTER 1932 is of no value whatsoever except for the manipulation purposes of the Elite. NESARA even as presented is based on NOTHING except banking and dollar manipulations. It is BS (BalderSwach) and if YOU support such drivel, you are also guilty of conspiracy to defraud EVERYBODY, not just the people who sign up on Dovie’s or Bellringer’s Websites.

BUT ABOUT THAT “GAIA”

The Global Alliance Investment Association (GAIA) is based totally on GOLD which is presented WITH a deed of assignment for consideration which holds secure the VALUE and doubles the reserves of any transaction. That couldn’t be better for anyone, even the banks, for it brings home that gold filched out to the Four Corners of the Globe by those International Banker Cartel (IBC) conglomerates. We CAN work nicely with Warehouse Receipts from anywhere on the planet. There is SUPPOSED TO BE over 400,000 metric tons of gold in the “Tallano Estate” alone, right in Manila. Is it? Well, if it isn’t, then we will be happy to accept receipts from wherever it criminally WENT.

And oh indeed, the GAIA program is valid and LEGAL. We have proof of its validity and we continue to get backup—right from places like London, etc. This week (yesterday) to be specific.

The contact party said that the only problem is that it is simply given as warning about using the instruments because of the fact that they ARE quite legal but not in keeping with the IBC. We are now even offered by some “subsidiary” of the IMF-WB to take at least $10 trillion “off our hands” and “they” will manage it FOR US for solely, of course, humanitarian ventures. This would be THROUGH another Southeast Asian country to protect everybody. Well, I doubt “everybody”, don’t you?

We already had a biggie from a couple of years ago where the Knights of Malta wanted the whole bag and would relieve us of that awful job of sorting and shifting. Tempting? Oh yes it is because it would certainly fill our immediate needs a zillion times over while this game is offensive at best.

Just follow the bouncing ball, friends, and you will see that the Christmas song of these recent years—EVERY YEAR NOW SINCE THE LAST “GULF WAR”—is WAR. You are nearly to Christmas of sentimental family value and YOU MUST HAVE A WAR to rip asunder the global families and the immediate families—further reducing the planet into accepted war-status. It is now an annual ritual.

That is WHY the Mutual Logistcs “treaty” was just signed here in Manila—in order to start the military move-in. THAT in itself means that if the Philippines is to NOT become again the property of the U.S., action HAS TO BE TAKEN NOW! It is simple deduction, Dr. Watson.

Meanwhile you hang your Christmas stockings and apply your makeup to sham the observers. Bethlehem, the birthplace of this IDEA IDEAL is again, this year, under TOTAL SIEGE. “May you live in INTERESTING times!”

ARE THERE ANY GOOD MEN IN SODOM?

Yes, and we have found a few who even last to the first bribe “sellout”. This team has learned to NEVER hug those flexible or have champagne in advance of ANYTHING. We just keep turning the eggs and hope a few hatch.

I would like, however, to recognize a program proposal published by one of the more prominent Senators here, Aquilino Pimentel, on the possibilities of the Mindanao problem solution.

This, working with the groups who are struggling with GAIA down South, would put Mindanao onto the map while flowering like the long dormant fig tree. Will it happen?

No, not if nobody does anything to MAKE IT HAPPEN.

I would ask that Mark dig out the Islamic Banking material I offered (now several years ago)—to go with those hundreds of DEEDS OF LONG AGO and start running them so that we have it all right out there in published format—AGAIN. (August 3-13, 1999) [MM: Please see page 5.]

Our program, alongside the new intended purpose of the gold Dinar as a common-value currency in Southeast Asia, WILL WORK WONDERS—IF OUR PEOPLE LIVE LONG ENOUGH. Moreover, it would be an incredible legacy left by Dr. Mahathir as he steps down next year in October.

****Personal comments to “Ekkers” and team: (Yes, just leave in the writing, for we don’t keep secrets—even, unfortunately, from our deadliest enemies.) We DO try to protect, as in “privacy”, as much and as many as we can.

You will find that the Gilarmi hotel is now open for reservations through February—at least a month’s extension from the demolition squads. You will, however, also notice that a new paint job is being conducted. That is hardly a likely thing...
on which to expend funds if you are going to take
down the building “imminently”.

Just never mind, for we needed you moved and
settled—and even though you are a ways from
settlement, you are shifted and functional.

You are going to be bombarded by “visitors” who
now smell opportunity moving closer. Be gracious and
serve if you can conveniently do so—but do not
EXPEND EITHER FUNDS OR TOO MUCH ‘TIME’.

Treat it as good news “omens” and let ferment properly.

For readers, we have petitions and visitation
registration from Russia (With Love), Australia, London
and the U.S. Fine, we love them all and perhaps they
can begin to help pay the dinner bills now that the hotel
demands cash! I think you may well find that people who aren’t just “singing” for their supper, may well start to keep better BUSINESS HOURS.

THAT, however, is the beauty of having resided so
long at Gilarmi—YOU ARE STILL WELCOME.

Abused, however, the request will be that you do not give
at least three people in their facilities. Buying
those meals has kept the door open to the “meeting room” without charge.

When it becomes necessary we will arrange for the
Board Room area in the “new” residence building but at
present we don’t even desire or want the added expense or burden, please. Therefore, the longer Gilarmi
stays open, the better for our position because of the
proximity and the good relationships established.

It will ease a bit when the phones are finally
transferred and at that time you will need, yes, to
immediately get in touch with those such as Jeffers because you will have been “off line” for
longer than a month to most people.

Yes, Dharma, the most important thing you can do
is what you are doing regarding the sad state of repair
of the apartment itself. Clean it and disinfect it.

I know, it is difficult when the leaks are coming from the
floor above—but you CAN keep it disinfected with a bit of care, bleach and regular attention.

As for the agreed-on things—stay right on the
owners because a month has slipped and they have
done nothing save miss every agreement. The things
available, during this interim time of functioning, will
make do until better direction is visible. They have
problems also and renters are notoriously bad
upkeep partners. If you stay longer, then you can
gradually upgrade as is comfortable and appropriate.
Just “get through” for now.

And yes, I am well aware that V.K. has gotten old
buddies who have also misrepresented our position, yea Rayelan R. to print her drivel. It is fine, chelas, and a
long way down the old detour route. Let them stir, for
all that is achieved is more privacy in the long-run for
them and as well as their cronies. V.K. DURHAM HAS
“NO” (NO) BEARING ON ANYTHING WE (GALA)
ARE DOING—PERIOD AND END OF DEBATE.
All the belling and ranting [MM: and Bellringer-eng] will
mean nothing—for it ALREADY means NOTHING.

DO NOT DO SLOPPY WORK, FRIENDS—
AND THE MORE QUICKLY THAT IS
UNDERSTOOD, THE BETTER. V.K. Durham drove
the fraudulent nails into her own coffin (figure of speaking) and no ill-intent intended. The more “they”
publish, the more quickly will come their target zone.
WE ARE NOT THE ENEMY and the more of those
disinformation circles and sites carrying the nonsense,
the better for our side. Ms. Russbacher discredited
herself long, long ago. “Stupid is as stupid does!”
Please let us return to the upstart topics, i.e., the
solutions presented by Sen. Pimentel.

There will be war in the Philippines until the people
of these Islands are recognized and have representation. It is purely simple to understand.


TIME TO OFFER FEDERALISM SCHEME
to MILF in the Peace Talks
By Sen. Aquilino Pimentel

Instead of offering “cosmetic” solutions to the
inexcusable Moro rebellion, I urged the government to
formally propose the creation of a Bangsa Moro
Federal State within the ambit of the Philippine
Republic at the resumption of the formal peace talks
with the Moro Islamic Liberation Front (MILF).

There is no other formula to end the incessant
Moro armed struggles and bring about peace and
development in Mindanao and the rest of the
country than the adoption of the federal system of
government with 10 component federal states,
including a Bangsa Moro Federal State.

In the Bangsa Moro Federal State, the Moros would
have ample space to preserve, protect and promote their
culture among their own people and in their own
federal state. At the reopening of the formal talks
in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia in mid-December, the
government panel is reportedly set to offer a “political
package” to the MILF. However, there is some
confusion as to whether or not the talks are proceeding
smoothly or are sputtering to a premature conclusion.

I don’t see the peace negotiations going anywhere
if the government offers nothing more substantial to
the MILF than what the government had already offered
to the Moro National Liberation Front. If that is the case, and
I think that is the case, the peace talks with the MILF would
simply be another cosmetic session that would not lead
to a just and peaceful settlement of the Moro grievances.

Both the government and the MILF are apparently
going through the motions of talking peace to buy time. The government may want to buy time to avoid having to fight in several fronts; the
MILF may want to buy time to rearm itself and gather strength to fight a new war.

In the light of the government’s long history of
dealing with the Moros of Mindanao, cosmetic
concessions offered to the Moro have never
assuaged their fundamental grievances.

The most critical demand of the Muslim Filipinos
is the institutionalization of the Shari’ah as their major
operating legal system. The recognition that the Moro
government its lives pursuant to the Shari’ah in the
Bangsah Moro Federal State may be the major step
that the government could take to ease the tensions
that continue to characterize their relationship.

However, this does not in any way suggest that
the Shari’ah as practiced in Iran or Saudi Arabia
should allow the stoning to death of female
adulterers, the cutting off the hands of thieves, or the
caging of criminals.

As a result of my consultations with
parliamentarians of Egypt, Indonesia and Malaysia,
known Muslims but secular states, I have gathered that
the harsh features of the Shari’ah may be avoided and
made to jibe with the strictures of modern penology.

Also it bears noting that in our proposal, the
Shari’ah may be applied only to adherents of the
Islamic faith. If controversy should arise between
a Moro and a Christian or a Moro and a Lumad or
a Christian and a Lumad, national law would apply.

[END QUOTING]

Oh, I don’t think there has ever been nor shall be
a stoning to death of a woman BECAUSE she has out-
of-wedlock children in that environment. It is common
practice, certainly, just among the Elite of THIS society.

In the Muslim faith, for instance, there can be
upwards to at least ten “wives”, so where does
THAT leave the rules and regulations according to
random interpretation in idiotic presentation? These
are such feeble and ignorant interpretations of
Shari’ah “law” as to cause a lot of harping going on.

Let us, however, give some credit to realization that
having some autonomy in honest recognition, and
FUNDING would solve the confrontation problems.

WE BUTT OUT. Regardless of how we KNOW it
to be—it is purely POLITICAL in nature and pattern and our
agreements are to NOT INTERFERE IN THE BS.

And yes, “offering” takes a lot longer than showing
something down a throat or two just because we
are bigger and stronger.

Do we somehow condone “hand chopping”? No, but
neither do we condone murder, or any other of
your “civilized” practices of injustice. Remember that
when there was “stoning”, there were no lead bullets and
YOU would have been right out there in your narrow
self-importance throwing rocks. Oh yes, YOU.

Been there and done that—and the records DO NOT LIE.

LET’S TURN TO A BIT OF INPUT ABOUT
“ANOTHER” VERSION OF THE SULTAN OF SULU.

It should be noted that just yesterday, in anticipation
of the removal of “the injunction” of which we have
referred, Prince Tallano (the court-appointed
administrator of the “Estate”) held a meeting with some
100-150 attendees. No, “we” did NOT attend because
we are incidental in the agreements we have under
consideration. All that can be hoped for as things unfold
is a gathering together of those who DO PARTICIPATE
through the very lines of heritage themselves.

And yes indeed, it DOES have important
connections with the above Pimentel suggestions.

Of all the land titles in the Philippines—especially in
the Manila area alone—most of them are fraudulent and
KNOWN TO BE FRAUDULENT. That is NOT our
business; our agreement is to assist in securing resolution
of prior COURT ORDERS, with the above-named
ADMINISTRATOR. We have no agreement as to
partnership or venture with “other” in that arrangement.

We DO have major input to the Moros and joint
venture adventures—OF LONG, LONG STANDING
OF NOW SOME THREE, AT LEAST, YEARS.

We offer what is presented and make few
corrections even if we recognize the presentations
may well be incorrect—but this is, again, NOT OF
OUR BUSINESS. WHO for instance, may be
recognized as “the” operating “Sultan” or Sultanate
is not our affair. We can love them all equally and let
those involved sort it properly—or improperly, if that
be the case. We tend our business in honor and
integrity and that is sufficient to our directives.

The rest is simply of interest and SHOULD be
of interest, for it is a hub around which an amazing
“wheel” rotates. I would note, however, to have
stability a “thing” must have at least three “wheels”
to move about properly. Otherwise you need
gyroscopes and other stabilizing parts.
This will predominantly bring attention to “Sultan HJ Rodinood Kiram II” and yes, E.J. knows him—along with another couple of “Kiram’s”. Sultan Rodinood was rather formally put into position about three weeks ago by his “line” another couple of “Kirams”. Sultan Julaspi was rather formal about this article (and pictures that formally put into position about three weeks ago by his “line” another couple of “Kirams”)

Of interest, however, is the following from THE MINDANAO CHRONICLE, Feb. 20-26, 2002. Therefore, it is noted that this article (and pictures that have we no way to offer) was long prior to any meeting of a few weekends ago, and Sultan crowning.

[QUOTING]

the untold story of the wealth of the SULTAN OF SULU

(Copy provided via Erick San Juan)

The wealth of the Sultan of Sulu, Sultan Jamalul Kiram II, consisting of 617,500 metric tons (gold) were brought to Manila in the year 1935. ([H: Readers, that is a very important year in any consideration or holding.] through his trustees namely: JULIAN MACLEOD TALLANO, (grandson of Alfred Dent, one of the lesseres of the Sultanate of Sulu on January 22, 1878) and Rev. Father Antonio Diaz aka Col. Severino Garcia Sta. Romana. The total assets were transported to Vatican City in 1939 to protect the items in the escalating World War II. After the World War II the said trustees brought back the metals in the Philippines in 1949 through the assistance of the late Pres. Ferdinand Marcos who then acted as their lawyer. The gold bullion was lent (loaned) to the Republic of the Philippines through the Sultan’s trustee, Rev. Antonio Diaz by the arrangement of his young brilliant lawyer, Atty. Ferdinand E. Marcos in 1949. The assets were lent to the national government to meet the reserve requirements of the newly installed Phil. Central Bank. That the said gold bullion reserve paramountly attributed in the stability of the peso value between 1949 to 1960, ranging the peso value of P2.00 for one U.S. Dollar to P4.00 to U.S.$1.

But beyond the knowledge of the Filipino people, the basic root that caused the Marcos-Macapagal (GMA’s father) split was that the unlawful acts of the Senate President Ferdinand E. Marcos which emanated from the illegal transport of some three (3) metric tons of gold nuggets to London and another seven (7) metric tons to Zurich, Germany without permission from the private owner, the Royal Family and of no consent of the then President Diosdado Macapagal were taking place all of September 23, 1963, considering that the gold bullion was part and portion of the 617,500 metric tons entrusted to the Phil. Government on January 7, 1949 by the caretaker of the Royal Clan, Rev. Father Jose Antonio Diaz, aka Col. Severino Garcia Sta. Romana.

Gold bullion inventory remained intact in the Central Bank vaults up to 1964, except that some 10 metric tons in the presence of Father Diaz were forcibly withdrawn on September 23, 1963 by the Senate Security Force led by the then ex-Senate Pres. Ferdinand E. Marcos and who became the President of the Republic of the Philippines in 1965. Some 217,500 metric tons between 1965 and 1970 were illegally transported to different countries in Red China, Hong Kong, Switzerland, Australia, U.S.A. and in England. Only 400,000 metric tons of gold bullion were left in Central Bank vaults.

Being the caretaker of the Royal Family, Rev. Father Antonio Diaz was the authorized signatory for the withdrawal documents of the said quantity and had no choice but to sign.

From the year 1970 onward late Pres. Marcos did not use the authority of the Royal Clan trustee, Father Diaz, but instead, convinced the only son of Sultan Jamalul Kiram II, Sultan Julaspi Kiram II to give him full power of authority to withdraw the remaining gold bullion. Sultan Julaspi who was then in Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia, did not hesitate to agree to the idea, for he was hoping that Marcos would help him in getting back the Sultanate of Sulu and North Borneo wherein land is privately owned by the Sultan. Sultan Julaspi Kiram died in frustration because Marcos was not true to his promise. He fooled Sultan Julaspi. He manipulated the assets by putting it in the name of his assigned trustee, Evelinda Bobila (nurse Dona Josefa, the mother of Marcos) under Master Account of the “Cactus Dahila EB 101” using different Code Names and transporting it to different parts of the world. Late Pres. Marcos died before Sultan Julaspi Kiram II. In 1995 Evelinda Bobila went to Kota Kinabalu to look for the eldest son of Sultan Julaspi Kiram who is the rightful heir to the throne, Prince Hadji Rodinood Sultan Julaspi Kiram II, telling him the tremendous wealth of the Sultanate and giving him full access to the accounts because according to her it was the instruction of the late Pres. Marcos before he died to look for Sultan Julaspi Kiram and return all the assets to the true owner but unfortunately Sultan Julaspi died in 1994 so it was his son, Prince Hadji Rodinood, who has the right to all the assets of the Sultanate. Since 1995 the Prince tried to [gain] access to the different accounts but because of lack of financial capacity until this time, not even one of the accounts has been moved. Several times that he almost moved the accounts through the help of different people from different countries but it was always to his frustrations that all the contracts they offered him to sign were only for their benefit.

Prince Hadji Rodinood Sultan Julaspi Kiram is welcoming private individuals, organizations or government entities to help him regain his sovereignty and the assets of the Sultanate of Sulu and North Borneo.

[END QUOTING]

There are many incorrect bits of information in the above presentation but the bottom line is that there are such entanglements as to be all but impossible to sort the web. However, that is where GAIA can function most effectively— IN THE ABILITY TO BRING ORDER INTO A FRAGMENTED AND DISORGANIZED GROUP, GET THE ASSETS INTO A FOUNDATION WHEREBY ALL PARTIES TO THE ARRANGEMENT HAVE INPUT WITHOUT RANCOR. WE CAN DO THAT, THANK YOU.

Now, when you listen and absorb the nonsense offered by such as a V.K. Durham and a Rumor Mill—you err, people.

The POINT in this little bit of historical reality is that there are 400,000 metric tons of gold SUPPOSED TO BE IN THE LOCAL CENTRAL BANK (NOW A PRIVATE ENTITY—SINCE RAMOS) AND IT HAS “OWNERS” DENIED RIGHTS THROUGH TOTALLY CONSPIRATORIAL CORRUPTION.

No, we do not draw FROM anything nor take ANYTHING. We back the reserves and present A WAY to resolve the problems while enhancing ability to have more working funds for the rightful OWNERS OF THE NATION, THE PEOPLE.

Value goes immediately in protecting the assets by gold holdings now recognized and open in accounting. The value moves to the Alliance for further input to the people themselves while a small portion (percentage) is made available for other endeavors of the Alliance itself. Now just what objection V.K. Durham has to such a program is a bit beyond comprehension of any right-thinking person, individual or coalition.

Did Russell Herman act intelligently? I would guess that indeed he did—in what he did and to whom he entrusted the assets for which he was murdered. However, even Sr. Bush can’t find anything against which to object. We don’t even accuse him of anything and can only enhance the image of the “great” United States of America and to any FREEDOM UNDER GOD FOR WHICH IT STOOD.

GAIA fraudulent? Come on, readers! Moreover, over four years in Manila has proven the good intentions and reality of “Ekkers” whom she besashes without letup. With only need of “discernment” of evidence—V.K. is a total FRAUD and that requires no “judgment” at all. So too, is Bellringer, Dove of Oneness. Russbacher and their teams of disinformation sites.

Again, this is NOT "judgment" but only conclusion based on solid output of information of the LYING kind. Therefore, this concludes by evidence that these people LIE but gives no judgment regarding them as persons one way or another. REACH YOUR OWN CONCLUSIONS and try, for a start, just basing your observations on reason and logic.

Let me just go back to NESARA and its “ANNOUNCEMENT” being so all-fired important. How is a collapsed dollar to solve anything—and that is exactly what NESARA would instantly do. Therefore, it becomes LOGICALLY and REASONABLY ILLOGICAL AND UNREASONABLE.

Furthermore, what V.K. Durham claims to have and hold is beyond fraudulent and fabricated—it is immoral in total concept. She has acted in either total ignorance or intentional deceit. She fabricated document after document and tried to grab all the gusto. She overplayed her hand and caught herself in her own noose.

She wants to claim her life is being threatened? I can’t imagine anyone more dangerous to V.K. Durham than V.K. Durham—by whatever name she chooses to call herself. She should be quite content to shut up lest she spend the rest of her retirement in the Big House. She is as culpable as a suspect in Russell Herman’s DEATH than ever could be George Bush Sr. whom she has accused over and over again—along with implication of many others who did not even personally know HER or Russell. She was THERE and had every motive of criminal mind to simply take him out. He outsmarted her and that is the simple base-line—and you people have lived long enough to witness it.

She wanted the whole “thing” then and she wants it now. It is, however, long since out of her reach because of her own incredible fraudulent actions. Leave her ranting and leave her to her ravings—and she will simply destroy the others in the nest of thieves and disinformation servers. It is the cycle of Truth “outing”.

Even yesterday with the information in writing yet, from London states that what we hold is good—but that the warnings are “not to use it”—YET.

Therefore Russbacher and their teams of disinformation sites—Russbacher and their teams of disinformation sites.
RUSSIA TO BUILD FLOATING NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

[MM: Originally published in CONTACT: 8/9/99, page 20 (Volume 25, Number 3); 8/16/99, page 14 (Volume 25, Number 4)]

8/3/99—#2 (12-352)

PROPHETS AND MESSENGERS

Hatonn—As follow-on to this morning’s communication, dharma, we will allow for better understanding of my input and my purpose.

I need not repeat the spiritual aspects of our mission at this time for it has all been said that needs speaking. What does it take for Man to open his eyes and see what is before him?

Because there is such a “religious” pull in things of the physical and most especially of the issue of money and trade, I must focus on those things which are used by the adversarial cartels and cabals to bring a world to ruin and into slavery.

I find that it is basically that those of “religious” bent do not have as readily available to their attention the things of great business, capitalism, production of products and especially are the banking services and exchanges fraught with obstacles to understanding.

But KNOW, THE LAWS OF GOD DO NOT CHANGE AND IN THE AGES COME PAST THE EXPERIENCING, GOOD REGULATIONS IN TRUTH AND ALLAH’S WAY HAVE COME FORTH AND BEEN PRESENTED OVER AND OVER AGAIN.

This writing will not be “new” but will be appropriate to the time of the “now” experience.

There is an Islamic Bank structured and in great confusion as we write, in the Philippines. You call it the Amanah Bank. It has fallen into total disarray to the extent that it is considered to be sold to a Jewish entity (IBC), but it would allow for use of gold-holdings as collateral. The point is, students, that you have already available for your use, if you but take the opportunity, to move directly and immediately into a system suitable for global structuring without running through the established cartel banks.

Some of you will not even know of what I speak, having no idea of one banking system from that of another, so you in leadership positions must take note as I try to lay out in concept an explanation of principles.

If you do not change your attitudes and bring value and responsibility into this system which controls your very survival, you shall wallow in the worthless chits thrown about upon you-the-people.

I will make an effort to write in some type of language that you receivers might understand, as well as in some semblance of a presentation my scribe might accept, even without understanding of the language or the text concept. So if each of you will bear with me, perhaps we can bring forth some reminders of the Law as relates to “money” or “value”, as would be in need of utilizing banking institutions.

PRINCIPLES OF SHARIAH IN THE ISLAMIC BANKING SYSTEM

VALUES BACKED, non-usurious principles are anathema to IBC’s present debt-slavery system.

Like any other financial institution, the main function of Islamic banks is to mobilize savings and idle funds in the economy and make them available to those who can make better and fuller use of them. Since Islam prohibits interest in all forms, Islamic banks have to look for permissible rewards and business relationships between those who provide funds and those who use the same. Ultimately, the first step to be taken in this search is to make reference to the original source of Islamic laws, i.e., Al-Quran followed by Hadith. Thus, we find in the Al-Quran revelations that relate to justice, the commands to not offer bribes, to give true evidence, and to give full weight and measure. Contracts are safeguarded by commands to put them in writing, to call witnesses, to give securities when there is no scribe available, to fulfill all obligations and to return a trust or deposit to its owners. There are also abundant Hadiths pertaining to sales, as-Salam (a sale in which price is paid for goods to be delivered later), renting, al-Hawala (transference of debt from one person to another), loans, payment of loans, freezing of property, bankruptcy, partnership, mortgaging, witnesses and conditions.

I would point out before we go further—that my scribe does NOT wish to do this work for me or for you. It has been near a year that we have come with our offerings unto you the people of Southeast Asia. We have been put aside, ignored, ridiculed and threatened. Now, to serve in such as Mindanao, where hostages are taken and even beheaded, is to be considered carefully. How can mankind grow if lawlessness and terror come upon the very messengers sent to deliver the gifts?

Therefore, I command that there is respect, even in disbelief of the gift itself, which comes in total BALANCE so that responsibility is equally shared as within the Laws. Privacy must be maintained and all transactions accomplished in this privacy. We wish no “credits” or “acclaims”, for that is for you who would dare to step forward to create change in your own nations and circles.

There will be no moving about from home location to ANYWHERE—those who would avail themselves of our gifts must come to our secure place where privacy is respected and no public note is made. Neither can my people have funds to support any transactions of
further travel. Intent is always good but the road to Hell, my friends, is paved with those good intentions. People agree to serve, go forth and are immediately distracted and somehow “know better than Allah”, as to what might be done to gain even greater funding than the “unlimited” available, if simply handled within the LAW.

You have the gold for collateral, we offer the RESERVE to purchase the gold. Then the holding bank has the assets to issue currency, lines of credit for projects and general handling of currency and assets according to laws of the Land and Islam. The concept is simple, the reserves available, and same have been made valid and confirmed by the Treasury and Federal Reserve of the United States of America. However, these departments, realizing the massive size of the value, will not openly publicize any such asset—but neither will they deny same. The U.S. dollar is a worthless “note”, with no support or backing. This is not something any party in knowing would wish to divulge. However, it is neither important nor a condition of use. Once collateralized with gold in any given location, the funds are covered and can be utilized properly. We have carefully structured the use to hold privacy in uppermost importance for the protection of all involved.

I do not, however, wish to dwell on documentation for that is all available, proved-up, and ready for transfer. So we must turn back to the modern banking system and relationships with Godly banking systems.

Although both Al-Quran and Hadith have given some basic principles in performing business transactions, these principles sometimes are not directly related to the modern banking system. Therefore, it is a duty of contemporary Muslim scholars to establish principles which do not violate the Shariah, and at the same time are applicable to the present banking system. Among the most common principles which are widely discussed in Islamic banking literature are the principles of mudaraba, musharaka, murabaha, ijara, hijara, waiktina, qard hassan, and rahn.

This principle of mudaraba is actually one of those principles of business dealing that existed before the Prophet’s time and flourished after him (Saleh, 1986). Ibn Rushid, in his book Bidayat al-Mujtahid, says (Uzair, 1980):

“And there is no difference of opinion among the Muslims about the legality of qirad. It was an institution in the pre-Islamic period and Islam confirmed it. They all agree that its form is that a person gives to another person some capital that he uses in business. The user gets, according to conditions, some specified proportion of the profit, i.e., any proportion they agree, one third, one fourth or even one half.”

For Islamic financial institutions, the word mudaraba is basically an agreement between at least two parties, that is, a lender, sometimes known as an investor (rabb al-mal), and an entrepreneur, also known as an agent-manager (mudarib). In the case of loss where this loss is a result of circumstances beyond the control of the mudarib, the investor will bear all financial risk and the mudarib loses his time and effort only.

We through GAIA offer a “minimum” of half and then, because of the need for unity and support in the beginnings of our joint endeavors, leave the gold in the bank and, in addition, leave 80% of the GAIA share to be used for support. The only portion that the “lender” (GAIA) will or would remove from the local location for use elsewhere would be the remaining 20% of his portion. That also would be backed by value (gold) to insure any line of credit and will be utilized in other nations to insure start-up financing costs and to establish Islamic-style banking systems in the Sovereign Native American nations for the building of facilities for serving those nations in every social, spiritual and financial manner necessary to become self-sustaining, productive republics.

GAIA is an alliance (international) and as we move forward it is hoped that people of areas and nations will join with us and allow for resources to flow out in abundance so that freedom might be returned to the lands.

Allah promised that HE would send His gifts and HIS messengers bearing the gifts in this time of evolvement into a new era. The time of Satan is to be put behind and the cabals shall fall. This can be established and accomplished through peaceful means of regaining control of the wealth back to the people, and those enemies of Allah shall be put down. There is no need for war, for he shall fall of his own volition once control is again assumed by the people.
banks are the rabb al-mal and the borrowers are the mudarib. The reason why mudaraba is considered a noble principle in Islamic banking is because it represents a happy combination of financial means and human resources within the accepted Shariah standards. It is true that mudaraba capital is given without any labor, yet is expected to be rewarded. But this is because it is risk capital in the sense that the investors have accepted the risk of a business venture. In this case, if the investment is successful, the investors will recover their capital together, with a pre-agreed proportional share of profits; but in case of loss, they may lose all or part of their invested capital.

This will not be applicable to GAIA because we will function purely on values of the gold collateral itself. And, further, should a project come into hard times, the project is secured and backed up further by the GAIA portion of the venture, but the project is secured because the gold is the product which would be recovered by the bank, and not the property or project.

**MUSHARAKA**

Musharaka or sharika is normally translated in English as “partnership”, and Shariah divides sharika into two broad categories, namely, sharikat mulk (property partnership) and sharikat ‘aqd (contractual partnership). There is another form of partnership called mudaraba, but this type of partnership is usually discussed separately in the books of Islamic jurisprudence. Broadly speaking, sharikat mulk involves the joint ownership of a property without its joint exploitation, such as the joint ownership of a house transmitted, by devolution, to the heirs of a deceased person. On the other hand, sharikat ‘aqd emphasizes the joint exploitation of capital and the joint participation in profits and losses, where joint ownership is a consequence of, and not a prerequisite for, the formation of the partnership.

There are three different methods in establishing the Sharikat aqad. If money is the main criterion in the formation of the partnership, the partnership is called sharikat mal, or finance partnership. In the case of a partnership based on a partner’s experience or skill, the partnership is called a labor partnership, or sharikat a’mal. Sharikat a’mal is further divided into four categories, namely: sharikat fi’al al bi aydhima (a partnership of work with hands), sharikat bila’mal (a partnership in work), sharikat as-sana’i (a partnership of crafts), and sharikat attaqabbul (a partnership of acceptance of work). The School of Shafiis, however, does not recognize the existence of labor partnership.

These, thus far, do not actually apply to a GAIA program because it is truly a joint-venture in that, when funded and collateralized, GAIA does not participate further than a general interest in seeing a project grow, and the parties of the project are totally responsible for their own project and management. If asked to do so, GAIA will, however, try to help acquire knowledgeable participants who might contribute to expertise skills applicable to any said project, i.e., contractors, skilled trainers in use of equipment, machining, etc. This is ONLY should they be asked to assist, and then the teams would only be for support to help train local participants.

This would allow many overseas workers to have good jobs and stay home with families and also be very productive while building a stable economy. One day, therefore, there would be the most stable economies and abundantly paid workers. All aspects of social living could then be enhanced through education, training, and self-esteem. Living standards can be achieved that will surpass the most progressive societies.

It should be noted that when credit alone is used for the partnership investment, the partnership is known as sharikat wuujh or credit partnership. Each of these, sharikat mal, sharikat a’mal and sharikat wuujh, can take the form of unlimited, unrestricted and equal partnership (known to Hanafis as mufawada), or the form of a limited investment partnership (sharikat ‘inan). Either of these might prove helpful in establishing flow of funds as might be found appropriate.

In the context of Islamic banking, however, the musharaka is obviously the “inan sharikat mal”, or a “finance-limited investment partnership”, otherwise popularly known as “participating financing”, where money is the main feature in the formation of the partnership. Specifically, musharaka means a joint-venture agreement between two parties to engage in a specific business activity, with the aim of making profit. The termination of an agreement may be based on time or after fulfillment of a certain condition. In this principle, both parties will provide capital and the investor or lender may also participate in the management.

Profit will be shared between the two parties in the agreed ratio and the ratio need not coincide with the ratio of participation in the financing of the activity. However, in the event of a loss, all parties bear the loss in proportion to their share of financing.

With GAIA there is no loss—for the entire structure is based on the value of the gold which will be valued always at a price to cover any funds released. There are only simple agreements which do not constitute any type of “security”, nor does the transaction or agreements fall into regulation as a security or exchange commodity. Any such use of funds in these categories is utilized AFTER the termination of the “joint-venture”. All participants will be totally responsible for their actions within the LAWS. GAIA will not he responsible for any misuse or abuse of laws or funds. The bylaws and articles of incorporation of GAIA state clearly that we are an association and an alliance and beyond the agreements and joint venture, we have no responsibility to or from the projects or of the former joint-venture participant.

**MURABAHA**

This is basically the sale of goods at a price covering the purchase price plus a profit margin agreed upon by both parties concerned. Murabaha transforms a traditional lending activity into a sale and purchase agreement, under which the lender buys raw materials, goods or equipment required by the borrower for resale to the borrower at a higher price agreed upon by both parties. Under this principle, Islamic banks are no longer to share profits and losses, but instead assume the role of a normal business entity. Although all schools of law have accepted this principle as a legal device in business transactions, there are two aspects with regard to the implementation of this principle that have created some misconceptions among Muslim scholars. The first aspect regards the amount of profit or mark-up that the vendor is entitled to receive from the said transaction. The second aspect involves the options available to the purchaser when he discovers that the price he has to pay is unduly inflated.

For the purpose of the mark-up, Hanbalis deem that all actual expenses incurred as regards the commodity, the object to murabaha, can be added to the capital (price), provided that the purchaser is made aware of the amount of these expenses and their origins. Similarly, the Shafiis insist on the additional requirement that the fee earned, in principle by the vendor, or the fee that should have been paid to a third party, had he not volunteered to perform a work gratis, cannot be added to the murabaha price unless that is specifically accepted by the purchaser.

Malikis divide expenses into three categories: first, expenses that can be added to the capital (price) and constitute a basis for a calculation of the profit; second, expenses that can be added into capital but cannot serve for the calculation of the profit; and third, expenses that can neither be added to the capital nor taken into account for the calculation of the profit. Take a shoe factory, for example. The costs of raw materials, cleaning, processing, dying and tailoring are included in the mark-up price. The costs of storage and transportation of the finished goods are considered expenses that can be added into capital but cannot be used for mark-up purposes. Other indirect costs, such as the commission paid to a middleman, advertisements, donations, etc., are to be excluded from the calculation of profit. Hanafis have the simplest approach to this practice. The seller (vendor) is entitled to add to the price, all expenses accepted normally by commercial practice and incurred as regards the commodity object of the murabaha, regardless of whether or not the expenses have affected the commodity itself, or were merely incurred because of the nature of the commodity.

IN THE CASE OF GAIA, THERE IS BUILT INTO THE ORIGINAL FUNDING AMOUNT, EXPENSES FOR DOING THE TRANSACTION ITSELF. THEREFORE, THERE WOULD BE NO NEED FOR CONSIDERATION FOR PRODUCT PRICING AS IS REFERRED TO HERE.

According to Shafiis, when the purchaser discovers that the price he has paid is unduly inflated to the murabaha vendor and the object is still in the purchaser’s possession, he is then entitled to return the object to the vendor and have his money refunded, or he can keep the object and claim the undue increase. The Malikis grant the purchaser another option. The purchaser would have the right either to keep the object in consideration of its appropriate price, or to relinquish the object of the transaction. However, the purchaser is not entitled to refuse if the vendor wants him to keep the goods at the appropriate price. As for Hanafis, the purchaser would have to make up his mind either to accept the sale at the stated price or to rescind it and take back his money, but if the good is no longer in the possession of the purchaser, he would have no other option than to confirm the sale.

I am putting this information within this document simply because it is amazing how many think they understand a subject, only to find myriads of little questions springing forth that were not even a consideration, and often not applicable, in a given circumstance.

It is often that the people who end up having to utilize the laws are the least informed because the educational process has managed to totally surpass all levels of competence of the professors of finance, and certainly the laws are beyond the ordinary man, even though money is so totally SIMPLE in concept as to be
THE cause of the confusion. As in today’s world: if a thing is not confused—confound it!

Dharma, let us pause for a rest—this is very tedious for you.

Dharma, let us continue please, for I wish to make known my position in putting into the affairs of particular people who are expecting to hear from me but never are quite comfortable until they THINK ABOUT CIRCUMSTANCES AND BEINGS AS PRESENTED—LATER.

It will help people, i.e. Sharif, to understand that he would not have KNOWN just who would show up—but he knew that in these days of need and petition—GOD WOULD SEND (BRING) WHAT WOULD BE NECESSARY. You, scribe, on the other hand, must not drag your feet in the “knowing” because I told you, and we wrote it publicly, that we would be a major participant and would actually supply the wherewithal to bring the Autonomous Regions (Muslim) into their full potential. It is only the language you did not understand nor, at that time, WHAT WOULD BE THE TOOL OFFERED. We have to wait upon God in TRUST and in ignorance, to protect that which needs to flow in its proper sequence and into the hands of proper parties.

I would like to remind you also that when we did the journal on the Immanuca scrolls, that in confrontation with the Pharisees the Teacher said, “in about a hundred years there would come forth a man (a prophet) [Mohammad] who would represent death and destruction to those Pharisees all their remaining days upon the Earth.” Is it not come to pass, chela?

Now, to my own label and yours, child—we go back to far beyond the ancient Egyptians—at recognition will bring us to Aton-hATONn of the One Light era of some effort at bringing mankind into understanding of his oneness with God. Aton means the One Light, represented by the “Sun”. Don’t bother about it for this is just for some recognition that we know of what we write. We don’t need to belabor these points, for it is a time of need for unity in brotherhood of all those who are OF GOD to come together and unite, for it is known that the Elite Khazarian Zionists, or those that falsely call themselves Jews, are the “Jews” of the Elders of Zion that they present themselves as, “the Jews”, but are NOT, and all other peoples of the Earth are Gentiles. In “their” identification they have split the world into only these two categories. It is fine—God wins the ultimate game.

However, if man will assume his God-Allah creative POWER, the ending of the planet and humanity need NOT be as constructed for your demise or your downfall. But somebody has to come forth and DO THE TASK AT HAND to reverse the circumstances. And, just to remind you— it is a total reversal of the heinous methods of those evil controllers that must be matched, tit-for-tat! We must undo that which is most precious to them—and indeed, it is within that which is the banking system where they have gained first control.

Not only CAN WE DO IT—we SHALL do it.

So, scribe, to continue—as much for your information as for any other’s, let us move on to:

IIARA

Ijara means a lease contract as well as a hire contract. Therefore, look at the many various ways we can function and do so all within the laws of Allah and RIGHTEOUSNESS. In other words, the contract of ijara is the sale of usufruct. Therefore, the rules relating to a contract of sale such as those of khyar al ru’ya (the right of rescission at the time at which he sees the object which he has bought), khyar al-‘ayb (the right of rescission because of lack of stipulated quality), khyar al-shart (the stipulated right to cancellation), faskh (cancellation) and ikala (reversal) apply to it too. For the Malikis, ijara resembles a sale contract whereby the price and use (manafa’a) are exchanged and the contract should specify a manafa’a, either by the duration, or by its subject matter. The contract is invalid if the object of ijara is used for the purposes which are prohibited by Shariah, e.g., renting premises to use as a night club, bar or other vice activity, or the activity is a mandatory duty in nature, e.g., hiring a mother to feed her own baby.

Hanbalis has described ijara as being a contract where the subject matter is lawful and defined as manafa’a, of a lawful and determined corporeal object (‘ayn), for a specific period of time. To the Shafis, ijara is a contract where the subject matter is the determined, legitimate, assignable and lawful use of an object against a fixed consideration. Finally, Hanafis describe ijara as a contract intended to give ownership of a determined and legitimate manafa’a of a rented corporeal object (‘ayn) against the consideration.

All schools of thought have agreed that ijara is a binding (lazim) contract. However, they disagree about the reasons which could cause the cancellation of ijara. Malikis and Shafis opined that ijara is cancelled only by a material defect (‘ayb) affecting the corporeal object (‘ayn) leased or hired. Another situation which terminates the contract is in the event where the purpose of the lease or hire has disappeared, such as when a person asked a butcher to slaughter cattle, and before the slaughtering began, the cattle died. To the Hanafis, ijara is cancelled by any reasonable excuse that the lessee may invoke, while Hanbalis believes that ijara is cancelled if the rented object is destroyed or affected by a material defect.

There are two kinds of ijara, i.e., for a period and for carrying out of a task. The period must be determined; it is not possible to hire or rent for a stated amount per month. A special case of ijara for a period is the lease of agricultural property. It is forbidden to stipulate that the lessee should undertake work from which the lessor, too, derives advantage, such as digging canals. If the crop has not yet been harvested when the lease expires, it continues for a fair rent until the crop has ripened. The lease of one agricultural property for another is forbidden, because it would amount to riba. There are, however, some principles which are permitted by Shariah in this area. Finally, the Malikis, ijara resembles a sale contract whereby the lessee is able to sell or rent the property for another is forbidden, because it would amount to riba. There are, however, some principles which are permitted by Shariah in this area.

For the Malikis, ijara resembles a sale contract whereby the lessor, too, derives advantage, such as digging canals. If the crop has not yet been harvested when the lease expires, it continues for a fair rent until the crop has ripened. The lease of one agricultural property for another is forbidden, because it would amount to riba. There are, however, some principles which are permitted by Shariah in this area.

The end of the planet and humanity need NOT be as constructed for your demise or your downfall. But somebody has to come forth and DO THE TASK AT HAND to reverse the circumstances. And, just to remind you— it is a total reversal of the heinous methods of those evil controllers that must be matched, tit-for-tat! We must undo that which is most precious to them—and indeed, it is within that which is the banking system where they have gained first control.

WADIAH

Wadiah means trusteeship and under this principle the owner will commission others to hold his property in safe custody. It is a fiduciary relationship (amanah). The safekeeping must be assured by the owner himself or by a member of his family. The custodian’s refusal to return the deposit or his denial that a deposit exists, or its confusion with his own property, are usurpation and engender liability. To the Islamic banks, wadiah is an agreement where the owner will deposit cash or other movable assets in the custody of the banks. The banks obtain the authority of the depositors to utilize the deposit at the bank’s risk and bank’s benefit. The depositor is free to withdraw the money at his own convenience.

In Malaysia, the principle is further extended and renamed as wadiah yad dhannah (safe keeping with guarantee). This concept refers to deposits which have been deposited with another person, who is not...
the owner, for safekeeping. As wadiah is a trust, the depository becomes the guarantor and, therefore, guarantees repayment of the whole amount of deposits, or any part thereof, outstanding in the account of the depositors when demanded. The depositors are not entitled to any share of the profit but the depository may provide returns as a gift (hiba) to the depositors as a token of appreciation.

RAHIN

Rahn means pledge or pawn. It is a contract of pledging a security and becomes binding when possession of the pledge takes place. In this principle the ownership of the security is not transferred to the pledgee. The transfer of ownership occurs only under certain conditions as an effect of the contract. The pledgee is liable for the pledge, to the amount either of its value or of the debt secured, whichever is less, or if it gets lost through his fault, to the amount of its value; he is also obliged to return it when the debt is paid. The pledgee, however, has the right to sell the security when the debt is due in order to pay himself out of the proceeds. The pledge is in principle a collateral security; the debt remains in existence in so far as it is not covered by the sale of the pledge, and any credit balance which remains after the sale of pledge is held by the pledgee in trust for the pledgor.

Besides the above-mentioned principles, there are many other Shariah principles governing the operations of existing Islamic banks. These principles sometimes are either used by a limited number of Islamic banks or by the Islamic banks in specific Islamic countries. Among the principles within this category are bai mua'zzal, bai al-dayn, al-wakalah, al-ujr, al-kafalah, al-hiwalah, and joalah. I would rather not take time or space in distraction of focusing on each of these principles.

Now, I could break these principles into categories of countries, terminology, etc., but I prefer to not do that for each is available in many places for research. My full intent here is to point out that we have the asset and you have the people and the plan whereby the assets can best be used within the laws of Allah (and the land). It must be that we can present to our people a better way in honor and integrity. And, please, receivers of this, you must realize that only Allah could have such gifts. Make no mistakes about who is behind this program and offering or how well it can flow because of same.

We have ones in Muslim places of the Middle East waiting to see what you will do—that they might either join this alliance, or at least participate in the conceptual program in their own nations and banks.

I would also again point out that there may well be variances in the presenting language and spelling of words here, for I remind you that my secretary-scribe only speaks English and this becomes most tedious. So, please, be gracious and allow for any errors in presentation.

Dharma is also my translator when I choose to have a verbal conversation with you. We have a rather tedious tonal frequency which has to be translated for most receivers into a language. We can share if you like, but I prefer to keep the business to business and then let us share our real (soul) purpose.

YOUR ADVISORY has laid forth his plan of destruction and GOD HAS HIS PLAN 2000 as well. Let us please move into a golden age of beauty and abundance and not allow this evil character from the darkside to longer flourish.

We place no "strings" on our gifts, just as God places no strings on his LOVE or abundance. We are OF EACH OTHER and that which blesses one blesses all. As others see you progressing out of the darkness of poverty and into radiance of production—they too will wish to join you, and there will be a ground swell of others, not the least of which will be from the "Nation of Islam" in the U.S. There has been too much oppression and fear to be able to work in that environment, so it must be understood that THIS IS THE PLACE, my friends, and you are the elected ones to do this job. Smile, for it is wondrous and exciting in its very concept.

Is this "simple"? Yes. Is it "easy"? No.

As you might guess, the adversary and the International Controllers have all but destroyed my people. My scribe has lost everything possible except life and that has been attempted in the taking. My people are not "off-limits" to the mischief-makers but the impact is still heavy. I can tell you now, however, that the World Controller Elite Powerbrokers DO NOT WANT TO TANGLE WITH "ME". I AM KNOWN WELL TO SUCH AS THE GEORGE BUSH CARTEL ET AL. YOU ARE NOW IN MY PROTECTION, YOU WHO WISH TO ASSIST AND PARTICIPATE.

AND DONT ACT SHOCKED WHEN YOU LOOK UP AND SEE OUR SHIPS STROBING RAINBOW LIGHTS AT YOU FROM THE NIGHT SKY—WE ARE HERE AND WE ARE HERE IN PHYSICAL MANIFESTATION AS WELL AS IN THIS TYPE OF COMMUNICATION. WE KEEP OURSELVES LITTLE KNOWN AND LESSER RECOGNIZED, TO KEEP ANY FOCUS FROM OUR PEOPLE. ALL OF THESE THINGS WILL BE COMING INTO RECOGNITION, QUITE GENERALLY SPEAKING, IN THE VERY NEAR FUTURE. WE DO, HOWEVER, NEED TO GET A WORKING SYSTEM, TO AVOID COLLAPSE OF ECONOMIES.

Blessings are upon you and may we enjoy our mission as we watch and experience a rebirthing, that mankind shall flourish instead of rot in poverty at the hands of the puppet-masters. Salu.

8/7/99—#1 (12-356)

LIFE IS WHAT YOU CREATE IT TO BE

Hatonn—Life CAN only be that which you create it to be, as a matter of actual fact. You can give nothing unless there is something or someone to receive. Until a gift is accepted by that one to whom it is offered, it is not a gift—it remains the property of the one holding it. Even a smile, although “given”, is perceived by the one witnessing—and that is rarely the intent in which it is given. Remember that a smile can also represent many things and attitudes, and can be the most bitter expression upon the face of the Earth. So, please: always remember that to have received that which is intended, you must create the thought that projects truth in manifestation. Further, always remember that the other party is also “creating”, and also KNOW that the more evil the intent is within the party presenting or receiving, the better qualified they are to trick you into accepting that which is not truth in fact.

It is much like that “unconditional love” spiel, while the party claiming nothing but unconditional love is either cutting your throat, stabbing you in the back, or generally scattering lies to the wind to preserve his own public presentation.

Yes indeed, beloved friends in this journey, these ARE the things that bring joy and lessons. However, we accepted a greater mission and therefore—there are so many more than only our own little family or circle, we don’t have the privilege of limiting our sharing to the one or two—we must lay the presentations before the multitudes, so that a plan can be formulated among the many to move things in the right pathways toward accomplishment of our “goodly” work.

I remind all of you of something likewise important: Once a person has been KNOWN to tell a lie, he is never again trusted if stories conflict—be it from who “borrowed the towel”, to who might have taken it, if one be missing and the prior “liar” had access. Who do you “believe”? Ah so, and finding several deliberate actions in false intent—will you ever trust that party again in any matter of dispute? We do, indeed, EARN our reputations. This is WHY you cannot simply turn away and “take the burden”, thinking it will better “go away” if there is no response—for the towel may well have no meaning. IT IS NOT RIGHT, CHELAS, AND THEREIN IS THE FACT OF IT. A MAN IS ONLY AS GOOD AS HIS WORD—EVER! GOD CAN KNOW INTENT WITHIN. MAN CAN ONLY DISCERN AND JUDGE BY THAT WHICH IS HUMAN AND, HE CAN ONLY CONFRONT TO KEEP “CLEAR AND CLEAN” BY HIS ACTIONS AND PRESENTATION IN THE HUMAN FORM.

Come on, we KNOW when there is a “witty” note or a “joke” involved. We also know that people make errors in everything from a date and hour to whatever, but lies told in serious intent to deceive are not “forgotten” by the mind and THAT IS, AFTER ALL, WHAT MAKES A LIE-DETECTOR RESPOND. THE BETTER THE LIAR, THE BETTER TO DECEIVE THE DETECTOR—SO YOU CAN ONLY TRULY FUNCTION WHEN YOU CONFRONT AND GIVE—THE OTHER PARTY WHO LIES—NO CHANCE TO GET AWAY WITH IT AGAIN.

We can talk about property, say, at the Turner farm. It is not the small property missing that is of value—it is that someone has taken, “unlawfully”, that which is not theirs. If it went by error, simply say so and make it right with the owners. Do not accept a lie that is going to reflect on those who came before—and claim “they” must have taken it. Dingmans DID NOT take much of ANYTHING. They came with nothing, because of space limitation, and left all, because they could not have room to take anything with them AND THEY PLANNED TO RETURN IN A FEW WEEKS.

Bilgers helped to destroy us as to property, and actual “set-up” circumstances. These are deliberate. Now many of multiple small things are MISSING. Now just WHERE do you think things went?—they actually do not walk away of their own volition.

It reminds me of Rick’s proclamation that NOTHING was removed from the offices—only to, at agreement time, have a whole computer set-up.
“returned” by Gail. A great deal of “clean up” work was done on it as well. It didn’t just follow her car along home one day and ask for asylum—unless of course it was in a car “following” her. And how did the bank account records just run away somehow out of her purse or somewhere—and just “get lost”? How good does this look when it is KNOWN that thousands of dollars were deliberately removed from the banking accounts by those parties, from OUR accounts?

It is as with a child: the confrontation of lying and stealing begins at the first and every time it comes to attention. Good gracious, if someone will lie, cheat and steal to cover actions once, do you not think it capable of that one to continue to cover the same ill-intentioned actions as the problems get larger and more serious?

And, if those are the people YOU WISH RECEIVING YOUR LESSONS FROM GOD AND HOSTS, and passing them on through the clouded MINDS of twisted intent, then so be it. YOU ARE MOVING RIGHT DOWN THE PRIMROSE WITH FULL INTENTION, BECOMING AS SUSPECT AS ARE THEY!

I do not wish to spend more time on this subject this morning, for a world waits for us to put to print some very, very crucial information, as we formulate a plan, so that the people can act in wisdom, KNOWING, and with full recognition of information.

I don’t know about you, but I am troubled by those with the most obvious penchant for deception, lying, and taking for self anything they choose to grab—teaching the lessons of living and behavior, and giving guidelines for movement into perfect balance. YOU CAN ONLY EVER BE AS GOOD AS YOUR TEACHERS. IF THE TEACHER IS GIVING WRONG DIRECTIONS TO LIFE GOALS, OR THE NEAREST GROCERY STORE—YOU ARE IN TROUBLE IF YOU REALLY EXPECT TO ARRIVE WHERE YOU THOUGHT YOU WANTED TO GO. HOW CAN YOU TOUT HONESTY AND NON-CORRUPTION, IF YOU ARE RIDDLED WITH THE SAME CORRUPTION—INTENTIONALLY?

If you move along and find that you have erred or presented something incorrectly because you didn’t have knowledge of the facts—SAY SO, PLEASE, AND DO NOT COMPOUND THE ERRORS THROUGH DISHONESTY AND COVER-UP.

It has come to the time when people have to realize that when they take on a family, a corporation or a place of responsibility: THEY BECOME RESPONSIBLE. Those within a corporation who are in charge—MUST ACCOUNT FOR EVERYTHING. If you are the record-keeper—you had best know your records. And, if there are items in inventory or missing—they have to be accounted. You can’t just stand back and throw stones at the ones who must account—just because “you” somehow are among the guilty “borrowers” and sneaky users.

I ASK “YOU”, ARE YOU WORTHY OF A BETTER WORLD? WILL “YOU” CHANGE TO PRESENT A BETTER WORLD IN INTEGRITY AND HONOR? OR WILL YOU PRESENT WORDS AS IF YOU ARE AN AUTHORITY IN WISDOM AND TRUTH—WHILE YOU CONTINUE YOUR NEGATIVE AND FALSE WAYS IN ACTIVITIES? ACTIONS, PEOPLE, ARE THOSE THINGS BY WHICH MAN WILL JUDGE YOU AND ULTIMATELY YOU WILL, WITH THOSE ACTIONS, CONFRONT GOD. FOR YOUR ACTIONS, AS THE OLD SAYING GOES, SPEAK FAR LOUDER AND TELL MORE TRUTH—THAN ALL THE WORDS IN A DICTIONARY.

And, readers, as long as no one will cause the culprits to be confronted—you can’t change a thing. Further, if you pronounce in ignorance, any thing or accusation, and then REFUSE TO LEARN FACTS—you deserve the consequences you WILL receive.

As we move back now, to the topic of Non-Usury Banking, let us continue with Objectives and Philosophy of same. This is NOT exclusively Islamic but, “unfortunately”, those who could give “impressions” to the public have labeled this type of banking in honor, integrity and goodness—to be Islamic. Well, I consider that a compliment to the Muslims—for this is THE way all business and banking should be structured. The concepts of Power-Elite “takers” are what has brought the world to its knees, and made cheating, theft, lying and trickery the accepted norm of the day.

[QUOTING:]

THE OBJECTIVES AND THE PHILOSOPHY OF ISLAMIC BANKING

Profit maximization is usually considered the most important objective of commercial banks, especially privately owned banks. Contrary to this objective, Islamic banks exist to promote, foster and develop banking services and products based on Islamic principles. Islamic banks are also responsible for promoting the establishment of investment companies or other business enterprises as long as the activities of these companies are not forbidden by Islam (Khan, 1983). The main principles of Islamic Banking comprise of prohibition of interest in all forms of transactions, and undertaking business and trade activities on the basis of fair and legitimate profit. Islamic banks are also to give zakat (wealth or alms tax) and to develop an environment which benefits the whole society.

Nevertheless, like any other business entity, Islamic banks are expected to make a profit from their operations. It is considered an injustice for Islamic banks if they are unable to provide sufficient returns to the depositors who entrusted their money to them (Mirakhor, 1987). Therefore, while ordinary business institutions are likely to place profit as their primary objectives, Islamic banks have to incorporate both profit and morality into their objectives.

[H: WHY? THIS IS A TERRIBLE CONCEPT, FOR A BANK IS FOR THE HOLDING OF PROPERTY TO KEEP IT SECURE, THERE IS NO “BUSINESS”, OTHER THAN PAYMENT FOR STORAGE AND OPERATING CONVENIENCE, THAT SHOULD INVOLVE BANKS. THIS IS A MYTH THAT HAS CONVENIENTLY COME FORTH AND IT IS A GROSSLY TERRIBLE CONCEPT. If you have hired a guard for your business, say, to guard your inventory in a drugstore, is that guard now responsible for making a profit off the products, using the products for gain for self, or WHAT? No, he is hired to protect another’s property. THIS IS THE PURPOSE OF A BANK—IT IS A SECURITY STORAGE SERVICE WHICH, AT MOST, KEEPS ACCURATE BOOKS AND HAS “YOUR” DEPOSIT SAFE AND READY FOR YOUR USE AT ANY TIME YOU ASK—PERIOD.]

For example, Dar Al-Maal Al-Islamic Trust, i.e., the holding company for FIBB and another 25 financial and business companies operating on the basis of Shariah in 15 countries around the world, established the following objectives for its group of companies:

1. To put before all Muslims, contemporary Islamic financial services, helping to execute their financial dealings in strict respect of the ethical individual and social values of Islamic Shariah, without contravening the heavenly imposed prohibition of dealing in riba (interest or usury).

2. To serve all Muslim communities in mobilizing and utilizing the financial resources needed for their true economic development and prosperity within the principles of Islamic justice, assuring the right and obligations of both the individual and the community.

3. To serve the “Ummat Al Islam” (Islamic communities) and other nations by strengthening the fratal bonds through mutually beneficial financial relationships for economic development and the enhanced environment for peace.—(Faysal Islamic Bank of Bahrain (FIBB), 1993)

Further examples of the objectives of selected Islamic banks in various Muslim countries are presented below.

BANK ISLAM MALAYSIA BERHAD (BIMB), MALAYSIA:

“To provide banking facilities and services in accordance with Islamic principles, rules and practices, to all Muslims, as well as the population of this country. The Islamic principles, rules and practices are essentially those belonging to the body of Islamic principles on commercial transactions (ahkam al-muamalat al-Islamiyya) that relate to banking and finance. The bank’s efforts to provide these banking facilities and services are undertaken within the framework of its viability and capability to continuously grow and expand.”—(BIMB, 1985)

DUBAI ISLAMIC BANK (DIB), THE UNITED ARAB EMIRATES:

“The main objective of an Islamic bank is to prohibit the Muslims from dealing with interest or usury, which has been strictly prohibited by Allah, and to protect them from one of the biggest sins.”—(undated DIB information leaflet)

ISLAMI BANK BANGLADESH LIMITED (IBBL), BANGLADESH:

“Our aims are to introduce a welfare-oriented banking system and also establish equity and justice in the field of all economic activities.”—(IBBL, 1993)

KUWAIT FINANCE HOUSE (KFH), KUWAIT:

“To conduct all banking operations and services for its own account, or for the account of third parties, without practicing usury, whether in the form of interest or in any other form. “To carry out direct investments, or purchase or finance projects or activities owned by others, on a non-usurious basis.”—(KFH, Memo and Articles of Association, 1977)
FAYSAL ISLAMIC BANK OF BAHRAIN (FIBB), BAHRAIN:

“To promote, foster and develop the application of Islamic principles, laws and traditions to the transaction of financial, banking and related business affairs, including the investment of funds for the purpose of compensation for the financial consequences of defined risks or losses, and to promote investment in companies, enterprises and concerns which shall themselves be engaged in business activities as are acceptable and consistent with Islamic principles, laws and traditions, and in no event engaged in the alcoholic beverage trade, the business of borrowing and lending money at interest, the gambling industry or the pork meat industry.”—(FIBB, undated Memo and Articles of Association)

JORJAN ISLAMIC BANK, JORDAN:

“The Bank aims at meeting the economic and social needs in the field of banking services, financing and investment operations, on a non-usurious basis. In particular these objects shall include:

a. Expanding the extent of dealings with the banking sector by offering non-usurious banking services, with special emphasis on introducing services designed to revive various forms of collective, social responsibility on a basis of mutual benefit.

b. Developing means to attract funds and savings, and channeling them into participation in non-usurious banking investment.

c. Providing the necessary financing to meet the requirements of the various sectors, particularly those which are not likely to benefit from usurious banking facilities.”—(Jordan Islamic Bank for Finance and Investment Law, 1978)

As an institution whose foundations are based on religious doctrines, the establishment and operation of Islamic banks has raised many theoretical and conceptual considerations. As mentioned by Ali (1988):

“The Islamic economic order is based upon a set of principles found in the Qur’an. No matter what aspect of the Islamic economic order is introduced, for practical operations it has to base itself on the Qur’anic concept of social justice. The Islamic financial system, therefore, cannot be introduced merely by eliminating riba, but only by adopting the Islamic principles of social justice and introducing laws, practices, procedures and instruments which help in the maintenance and dispensation of justice, equity and fairness.”

The objectives and philosophies of Islamic banks are thus in line with the revelations in Al-Quran. As an entity that is established within the ambit of Islamic law, an Islamic bank is expected to be guided by these philosophies. Establishing the right philosophies is important for any Islamic bank for two reasons. Firstly, these philosophies will be used by the management or policy makers of Islamic banks in the process of formulating corporate objectives and policies. Secondly, these philosophies serve as an indicator as to whether the particular Islamic bank is upholding true Islamic principles. Islam permits and encourages its followers to become involved in trade activities. As stated in Al-Quran in verse 275 of chapter 2:

“But Allah hath permitted trade and forbidden usury...”

The Prophet (pbuh) in his early life used to be a trader and, similar to many of his eminent companions, was a businessman. From the religious perspective, the establishment of an Islamic bank is considered a righteous move for two reasons. Firstly, its existence is in line with the divine revelation, i.e., to involve in trade. Secondly, Islamic banks provide an avenue for Muslims to perform banking business in the Islamic way, i.e., free from any element of usury.

Eliminating the element of usury in the banking system is only part of Islamic business principles. Islamic banks are expected to conduct their business with the objective of making profit and at the same time must conform to Islamic business principles. They must also observe the rules and laws which are directly imposed on individual Muslims. Otherwise, they should not define themselves as Islamic entities. Nevertheless, whatever law is imposed on Muslim individuals is indirectly applicable to Islamic banks.

This relationship leads to the philosophical questions: “What should the Islamic banks do, and what should the banks believe?” For Muslims, the answers to these questions were given by the Al-Quran in many of its verses. For example, verse 132 of chapter 3 says:

“Obey Allah and the Messenger, that ye may obtain mercy.”

Verse 59 of chapter 4 of Al-Quran also highlights:

“O ye who believe! Obey Allah, and obey the Messenger...”

Therefore, the foundations of the philosophy of Islamic Banking are those principles which have been revealed in the Al-Quran and the Hadith, or traditions of the Prophet (pbuh). Revelations which directly or indirectly require Muslims to uphold justice and virtue are used by Islamic banks as guiding principles in their business affairs.

The principles of Islamic business include honesty and the belief that trade is to be conducted in a faithful and beneficial manner. Islam conceives trade as an honest effort, an earnest endeavor, and a human striving for earning one’s rightful livelihood.

Trade manipulations and practices aimed at earning undue profit through operations like hoarding, black-marketing, profiteering, short-weighting, hiding the defective quality of merchandise, and adulteration cannot be regarded as honest trade (Siddiqi, 1986).

We have provided for them, secretly and openly, regular prayer, and send (in charity) out of what we have provided for them, secretly and openly, hope for commerce that will never fail.”

This verse teaches Muslims that the godly man’s business will never fail or fluctuate because Allah guarantees him the return, and even adds something to the return out of his own bounty. Analogically, honest trade will lead to the earning of profit in this world as well as in the hereafter. Tarmidzi (circa. 892) reported that the prophet (pbuh) mentioned that, “The truthful, honest merchant is with the Prophet, truthful and martyr.”—(Siddiqi, 1986)

In the process of conducting business, Islamic banks seek to bring about a lasting balance between earning and spending, in order to achieve the target of the betterment of the whole community. Islam has always emphasized the lawful earning of livelihood.

All unlawful means of acquiring wealth are prohibited. Chapter 4, verses 29 and 30 of Al-Quran states:

“Oh ye who believe! eat not up your property among yourselves in vanities; but let there be amongst you traffic and trade by mutual goodwill; nor kill (or destroy) yourself: for verily Allah hath been to you most merciful. If any do that in rancor and injustice—soon shall we cast them into fire: and easy it is for Allah.”

In terms of spending, Islam demands that its followers spend money for the welfare of the people and not for wasteful or pleasurable activities. This directive is given in verse 219 of chapter 2 of Al-Quran which says:
Mannan (1986) is of the opinion that, in an Islamic social system, welfare that is maximized within the framework of Al-Quran and Hadith, but is consistent with the spirit of the same, may be styled as Islamic. Mannan (1986) believed that it is not harmful for Islamic banks to carry out business activities as long as these activities are not prohibited in Al-Quran or Hadith.

In Islam, Allah retains absolute ownership of everything. As stated in verse 189 of chapter 3 of Al-Quran:

“To Allah belongeth the dominion of the heavens and the Earth; And Allah hath power over all things.”

This absolute ownership does not reflect that Allah has created everything for Himself. On the contrary, it is stated in verse 29 of chapter 2 that:

“It is He who hath created for you all things that are on Earth; then He turned to the heaven and made them into seven firmaments. And of all things He hath perfect knowledge.”

Mannan (1986) claimed that the above verse emphasizes that what Allah has created belongs collectively to the whole of human society. Legal ownership by the individual, that is to say the right of possession, enjoyment and transfer of property, is recognized and safeguarded in Islam, but all ownership is subject to the moral obligation and even animals have the right to share. This moral obligation is stated in verse 19 of chapter 51 of the Al-Quran:

“And in their wealth and possessions (was remembered) the right of the (needy), Him who asked, and him who (for some reasons) was prevented.”

As for Islamic banks, while making profit from the business, it is allowed in Islam, the accumulation of profit is not for utilization for the betterment of the community. Because of this revelation, Islamic banks are expected to be more sensitive to the needs of society, promote social programs and activities, and make contributions towards the needy and poor families.

Islam prohibits accumulation of wealth or its unrestricted possession by individuals. Even wealth which is earned without utilization for the betterment of the community is forbidden. Of course, this revelation, Islamic banks are expected to be more sensitive to the needs of society, promote social programs and activities, and make contributions towards the needy and poor families.
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LAUNCHING A TELEVISION WAR ON IRAQ
WOULD BE THE BEST STRATEGY

By John & Jean Ray

Any attempt to shoot them down with radar-guided artillery or missiles would obviously mark those cities for overwhelming attacks on the anti-aircraft sites.

The U.S. broadcasts would directly challenge Hussein’s regime, live on what used to be Hussein’s exclusive propaganda medium, with promises of better living conditions after the ruthless dictator is removed from power.

Using television and radio, the U.S. coalition would name targets to be attacked and defy Hussein to defend them. Unmanned reconnaissance vehicles circling overhead would relay pictures to the broadcasting craft. The interval would be long enough to maximize the audience, but not long enough for Hussein to array human shields.

Then, one by one, the targets picked for the maximum embarrassment (again in Iraqi cultural terms) to Hussein would be destroyed.

An example of targets might include a huge statue of Hussein in downtown Baghdad, destroyed one piece at a time by inert laser practice bombs; another might include a high-value site, not near a populated area, destroyed all at once in a deluge of smart bombs dropped from heavy bombers.

After each target is successfully obliterated, the U.S. commander or expatriate Iraqis would go on the air and mock Hussein in the most egregious ways, while exhorting Iraqis to revolt.

All of that, live, on every channel of Iraqi television and on every radio station. The same programming would be offered (of course, without charge) to Al Jazeera.

As the Iraqi people witness the destruction of Hussein’s support structure, accompanied by humanitarian actions supporting the Iraqi people, they will see, in real time, that Hussein has lost a great deal of his bluster. …

This information campaign, synchronized with air and ground operations, would continue and evolve, using covert sampling of the target demographics in order to maintain the Iraqi audience.

It would include high-production-value commercial programming to keep the target demographic watching, followed at regular intervals with information or demonstrations to continue eroding the grip of Hussein’s regime.

Demonstrating to the Iraqi people that Hussein is neither omniscient nor omnipotent could, at a minimum, cause enough disruption to hamstring his efforts against a conventional attack, and if aggressively pressed could cause his regime to implode, saving many lives.

[JR: As informed as we think we are, it is still quite chilling to know that these types of discussions are taking place in the secret rooms below the White House. The best individuals who conceive such plans reflect what little love they have for their fellowman. Softwar is mind control and its uses will be as devastating on civilian populations as any weapon of mass destruction. Softwar is a technique that will be used to change and alter the Iraqi people’s understanding and perceptions of what is happening to them and their country. The goal is to project images that will instill in the Iraqi people’s psyche the false sense that they are powerless against the forces massing against them. They will see the destruction of their cities and the demeaning of their leaders, but they will also be conditioned to see us as their conquerors as well as their benevolent liberators. What is more scary, the finished Zionist Protocols or Softwars?]
GROUP CREATED TO LOBBY AGAINST HUSSEIN

By Eric Schmitt, New York Times, 11/15/02

WASHINGTON—Seeking to increase domestic and international support for a new government in Iraq, an independent bipartisan group has been formed with the White House’s tacit approval to press for the ouster of Saddam Hussein.

Members of the hawkish group, the Committee for the Liberation of Iraq, include former Secretary of State George Shultz, former Sen. Bob Kerrey (D-Neb.), Teamsters union leader James Hoffa and the former House Speaker Newt Gingrich (R-Ga.). Sens. John McCain (R-Ariz.) and Joe Lieberman (D-Conn.) are expected to be the group’s honorary congressional co-chairmen.

The committee is modeled on a successful lobbying campaign to expand the NATO alliance and will engage in advocacy and educational efforts in the United States and Europe aimed at “freeing the Iraqi people from tyranny”, the group’s mission statement says.

On Friday, members are scheduled to meet at the White House with Condoleezza Rice, President Bush’s national security adviser, to encourage a long-term American commitment to developing democratic institutions in Iraq after Hussein’s regime is either toppled by a coup or removed by an American-led military offensive.

“We don’t believe in hit-and-run interventionism,” said Bruce Jackson, the Reagan-era Pentagon official who is the committee’s chairman.

Operating from an office near Capitol Hill on less than $25,000 in seed money from Jackson and Julie Finley, an influential Republican fundraiser, the committee plans to borrow many of the same low-budget methods used in the NATO enlargement campaign to spread its message.

Those will include making contact with journalists, holding dinner sessions with senior administration officials and meeting with editorial boards across the country.

With UN weapons inspectors expected to arrive soon in Iraq, committee organizers say they fear public support for removing Hussein and rebuilding Iraq’s political and economic institutions could slip without steady pressure.

“Support is never as deep as you’d like it,” said Randy Scheunemann, the group’s president and a former aide to Republican Sens. Bob Dole of Kansas and Trent Lott of Mississippi. “We’re looking at getting a feeling that the American public is looking for a way to stay committed to developing democratic institutions in Iraq.”

Iraq’s official al-Thawra newspaper, mouthpiece of Saddam’s ruling Baath Party, said Baghdad’s acceptance of the tough new resolution will put UN credibility to the test and said inspectors had to be honest and objective.

“They should keep themselves away from American and Zionist influence which will take different forms such as bribes, blackmail, threats and the recruitment of spies under the label of experts,” al-Thawra said.

The Iraqi press has repeated Baghdad’s denial it has any weapons of mass destruction, and said Iraqi cooperation should be rewarded with a lifting of UN sanctions imposed after Iraq’s 1990 invasion of Kuwait.

“America will also try to confuse and complicate the task of the (UN) inspectors in order to create a crisis with Iraq that will allow Washington to carry out its threats of war,” it said.

But ElBaradei has said he will not report to the Security Council any minor, unintentional omission in a weapons disclosure statement Iraq is obliged to produce, a stand putting him at odds with President Bush’s “zero tolerance” policy.

ElBaradei said in Washington: “If there is minor omission and this is clearly not intentional we are not running to the Security Council to say that it’s a material breach.”

Some analysts believe that absolute denial that Iraq has any banned weapons, if maintained, could be taken by Washington as reason for waging war. The resolution adopted unanimously last week calls on Iraq to give the United Nations “full, accurate and complete” details a group will be assigned by the U.N. Blix said in an interview published on Friday even a half-hour delay in allowing inspectors access to sensitive sites in Iraq could be serious, but stopped short of saying it would violate the UN resolution, which could trigger war.

“You cannot hide a bulky weapon or a big machine in half an hour, but you can hide documents or test tubes,” Blix told French newspaper Le Monde.

The Bush administration, which has an official policy of "regime change" in Iraq, has set a more stringent standard for judging Iraqi compliance with the resolution. Asked on Wednesday how he would define a “material breach” of the UN resolution, a term that could lead to military action to disarm Iraq, Bush said: “Zero tolerance... We will not tolerate any deception, denial or deceit, period.”

[JR: This committee/lobby group is nothing more than a play to propagandize and push forward the Bush doctrine to those who oppose or object to our U.S. foreign war policies. We have commissioned our most bogey patrons to go forth and to spread our lies and distortions of truth with the powerful support of America’s most influential media moguls. Our loyal lackeys of government will endeavor to bend the mind and the will of those “misguided, independent thinkers of conscience” to our way of thinking and doing things. Money will be used as an effective and formidable tool of persuasion to advance our position. When you are a Superpower like the U.S. you can do no less.]

RUSSIA, IRAQ TELL UN INSPECTORS TO FOCUS ON JOB

By Haitham Haddadin, Daily News, 11/15/02

BAGHDAD (Reuters)—Russia on Friday urged UN weapons inspectors returning to Baghdad to focus solely on the disarmament job in hand and Iraqi newspapers said they had to be objective and free from any U.S. influence.

Moscow, an ally of Baghdad from the Soviet days with important oil interests in the country, wants to ensure the UN experts cannot be used by Washington to justify a military invasion to oust President Saddam Hussein, a stated U.S. aim.

Russia’s Deputy Foreign Minister Yuri Fedotov said inspectors had to compare the current arsenal with what existed at the end of 1998 when the head of the team Richard Butler unilaterally quit Iraq, complaining Baghdad was not cooperating.

“That was how he cleared the way for strikes against that country,” Fedotov told Itar news agency. This time, Fedotov said, any hitch in the UN inspectors’ work had to be brought before the UN Security Council.

An advance party of UN technicians is scheduled to arrive in Baghdad on Monday to prepare for inspections, which are not expected to begin for another week or two. That will allow UN weapons inspector Hans Blix and International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) director-general Mohamed ElBaradei.

Moscow’s UN ambassador Sergei Lavrov said he hoped the inspectors had drawn lessons from the time experts were last in Iraq, when they pursued “tasks which had nothing to do with the need to eliminate weapons of mass destruction.”

Iraq’s official al-Thawra newspaper, mouthpiece of Saddam’s ruling Baath Party, said Baghdad’s acceptance of the tough new resolution will put UN credibility to the test and said inspectors had to be honest and objective.

“America will also try to confuse and complicate the task of the (UN) inspectors in order to create a crisis with Iraq that will allow Washington to carry out its threats of war,” it said.

But ElBaradei has said he will not report to the Security Council any minor, unintentional omission in a weapons disclosure statement Iraq is obliged to produce, a stand putting him at odds with President Bush’s “zero tolerance” policy.

ElBaradei said in Washington: “If there is minor omission and this is clearly not intentional we are not running to the Security Council to say that it’s a material breach.”

Some analysts believe that absolute denial that Iraq has any banned weapons, if maintained, could be taken by Washington as reason for waging war. The resolution adopted unanimously last week calls on Iraq to give the United Nations “full, accurate and complete” details a group will be assigned by the U.N. Blix said in an interview published on Friday even a half-hour delay in allowing inspectors access to sensitive sites in Iraq could be serious, but stopped short of saying it would violate the UN resolution, which could trigger war.

“You cannot hide a bulky weapon or a big machine in half an hour, but you can hide documents or test tubes,” Blix told French newspaper Le Monde.

The Bush administration, which has an official policy of “regime change” in Iraq, has set a more stringent standard for judging Iraqi compliance with the resolution. Asked on Wednesday how he would define a “material breach” of the UN resolution, a term that could lead to military action to disarm Iraq, Bush said: “Zero tolerance... We will not tolerate any deception, denial or deceit, period.”

[JR: The hawks in the Bush bunch will grab at any flimsy excuse to attack Iraq. Bush’s zero tolerance is the top and bottom line in our unflinching resolve to hit Iraq to get control of the Iraqi oil fields. What price will the Iraqi people have to pay for their so-called liberation when we go all out and rain down hell over their towns and cities with our saturated bombing? Why is it that Bush and his Christian crusaders remain stone silent and never address the issue about the killing of the innocent? What gd do they listen to and serve?]
then why start a war to do it? Why not lift the embargo and move on from there? We have an ulcerous motive for invading Iraq and that has become very obvious to the rest of the world. If we set up a government run by technocrats based on their theories of governing and with the U.S. military in charge, how will it serve fairly the Iraqi people, and in particular the Shiite majority? You can bet that these technocrats/overseers will probably be made up of U.S. and Israeli Zionists who will set up a Zionist plan for rule. Not much of “democracy” will be reflective in this group of masterminds. Germany and Japan were rebuilt by the U.S. and were forced as conquered nations to accept “democracy”. Germany today is still basically under the control of the U.S. The same inequalite fate awaits the Middle East. The plan to divide and conquer always rewards the Elite, their victories and adds to the strengthening of their powers.

REPUBLICAN CONGRESS WILL RETAIN PRO-ISRAEL BENT. ACTIVISTS SAY

By Matthew E. Berger, JTA of the Jewish People, 11/06/02

WASHINGTON (JTA)—Pro-Israel activists say they are confident their legislative priorities will be able to get through the new Congress, which is now under Republican control.

In a Republican sweep that elated Republicans and stunned Democrats, the GOP retained control of the Senate and retained the House of Representatives in Tuesday’s elections.

In the final election returns, which came early Wednesday morning, a predominance of pro-Israel lawmakers retained their seats, and several new faces emerged, many of whom pro-Israel officials called promising.

The new Congress will take office at a critical time in U.S.-Israel relations, with Israel entering a heated election campaign, prospects for peace with the Palestinians at a standstill and a U.S.-led war against Iraq looming.

The congressional approach to Israel and the Middle East are a significant component in those relations.

Among the winners in this week’s elections were two new Jewish senators, Frank Lautenberg (D-N.J.), who returns to the Senate after a two-year absence, and Norm Coleman, a former chairman of the United Jewish Appeal with close ties to the Jewish community, also has urged caution on moving the U.S. Embassy in Israel from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem during turbulent times in the Middle East. He voted against the 1991 Persian Gulf War.

Coleman, who narrowly defeated his last-minute Democratic challenger, former Vice President Walter Mondale, in Minnesota, was opposed by the Minnesota chapter of the Council on American Islamic Relations as a possible Bush administration appointee two years ago because he was an “ardent supporter of Israel”.

[KR: It seems that the Muslim leaders call Bush to repudiate them. Bush is being dishonest when he says their remarks don’t reflect the sentiments of “My” government. His entire administration is filled with hawks that have targeted the Middle East for Zionist takeover.]

U.S. DOLLARS YIELDED UNANIMOUS UN VOTE AGAINST IRAQ

Analysis by Thalif Deen, Inter Press Service, 11/09/02

UNITED NATIONS (IPS)—Friday’s unanimous vote in the UN Security Council supporting the U.S. resolution on weapons inspections in Iraq was a demonstration of Washington’s ability to wield its vast political and economic power, say observers.

“Only a superpower like the United States could have pulled off a coup like this,” an Asian diplomat told IPS.

The unanimous 15-0 vote, he said, was obtained through considerable political and diplomatic pressure. The lobbying, he added, was not done at the United Nations, but in various capitals. ....

France, China and Russia, in almost a single voice, said they decided to back the resolution because of assurances by the United States that it would return to the Security Council before launching a military attack on Iraq.

The resolution, they argued, does not provide the United States with the automatic use of military force.

But the 10 non-permanent members—Cameroon, Guinea, Mauritius, Bulgaria, Colombia, Mexico, Singapore, Norway, Ireland and Syria—voted under heavy diplomatic and economic pressure from the United States. So the arm-twisting was confined mostly to the remaining seven countries, who depend on the United States either for economic or military aid—or both. ....

All these countries were seemingly aware of the fact that in 1990 the United States almost overnight cut about 70 million dollars in aid to Yemen immediately following its negative vote against a U.S. sponsored Security Council resolution to militarily oust Iraq from Kuwait. ....

The Yemen precedent remains a vivid institutional reality, Bennis said, that just after that 1990 vote, the U.S. envoy turned to the Yemeni ambassador and told him that his vote would be “the most expensive ‘no’ vote you would ever cast”. The United States then promptly cut the entire 70-million-dollar U.S. aid budget to Yemen.

The latest incarnation of that reality, Bennis said, came from the island nation of Mauritius, which joined the Security Council last year under U.S. sponsorship.

The U.S. aid package to the impoverished country, authorised by the U.S. African Growth and
Opportunity Act (AGOA), demands that the aid recipient “does not engage in activities contrary to U.S. national security or foreign policy interests.”

Fear of being accused of acting contrary to U.S. foreign policy interests plays a role “not only for Mauritius, but also for any country dependent on U.S. economic assistance,” added Bennis.

Colombia, one of the world’s leading producer of cocaine and an important supplier of heroin to the U.S. market, received about 380 million dollars in U.S. grants under the International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (INCLE) programme this year. The proposed amount earmarked for 2003 is 439 million dollars.

Under the same programme, Mexico received about 10 million dollars last year and 12 million dollars this year. It also received 28.2 million dollars in U.S. Economic Support Funds (ESF).

Guinea, another of the non-permanent members in the Security Council, received three million dollars in outright U.S. military grants last year and is expected to get 20.7 million dollars in development assistance next year.

Cameroon is not only entitled to receive free surplus U.S. weapons but also receives about 2.5 million dollars in annual grants for military education and training. After Colombia, the largest single beneficiary of U.S. aid is Bulgaria, which received 13.5 million dollars in outright military grants (mostly to buy U.S. weapons systems) last year and an additional 8.5 million dollars this year. The amount earmarked for 2003 is 9.5 million dollars.

Additionally, Bulgaria has received 69 million dollars in aid under a U.S. programme called Support for East European Democracy (SEED). Next year’s proposed grant is 28 million dollars.

Besides Syria, Singapore is the only country in the Security Council that does not receive economic or military aid from the United States. But the United States is the biggest single arms supplier to Singapore, selling the Southeast Asian nations weapons worth 656.3 million dollars last year and an estimated 370 million dollars this year.

Could any of these countries easily stand up to the United States or refuse to fall in line with their benefactor or military ally?

James Abourezk, a former U.S. Senator, said he seriously doubts that any country receiving U.S. government aid could withstand the economic pressure to vote for a U.S. resolution at the Security Council.

“It would be a tragedy,” he told IPS, “if a war were to be declared based on such pressure”.

[JR: Brining UN member nations shows how far the U.S. will go to sway others to do things OUR way. We are not subtle in our approach either. What we are doing is what the gangsters did during the Roaring Twenties to intimidate the weak and the helpless and to pay off officials the way the agitators did during the Roaring Twenties to intimidate the weak and the helpless and to pay off officials to shield and protect their operations. It was a crime then and it is still a crime today, even for a corrupt superpower to shield and protect their operations. It was a crime then and it is still a crime today, even for a corrupt superpower to shield and protect their operations. It was a crime then and it is still a crime today, even for a corrupt superpower to shield and protect their operations. It was a crime then and it is still a crime today, even for a corrupt superpower to shield and protect their operations.]
The global climate is changing in big ways, probably because of human actions, and it is time to focus on adapting to the impacts instead of just fighting to limit the warming. That, in a nutshell, was the idea that dominated the latest round of international climate talks, which ended Friday in New Delhi.

While many scientists have long held this view, it was a striking departure for the policymakers at the talks, mostly industry lobbyists, environmental activists and government officials. For more than a decade, their focus had been the fight over whether to cut smokestack and tailpipe emissions of carbon dioxide and other heat-trapping greenhouse gases.

Many environmentalists had long avoided discussing adaptation for fear it would smack of defeatism. Experts espousing the views of industry were thrilled with the shift in New Delhi.

“By building capabilities to deal with climate change, we’ll be much better off than just paying attention to global warming,” said Myron Ebell, who directs climate policy for the Competitive Enterprise Institute, a private group that opposes regulatory approaches to environmental problems.

Although they conceded its importance, environmental campaigners said an approach that focused on adapting to climate change was implicitly doomed to fail because the impact of unfettered emissions would eventually exceed people’s ability to adjust.

Moreover, many said that coral reefs, alpine forests and other fragile ecosystems don’t have the resiliency of human societies and would simply be unable to cope with fast-changing conditions.

The change in attitude expressed in the negotiations and in a formal declaration adopted Friday has been partly driven by unusual weather this year; record floods in Europe, landslides in the Himalayas, searing drought in southern Asia and Africa.

No single weather event can be linked to human-caused warming. But as the costs of weather-related disasters rise, unease about climate change rises too. So far this year, unusual weather is blamed for 9,400 deaths and $56 billion in damage, according to the United Nations and insurers, and deaths and costs have been rising for years.

Another impetus is the realization that many significant shifts have already been set in motion by a century-long accumulation of warming gases.

Even if emissions stopped today, some experts say, the volume of greenhouse gases already in the atmosphere would slowly raise sea levels for another century or two as warmer water expands and terrestrial ice melts. The result would be coastal erosion and intrusion of salt water into water supplies.

The new focus suits the agendas of the Bush administration and many developing countries, which for different reasons want to avoid cutting emissions of warming gases. But some environmental campaigners say the shift will discourage efforts to cut dependence on fossil fuels like coal and oil, the main source of the offending gases, in favor of building dikes, designing hardier crops or other engineering fixes.

Many experts say the use of coal and oil is bound to keep rising for decades, particularly as poor countries climb the economic ladder from bicycles and water buckets to cars and washing machines.

There are also ways to foster development in poor countries that limit harm from climate change. Experts say that in semiarid zones in Africa and Asia, agricultural assistance could improve farmers’ ability to endure heat and drought.

In some areas, adaptation is already under way. In the Himalayas, some communities, with the help of the United Nations, are installing alarm systems to warn of flash floods as expanding lakes of glacial meltwater grow to the bursting point in the next decade.

Low-lying island nations, like the Maldives in the Indian Ocean, have been watching the slow rise of the seas for decades and have built sea barriers but possibly to evacuate entirely at some point.

Adaptation was supported in New Delhi because it suits the current Bush administration, which has tried to shift debate away from reduction of emissions, and developing countries, which have expressed frustration at the developed world’s inertia in limiting its emissions and its delays in pledged aid.

Many environmentalists had long avoided discussing adaptation for fear it would smack of defeatism.

U.S. GOV’S ‘ULTIMATE DATABASE’ RUN BY A FELON

By Thomas C. Greene, The Register—UK, 11/14/02

WASHINGTON—We all know that truth is stranger than fiction, and here we have an apparently real item straight from the realm of Tom Clancy. Imagine a huge, absolutely huge, central database containing both the official and commercial data of every single citizen, run by the U.S. military ostensibly for anti-terror and Homeland Security purposes, and all of it under the direction of a convicted felon.

Well the database is in development and coming soon, according to the New York Times; and the felon who will direct Reagan administration liar, dirty-trickster and cover-up Admiral John M. Poindexter, who Dubbya has taken out of mothballs to keep us all safe from dreadful evildoers.

Poindexter got caught up in a little Federal crime spree called Iran-Contra a decade ago, stood trial and was convicted, but managed to escape responsibility on an odd technicality.

As told succinctly by FAS.org, Poindexter was “Indicted March 16, 1988, on seven felony charges. After standing trial on five charges, Poindexter was found guilty April 7, 1990, on all counts: conspiracy (obstruction of inquiries and proceedings, false statements, falsification, destruction and removal of documents); two counts of obstruction of Congress and two counts of false statements.

District Judge Harold H. Greene sentenced Poindexter June 11, 1990, to six months in prison for each count, to be served concurrently. A three-judge appeals panel on November 15, 1991 reversed the convictions on the ground that Poindexter’s immunized testimony may have influenced the trial testimony of witnesses. The Supreme Court on December 7, 1992 declined to review the case. In 1993, the indictment was dismissed on the motion of Independent Counsel.”

Now he’s in charge of the newly-invented Information Awareness Office, a part of that mixed bag of good and bad, the U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), and he’s got his eye on basically every scrap of data about every single citizen. The system Poincy is preparing to unleash on us “will provide intelligence analysts and law enforcement officials with instant access to information from Internet mail and calling records to credit card and banking transactions and travel documents, without a search warrant,” the NIT article says.

And he’s in no way embarrassed by his role ensuring that the U.S. military and federal law enforcement and intelligence spooks can quite conveniently spy on the populace. He’s said openly that the U.S. government “needs to ‘break down the stovepipes’ that separate commercial and government databases,” the article says.

Poindexter joins a slew of Reagan-era retirees and Iran-Contra alumni now operating brazenly in Dubbya’s bureaucracy. No doubt he feels quite comfortable among such familiar company, though I doubt I could say the same for the rest of us.

[JR: Who says there is only one felon serving in high government office who make up the Bush Jr. cabal of co-conspirators. These recycled retreats from Bush Sr. are traitors to their country but are being peddled off as indispensable patriots. The Clintonites were dishonest pragmatists who could neither really understand our enemies nor our friends, but fought away from them with our secrets and our technologies to our enemies. The Bushites have cunningly deceived us into believing that the Republicans are better able to protect and preserve our country’s values, and that truth, honesty and fairness would return to government. Now thanks to the media, who was better at hiding behind their lies and deceptions... the Bushites or the Clintonites?]
Even more blatant was the report issued by the leading think-tank of the American establishment, the Council on Foreign Relations, in October, “Terrorist Financing.” The report claims that Saudi Arabia is a “Hank” Greenberg of the AIG insurance cartel, himself a notorious money-launderer. The report castigates Islamic charities in general, but hits Saudi Arabia in particular, ...

I HAD A DREAM LAST NIGHT — A SILVER DREAM

By Ralph Johnston, gold-eagle.com (Editorial), 11/15/02

It all starts with a regime change in the Latin American republic of La Plata. The new president is swept into office on the heels of 2000% inflation by an electorate fed up with IMF-induced bank failures. On his second day in office, El Presidente appoints a new central bank governor, an economist and former labor leader, who quietly informs the central bank’s silver lease counterparties that the leases will no longer be rolled over. The silver must be returned at the end of the current lease term, 55 days hence, or the central bank will go public with announcement of the default. But the physical silver cannot easily be repaid, because it is long-time, having been sold into the spot market and used in industrial production a decade earlier. So the counterparties, large New York firms, have a challenge.

A distinguished New York financial executive and former U.S. Treasury Secretary quietly contacts the La Plata central bank governor and proposes a settlement: a $20 million contribution will be made to the central bank governor’s favorite charity—and the leased silver will be offset by forgiving a substantial amount of La Plata Brady bonds. Surprisingly, though, the central bank governor replies no dice—and by the way, Bob, El Presidente says to tell you that he’s planning to retire those Brady bonds with La Plata reals, not U.S. dollars, at the official exchange rate. Why should Yanqui bondholders be treated any better than La Plata bank depositors?

Over the next two days, the bribe offer is raised, first to $40 million, then to $75 million. The rejection of the final offer is accompanied by a leak to the London financial press that El Presidente is considering appointing a blue-ribbon commission of La Plata business leaders and economists to study the concept of metal-backed currency. In Manhattan the message is received, and in a series of conference calls between New York and Washington the policy is established: Default on the La Plata debt will be averted, at least in the short term. Paying that the leased silver is promptly repaid. Initially it is assumed that Treasury, Exchange Stabilization Fund and Department of Defense silver holdings will be sufficient to meet the crisis. But a series of phone calls quickly reveals that in the past three decades, U.S. government silver holdings have been drawn down from several billion ounces to near zero. The only stocks readily available are 320,000 ounces being held in the West Point mint and slated for production of U.S. Eagles. On the exchange, silver is still officially open for business, but the market immediately perceives that the leased silver is now our problem. Having repaid, a new rule: All silver contracts are to be settled in real market price. The next morning, every bar in the warehouse is naked short writing. But on the third day, McCartney-Black and the Federal Reserve, the Comex, announces new rules: All silver contracts are to be settled in cash—and no new silver positions are to be opened.

Both of the two markets for physical silver have now ceased to operate, first the primary market, which is the OTC leasing market; then the secondary market, the commodity exchange. On the exchange, silver is still officially open for business, but as the “ask” rises above the limit, trading ceases.

Industry needs silver to operate. With the sole exception of photography, for which silver is a major input factor, manufacturers’ cost of silver is a very small fraction of total production cost—but silver is essential to their processes, and no other element can substitute for it. Electronics, medical, auto, and defense producers must have small but steady inputs of silver. All have transitioned to just-in-time inventory practices, thus demand is urgent. The cost of curtailing production is huge, so the price of silver is very inelastic. It is a repeat of the 1990’s run-up in palladium prices—but this time the demand is from every industrial sector, not just from auto manufacturers. A new, third market must emerge immediately to serve the industrial users. Nebraska-Western, a publicly-traded holding company with large silver bullion holdings, quietly informs the purchasing managers of the twenty largest industrial users in the U.S. that it has 80 million ounces in good delivery form, is for sale at the London warehouse—at a price of $50 an ounce, cash and carry. McCartney-Black immediately charters a jet aircraft out of Gatwick and wires many dollars to a bank in Omaha.

The fiction of a Comex silver market is officially maintained. But the market immediately perceives that Nebraska-Western’s price is the real market price. McCartney-Black and Nebraska-Western have agreed not to disclose their deal, but New York’s Attorney General, now beginning his campaign for President as a crusading reformer of financial fraud, gets a copy of the contract from McCartney-Black’s CPO in exchange for full immunity, and leaks it to New York’s newspaper of record. When the editors of the newspaper of record, under pressure from the New York bankers, that the story is unfit for print, the AG provides it to a small Connecticut paper, whose editor gleefully breaks the story.

As the public learns of the real price of silver—but is unsure how long it will prevail—small hoards of bullion and scrap come to market. Recyclers pick through their piles of circuit boards, recovering silver that was previously uneconomic. Eighty thousand silver bugs start bringing their bags of Kennedy-era silver coins to smelters and coin shops, a couple of bags at a time.
Mutual fund companies are bombarded with inquiries about silver funds, but none exist. Fund analysts are quickly redeployed from telcoms to silver—and they quickly conclude that there are only two first-rate silver companies in the world. Both are Vancouver-based. One is a miner, one is an explorer, and both have been acquiring silver properties at bargain prices during the long bear market. Their combined market cap is less than U.S.$300 million, or was up until a couple of days ago. The shareholders of these companies sell a substantial fraction of their holdings to mutual fund managers and invest the proceeds in gold.

Holders of physical silver and silver mining shares reap sizeable gains, but long futures speculators are disappointed. The exchange compels cash settlement of futures contracts at the official exchange price. The President of the United States, invoking unconstitutional emergency powers, declares silver to be a vital war commodity, imposes price controls, sets the official price at the commodity exchange level—and declares that anyone who has invested in silver and actually possesses it is a greedy hoarder and an international terrorist. After all, “you’re either with us or against us”. The chairman of Nebraska-Western immediately ceases selling his company’s silver holdings, which are protected from U.S. government seizure by virtue of their offshore location. In response to inquiries from purchasing managers, Nebraska-Western says it will await the day when legal trading resumes at an economically rational price point. Later, in exchange for immunity from war profiteering charges, the company’s chairman quietly agrees to sell twelve million ounces to the U.S. government at the official price, to be used in critical defense manufacturing.

It’s just a dream. It didn’t really happen.

BUSH AIDE: INSPECTIONS OR NOT, WE’LL ATTACK IRAQ

The Mirror—UK, 11/23/02

George Bush’s top security adviser last night admitted the U.S. would attack Iraq even if UN inspectors fail to find weapons.

Dr. Richard Perle stunned MPs by insisting a “clean bill of health” from UN chief weapons inspector Hans Blix would not halt America’s war machine.

Evidence from ONE witness on Saddam Hussein’s weapons programme will be enough to trigger a fresh military onslaught, he told an all-party meeting on global security.

Former defence minister and Labour backbencher Peter Kilfoyle said: “America is duping the world into believing it supports these inspections. President Bush intends to go to war even if inspectors find nothing.

“This makes a mockery of the whole process and exposes America’s real determination to bomb Iraq.”

Dr. Perle told MPs: “I cannot see how Hans Blix can state more than he can know. All he can know is the results of his own investigations. And that does not prove Saddam does not have weapons of mass destruction.”

The chairman of America’s defence policy board said: “Suppose we are able to find someone who has been involved in the development of weapons and he says there are stores of nerve agents. But you cannot find them because they are so well hidden.

“Do you actually have to take possession of the nerve agents to convince? We are not dealing with a situation where you can expect co-operation.”

Mr. Kilfoyle said MPs would be horrified at the admission. He added: “Because Saddam is so hated in Iraq, it would be easy to find someone to say they witnessed weapons building.

Perle says the Americans would be satisfied with such claims even if no real evidence was produced. [MM: Perle is obviously referring principally to like-minded Zionists and other lowlife, turncoat Israel-firsters."

“So absurd is Perle’s reasoning that it is beyond belief how he can be taken seriously by the British public. Is he not aware that this is the same sort of argument that was used in the build-up to the Iraq war?"

“If the Americans are satisfied with such claims, then it is clear that they have no intention of following up the claims with proper investigation. This is a recipe for disaster.”
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