

***RISE OF  
ANTICHRIST***

**VOL. 3**



**BY**

**GYEORGOS CERES HATONN**

**A PHOENIX JOURNAL**

# ***RISE OF ANTICHRIST***

**VOL. 3**



**BY**

**GYEORGOS CERES HATONN**

**A PHOENIX JOURNAL**

## **COPYRIGHT POSITION STATEMENT AND DISCLAIMER**

The Phoenix Journals are intended as a "real time" commentary on current events, how current events relate to past events and the relationships of both to the physical and spiritual destinies of mankind.

All of history, as we now know it, has been revised, rewritten, twisted and tweaked by selfishly motivated men to achieve and maintain control over other men. When one can understand that everything is comprised of "energy" and that even physical matter is "coalesced" energy, and that all energy emanates from God's thought, one can accept the idea that the successful focusing of millions of minds on one expected happening will cause it to happen.

If the many prophecies made over thousands of years are accepted, these are the "end times" (specifically the year 2000, the second millennium, etc.). That would put us in the "sorting" period and only a few short years from the finish line. God has said that in the end-times would come the WORD--to the four corners of the world--so that each could decide his/her own course toward, or away from, divinity--based upon TRUTH.

So, God sends His Hosts--Messengers--to present that TRUTH. This is the way in which He chooses to present it, through the Phoenix Journals. Thus, these journals are Truth, which cannot be copyrighted; they are compilations of information already available on Earth, researched and compiled by others (some, no doubt, for this purpose) which should not be copyrighted. Therefore, these journals are not copyrighted (except *SIPAPU ODYSSEY* which is "fiction").

The first sixty or so journals were published by America West Publishing which elected to indicate that a copyright had been applied for on the theory that the ISBN number (so necessary for booksellers) was dependent upon the copyright. Commander Hatonn, the primary author and compiler, insisted that no copyrights be applied for and, to our knowledge, none were.

If the Truth is to reach the four corners of the world, it must be freely passed on. It is hoped that each reader will feel free to do that, keeping it in context, of course.

***RISE OF ANTICHRIST***

**Vol. 3**

**ISBN 1-56935-186-4**

**First Edition Printed by**

**PHOENIX SOURCE PUBLISHERS, Inc.**

**P.O. Box 27353**

**Las Vegas, Nevada 89126**

**February 1998**

**Printed in the United States of America**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b> .....	<b>8</b>
THU., FEB. 19, 1998 .....	8
THE CURRENT JEWISH QUESTION .....	8
WE GET INSTRUCTIONS .....	8
ZIPPER UPDATE .....	9
VINCE FOSTER <i>MORE ZIPPER UPDATE?</i> .....	9
<b>INSERT: VINCE FOSTER &amp;</b>	
<b>BOOK COVER [pict.]</b> .....	<b>10</b>
PINK SLIP, WHITE HOUSE STYLE .....	10
SKEPTICAL OF OFFICIAL FINDINGS .....	11
SLIPSHOD OR WORSE? .....	12
THE STARR REPORT.....	13
PRINCESS DI .....	14
<b>CHAPTER 2</b> .....	<b>15</b>
THU., FEB. 19, 1998 .....	15
JEWS IN THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION .....	15
PART 7, <i>GLOBAL PARASITES</i>	
ANTI-SEMITISM--WILL IT APPEAR IN THE U.S.? .....	17
I ANTI-SEMITISM IS NOT .....	18
II GRADES OF ANTI-SEMITISM.....	20
III WHERE ARE WE HEADED?.....	23
<b>CHAPTER 3</b> .....	<b>27</b>
FRI., FEB. 20, 1998 .....	27
"MILITARY ACTION BUT NO WAR" .....	27
PATRIOT GROUPS AND BIOLOGICAL AGENTS	
PART 8, <i>GLOBAL PARASITES</i>	
JEWISH QUESTION BREAKS INTO THE MAGAZINES .....	29
<b>CHAPTER 4</b> .....	<b>36</b>
SAT., FEB. 21, 1998.....	36
THE SHAME AND <i>THE BLAME IS ON "YOU"</i> , AMERICA, U.S.A. ....	36
EDITORIAL .....	36
<b>INSERT: MADELEINE ALBRIGHT [pict]</b> .....	<b>37</b>
CLINTON HOODWINKED ON IRAQ	
DISINFORMATION CAMPAIGN BY MOSSAD	
by Martin Mann .....	37
UNRELIABLE REPORTS .....	38
WARNING NOTICE.....	38
OPPOSITION .....	39
ANATOMY OF DISINFORMATION CAMPAIGN:	
IT'S IRAN-CONTRA AFFAIR ALL OVER AGAIN, by Martin Mann .....	40
AGENTS NAMED .....	41
AFTERMATH .....	41
U.S. TACTICAL NUKES ON STANDBY IN GULF	
by Mike Blair .....	42
PPD-60 CONFIRMED .....	42
FARRAKHAN MAKES FIERY PLEA .....	43

CANADA AND THE U.S. GOVERNMENTS	
DRAW CRITICISM ON IRAQ .....	44
BETTER THAN GOLD .....	45
<b>CHAPTER 5 .....</b>	<b>46</b>
SUN., FEB 22, 1998 .....	46
POWER OF THE PRESS AND MEDIA .....	46
PART 9, <i>GLOBAL PARASITES</i>	
ARTHUR BRISBANE LEAPS TO THE HELP OF JEWRY .....	46
<b>CHAPTER 6 .....</b>	<b>52</b>
SUN., FEB. 22, 1998 .....	52
PART 10, <i>GLOBAL PARASITES</i>	
DOES A DEFINITE JEWISH WORLD PROGRAM EXIST? .....	52
<b>CHAPTER 7 .....</b>	<b>60</b>
SANANDA: MAY THE LIGHT YOU SAVE BE YOUR OWN!	
2/22/98 ESU "JESUS" SANANDA.....	60
<i>A LOOK AT DARKNESS AND AT LIGHT</i> .....	60
<b>CHAPTER 8 .....</b>	<b>65</b>
MON., FEB. 23, 1998 .....	65
<i>BANKS AND POWER!</i> .....	65
DO YOU KNOW? .....	65
<b>CHAPTER 9 .....</b>	<b>68</b>
TUE., FEB. 24, 1998 .....	68
LIFE AND DEATH AND DYING .....	68
LET GO AND LET GOD! .....	70
LOVE IS GIVING--ALWAYS, GIVING .....	72
<b>CHAPTER 10 .....</b>	<b>77</b>
WED., FEB. 25, 1998 .....	77
GLOBAL RECOGNITION .....	77
PART 11, <i>GLOBAL PARASITES</i>	
THE HISTORIC BASIS OF JEWISH IMPERIALISM.....	77
<b>CHAPTER 11 .....</b>	<b>86</b>
THU., FEB. 26, 1998 .....	86
NOT THE <i>PROTOCOLS</i> , AGAIN! .....	86
TODAY IN WAR-LAND .....	86
<b>INSERT: MADELEINE ALBRIGHT [pict] .....</b>	<b>86</b>
AN INTRODUCTION TO THE " <i>JEWISH PROTOCOLS</i> " .....	87
(CONFERENCE OF JUNE 26, 1994	
BY POPE JOHN PAUL II) .....	92
<b>CHAPTER 12 .....</b>	<b>95</b>
THU., FEB. 26, 1998 .....	95
PART 12, <i>GLOBAL PARASITES"</i>	
<i>JEWISH" ESTIMATE OF GENTILE HUMAN NATURE</i> .....	95
<b>CHAPTER 13 .....</b>	<b>103</b>
FRI., FEB. 27, 1998 .....	103
GLOBAL SCIENCES.....	103
SAME OLD NAMES AND SAME OLD FACES .....	104
PRIME MOVER FOUND IN LEWINSKY AFFAIR .....	105
PART 14, <i>GLOBAL PARASITES</i>	
" <i>JEWISH PROTOCOLS</i> " CLAIM PARTIAL FULFILLMENT .....	107
IMPORTANT ANNOUNCEMENT .....	116

APPENDIX OF DEFINITIONS ..... 116  
END..... 121

## CHAPTER 1

REC #1 HATONN

THU., FEB. 19, 1998 7:36 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 187

THU., FEB. 19, 1998

### THE CURRENT JEWISH QUESTION

Why is there always an assumption that when we speak the word "Jew" that we are being disparaging and/or derogatory? I think the problem comes from the MISUSE of the term otherwise. Just as "Semitic" does NOT mean Jew, neither do I nor all other persons who speak on the subject mean the Jewish people. I am speaking of the New World Order World Controllers who seem to gain control of everything from religions to economics. These plunderers will take property in any way required to gain it. THEY call themselves Jews and therefore I, like most writers, have no other suitable term to affix.

If you are of dark skin you are called Black, if you are pale you are called White, if you are Native you are called Red. NONE OF THE TERMS FIT CORRECTLY, NOR DO THEY EVEN MATCH COLOR--BUT NONETHELESS THESE ARE THE RECOGNIZED TERMS.

Semite includes all of the regional peoples, so how can one group claim that others are anti-IT? These are the very things which divide worlds and disallow harmonious interchange. IGNORANCE is the worst offender of any age.

### WE GET INSTRUCTIONS

Oh indeed, we get interesting input on our writings, especially my writings. This is good because we do not write just to exercise fingers. However, readers, you would be most surprised at some of the input. We have one highly respected party from a very well known magazine and paper producer who actually claims we are trying to please such as the Jewish ADL for running their propaganda. That is in response to the Protocols no less.

When you REALLY LOOK at Henry Ford's writings you will note that they are observations after researching historical happenings, and from that "he" formed conclusions, nothing more.

What we always aim to achieve is to make people think, wake up to the moment, and see what is happening to the very things you CLAIM to hold dear and, then if and when appropriate, when things are NOT RIGHT, act accordingly. Yesterday you witnessed three Jewish high, high-level Administrators face a roomful of PEOPLE in Ohio. The whole staged plan was to gather with syrup the masses to the notion of bombing to hell Saddam Hussein's innocent citizens. It did NOT go well and one young man, bravely, after having his microphone cut off, said it was a staged CNN show for disinformation and he objected. Well, so many objected that the speakers could not speak. Will it make a difference? Yes, they won't do that again unless they have prescreened attendees better.

The whole thing reminds me of Janet Reno's reason for burning up so many people in David Koresh's home, including all those babies and children--with their Mothers. It was to keep Koresh from "abusing" the children. Well, it is true, he can't abuse THOSE children any more or ever.



I do find myself pondering on just how many CITIZENS would line up in front of Mr. Zipper and these "Jack the Rippers" to save their lives. There are THOUSANDS and THOUSANDS of Iraqi citizens ready TO DIE before you can get to Saddam. Is something wrong with this picture?

What happens to a nation when the GREAT IDEALS are gone? ANARCHY! But the idea that change must come from Combat Revolution is also a misdirection of reason and logic.

It reminds me of the mentality of those who killed "Indians", which is not even the Native American's name. Whole tribes were wiped out like ants (and I don't even condone wiping out ants, for they have a purpose) with a flip of the hand and "they're only INDIANS". So, in Iraq, "they're only Moslems". Well, just as with the ants you invade--they will struggle until they can sting you to death--count on it. And people: IF YOU BUILD YOUR WOODEN HOUSE ON A TERMITE HILL--EXPECT TO HAVE TERMITES. IF YOU BUILD YOUR HOUSE ON FILL-DIRT OVERLOOKING THE "GRAND VIEW" OF THE OCEAN--EXPECT IT TO SLIDE INTO THE SEA WHEN THE STORMS COME! But of the ones needing help, just WHO do you think will get the most assistance from your government? That's right, the ones who can live another day to donate to campaigns and political parties.


### ZIPPER UPDATE

And Monica Lewinsky? Why doesn't someone tell the media and poor Gerry Spence WHY THE INTERROGATION OF MONICA'S MOTHER? It is not over a bit of sex in the oval office; it is about hundreds of thousands of dollars in contributions from Father and Grandfather Lewinsky. Even our good old buddy, Gerry, acts as if DAYS of grilling the "mother" "Lewis" is about the "Big Creep". NO, it is about bribery, corruption and crimes in the White House on up and down. Monica's family bought and paid for her place in the White House, Pentagon, and for Job placements, so who is kidding who? Moreover, THAT is the important "side chatter" ON THOSE TRIPP TAPES that matters.

### VINCE FOSTER MORE *ZIPPER UPDATE? (Never mind Linda Tripp-up)*

Most people read the findings of Mr. Starr and dropped the thought of problems of Mr. Foster. He went forth and suicided himself, it was decided BY MR. STARR. Well, who cares WHO decided to ignore the facts. The subject only pops in now when you mention Ms. Tripp was the last to see Foster "alive". Well, she worked in or around his office so what might you expect? Nonetheless, some observations continue to be made by astute writers who would like some JUSTICE out of this UNJUST SYSTEM. Vince Foster was murdered. In *NEW AMERICAN*, March 2, 1998, Vol. 14, No. 5, pp 31-32 is a most interesting article.

The article itself is by William P. Hoar and is a review of Mr. Christopher Ruddy's book for which I will give information:



[QUOTING, *NEW AMERICAN*, March 2, 1998, (Book Review):]

### PINK SLIP, WHITE HOUSE STYLE

*The Strange Death of Vincent Foster: An Investigation*, by Christopher Ruddy, New York: Free Press, 1997, 316 pages, hardcover, \$25.00. Available from American Opinion Book Services, P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, WI 54913. Add \$3.00 for shipping and handling.

According to the *Washington Post*, author Christopher Ruddy is the White House's new "Media Enemy No. 1." This honorable distinction comes as a result of Ruddy's reports on the deaths of deputy counsel and Clinton intimate Vincent Foster and, more recently, former Commerce Secretary Ron Brown. Before a national television audience not long ago, White House press secretary Mike McCurry questioned whether Ruddy should even be called a journalist, claiming that he writes "in a very vituperative fashion". **[H: Hummnn, same complaints run rampant about us.]** McCurry went on to blast Ruddy for using his personal view in ways "that border on hate". **[H: Now isn't that typical of the hounds with which we deal at the top? An opinion that "borders on hate"? Well, Mr. McCurry (not his original name) is making some pretty significant bloopers himself lately.]**

From the furious White House attacks on Ruddy, one would assume him to be a slashing, sneering polemical hack, belligerent to the truth, splenetic, and choleric to the core. Not so. A touch of the routinely measured and constrained nature of his work may be seen in an earlier interview in this (*New American*) magazine (December 23, 1996 issue). Well, someone might say, perhaps he is personally well-mannered, but that doesn't mean he couldn't be a hate monger, as the President's spokesman suggests. In other words, *The Strange Death of Vincent Foster* could be simply a collection of lies and half-truths designed solely to embarrass the White House. A perusal of the book quickly puts that notion to rest.

What Ruddy does, and does well, is to point out the parade of errors, misstatements, and seemingly deliberate oversights that occurred following Foster's death in July 1993. This he does with deliberate understatement, buttressed by good, old-fashioned reporting. No, he doesn't say Foster was murdered or posit some mad conspiracy run by President Clinton down through the entire Park Police and medical examiner. But he does lay out hundreds of pages of evidence, concluding his treatise by speculating that Fort Marcy Park, where Foster's body was discovered,

"may yet become the symbol of a cover-up conducted by people who have, with the help of the press, placed themselves above the law."

For his troubles, Ruddy has not only been maligned by the Clintonite left, but his book has even been panned in several supposedly conservative journals, by those who are ever quick to quash any hint of conspiracy in public life. **[H: I wonder how they describe our *Journals* and paper? Are we "conservative"? Liberal? Conspirators? Left? Right? Does anyone even know what these terms mean? Absolutely NOTHING; they are terms like "weapons of mass destruction" that are used over and over again until there IS NO MEANING.]**

The way to judge Ruddy's book is fundamental: Read it. If, after viewing the facts he carefully outlines, you don't believe there has been an obstruction of justice and a cover-up, please send your name to those raising legal FUNDS for Bill and Hillary so you can be put on their mailing list.

### SKEPTICAL OF OFFICIAL FINDINGS

Unless you have followed events closely, you probably didn't know that Miguel Rodriguez **[H: WHO?]**, independent counsel Kenneth Starr's lead prosecutor on Foster's death, left for ethical reasons over the way the probe was being handled. The coverage of the event was minimal. Can you imagine the contrasting uproar if a comparable figure had left, say, the Iran-Contra probe because it wasn't being carried out in good faith?

Sure, the Park Police, Robert Fiske's investigation, and Kenneth Starr's report all assert that Foster simply killed himself. But many other knowledgeable observers are not buying that story. This includes the experts who concluded that Foster's "suicide note" was a forgery. Other skeptics include police homicide experts who determined that Vince Foster's body had been moved to Fort Marcy Park after his death. Ruddy, who is a correspondent with the *Pittsburgh Tribune-Review* and a media fellow at Stanford's Hoover Institute, doesn't make this up, but merely takes note of it.

Crucial questions remain unanswered: Where is the bullet that killed Foster? Where are Foster's fingerprints if he used the gun at the scene to kill himself? Why were there no fingerprints (Foster's or those of others known to have handled the paper) on the torn-up "suicide note"? How did the note come to be in the briefcase many days after it was thoroughly searched--conveniently just when serious doubts about the violent death were beginning to be aired? Why were witnesses badgered into altering their stories to fit the pre-determined tale? Why, if Foster walked for a distance over the park grounds on that summer day, was there no soil found on his shoes? Why, on the other hand, were there so many carpet fibers on his pant legs, and why were they not checked against the locales where they were said to originate?

Why is there so much evidence that the body was moved after death? What happened during the many unaccounted hours after Foster left the White House until his body was discovered? Why would the Fiske report state that the White House deputy counsel had lost weight, when the doctor's records indicate he had actually gained several pounds? If the White House really wanted to get to the bottom of all of this, why did its personnel rush to Foster's office and make off with Foster's files, then impede the investigation from the beginning? **[H: By the way, Tripp is taking a bad bashing and why might that be? Well, she was called to testify in the Foster matter and had to, under oath, tell the truth about things. She KNEW those files just mentioned were immediately CARTED OFF TO THE WHITE HOUSE CLINTON PRIVATE QUARTERS. Don't you like the way the press says: After all, this is not murder (a bit of zipper problems in the Oval Office) or something serious. Well, what happened when there WAS MURDER AND**

**"SOMETHING" SERIOUS? And moreover, there was NOT enough evidence LEFT WHOLE to PROVE BEYOND A SHADOW OF A DOUBT that Foster was murdered. THAT must be proven by prosecutors, readers, in a court of law.]**

Here's a question with an answer: Who was it that called the Rose Law Firm in Little Rock--where Hillary Clinton, Foster, and others had held sway before coming to Washington--to talk about the death. This person, on the evening of the death, told a Rose attorney: "Don't believe a word you hear. It was NOT suicide. It couldn't have been."

The answer: Then-Associate Attorney General WEBB HUBBELL made those remarks. He and others close to Foster obviously feared foul play had occurred. Hubbell later changed his tune over this--much as he did after he unguardedly admitted that he had noted no evidence of changes in Foster's behavior or appearance, nor was he aware that Foster was "experiencing any type of stress". Yet Hubbell would later testify before a Senate committee that "we were all concerned. Vince had lost weight, had seemed to be depressed." The contradiction went unremarked by the senators. Ruddy, however, took notice.

**[H: I also take notice. The facts are that Foster did a courier run regularly to Switzerland to attend, at the least, Hillary's accounts in the foreign banks protected from publicity. You will note that within this month SHE had to make a trip (business of course--all first ladies attend foreign council meetings) to SWITZERLAND. She is having to check on and work out a way to get funds for fighting these growing and expensive legal battles. What they dig up THIS TIME *could* bury them all in prison or have them shot for criminal reasons and treason. This is why Starr keeps going back to Little Rock--not to check out the length of the dress "gift" for Ms. Monica. The target on Starr's back must make his neck itch and I would keep a lot of bodyguards if I were he.]**

#### SLIPSHOD OR WORSE?

The riddles surrounding Foster's death were numerous; so, too, were the discrepancies in the various subsequent probes. The autopsy procedures were, at the very least, careless and inadequate. Crucial X-rays are missing. Key photographs somehow disappeared. The evidence about a possible second wound might have been cleared up by a second autopsy--but it didn't occur. *The Strange Death of Vincent Foster* sheds enough light on the subject to make you wonder what the proper procedures might have revealed.

Similar doubts were expressed by independent police investigators, who examined the evidence and presented their findings to reporters in Washington, DC (though most ignored or played down the results). Sure, acknowledged the detectives, odd things do happen. Nevertheless, as Ruddy summarized their incredulity over the gun: "It could not be identified by Foster's family as having been owned by Foster; it was an antique gun made from the parts of two or more weapons with no less than two serial numbers traceable to 1913; it had only two bullets in its cylinder (one spent, the other unfired), yet no matching ammunition could be found in the Foster homes; it was found, unusually, in his hand yet with none of his fingerprints and no blood visible on the barrel; no one heard the gunshot; and the powder burns of Foster's hand challenged the idea that he even fired the weapon." **[H: There was another BIG problem. There was only one spent bullet--but there WERE AT LEAST TWO BULLET WOUNDS! That takes some effort and the help of a ghost-shooter.]**

Among the false assertions in the Fiske report was that "everyone known to have been in Fort

Marcy Park on the afternoon or evening of July 20, 1993, also was questioned." As Ruddy explains in detail, there were at least five people whom Fiske did not even identify, let alone interview, among the dozen or more individuals known to have been in the park on the night of the death. **[H: Still think nothing important might have been said in the Monica tapes? You know, something like "Talkers and tattlers end up Fostered". Just a thought!]**

## THE STARR REPORT

**[H: One thing about Starr: the White House should never have gone to WAR with Mr. Starr because the way it is now arranged it is either Starr or Clintons and either place is a very hard place upon which to sit. And readers, DO NOT OVERLOOK THE POTENTIAL OF BUTTERFLIES AND PROMISES AND SLAVES AND TRANCE FORMATION OF AMERICA!]**

The independent counsel's report, prepared because of the many problems with the Fiske report, was seemingly forever on the verge of being released. Leaked press accounts repeatedly said Kenneth Starr had concluded that Foster had killed himself and that Starr would make public his proofs soon. The inquiry lasted almost three years and cost some \$30 million. The publication date for *The Strange Death of Vincent Foster* was September 22, 1997, and it began to cause waves at once. In short order, the Starr report was issued in the second week of October.

The Starr report is filled with changes in testimony and evidence that attempt to bolster the suicide findings. Yet, as noted by Phil Weiss, a liberal reporter who followed the case closely, those who put together the report were "dishonest" and produced, in toto, a work that was "unbelievable".

Ruddy remains unconvinced, to say the least. Indeed, Ruddy says the Starr report actually proves to him "that a cover-up of significant proportion has taken place involving Vincent Foster's death." Ruddy, lest there be any misunderstanding, also emphasizes that he does not believe independent counsel Kenneth Starr was "in a 'conspiracy' with the White House".

But the independent counsel, comments Ruddy, "has been busy making over \$1 million a year at his private law firm, leaving the inquiry in the hands of prosecutors who are White House cronies (Mark Tuohey) and career Justice Department people." As Starr's Washington deputy, liberal Democrat Tuohey was friendly with top members of the Clinton Justice Department (even hosting a party for Janet Reno) and was seen socializing with such interested parties as Webb Hubbell.

The FBI, Ruddy writes, "has played a leading role in the inquiry, and despite the fact its involvement was 'compromised from the beginning', as former director William Sessions has alleged, Starr, over the objections of prosecutor [Miguel] Rodriguez, allowed the FBI to essentially control the inquiry. The Starr report is disturbing because it indicates just how thoroughly the FBI has been controlled and politicized...".

The independent counsel's report, continues Ruddy, is also "frightening". After all, he says, a "high official dies under suspicious and violent circumstances but the press has paid little attention to the overwhelming evidence of wrongdoing that has been unearthed in previous inquiries. Now much of that evidence has simply been changed or altered and no one has paid much attention to that either."

If you want to know why the author is considered such an enemy by the Clinton team, buy and read *The Strange Death of Vincent Foster*. There is a down side, however. After so doing, you, too,

will feel even more uncomfortable about the folks in and around the White House. *REVIEW: WILLIAM P. HOAR.*

[END OF QUOTING]

Outrageous? Indeed! So what else is new in your world today?

I am reminded that continually LaRouche complains that "my enemies are the SAME ones who are out to get Clinton...". Oh? And why would that be, Mr. LaRouche? Could you possibly be Referring to Bush, Kissinger, et al.? Wow.

### PRINCESS DI

While speaking of murders, let us consider--AGAIN--the murder of Dodi and Di.

Just some questions to remind you there ARE things you haven't thought about and probably neither has Daddy al Fayed. Why was Mr. Jones the ONLY one in the car with a seat belt on? WHO DROPPED THE "MICKEY" IN MR. PAUL'S DRINK? If you can't believe this then where are you on the topic of Date Rape and Ruffles? Come on people, you-the-people are not THAT asleep. Why has Mr. Jones joined the "adversaries" to sue Mohammed? And why does Mr. Jones have bodyguards and keep as far removed and retired as is possible? Conspiracy? It is so obvious how and who that I marvel at your sleepy observations of chanting the Rose of London without doing anything about the killers of that ROSE. Di was going to marry Dodi and BECOME A MOSLEM! Can you imagine what that would do to the CROWN OF ENGLAND? Does anyone remember that Royal Church of England where even the priests wear the coat of arms of the Windsors? And, while YOU mourn and play with Billy's zipper--the culprits GET AWAY WITH THE CRIME.

Now, I've done it: I've ruined your day? Why didn't I just keep talking about the Jews and let the guilty get away? Because I am weary of God's people being unconscious.

**BOMBING IRAQ IS MURDER IN THE WORST DEGREE! DOES ANYBODY HEAR ME? THIS IS INTENTIONAL MURDER OF WOMEN, CHILDREN AND CITIZENS OF A FOREIGN COUNTRY, WITH NO PICK OTHER THAN WITH THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND BRITAIN OVER "OIL" AND INCRIMINATING EVIDENCE AGAINST YOUR POLITICAL AND MILITARY HIERARCHY WEARING THOSE ADORATION CROWNS *YOU PUT ON THEIR HEADS FOR THE LAST MASSACRE YOU PULLED OFF IN THE GULF MIDDLE EAST AGAINST IRAQ.* GOOD FOR OHIO STATE AND SHAME ON YOU WHO MONGER FOR WAR!**

GOD BLESS KOFI ANAN.

Adonai.

And, Vince Foster was MURDERED!

## CHAPTER 2

REC #2 HATONN

THU., FEB. 19, 1998 10:32 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 187

THU., FEB. 19, 1998

### JEWS IN THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION

We are accused of being unkind to the "poor poverty-stricken and underling" Jewish people. (????) Has everyone gone insane? The Jews have taken control of your government--is THAT being poverty-stricken and underlings? I cannot imagine how any one group of people have been so clearly SUCCESSFUL. Is THAT an insult? HOW SO?

Brent M. was looking through some information on the Internet and sent us the following list of JUST-the-IMMEDIATE-administration Clinton people. There is no "lower-staff" listed and, of course, ONLY immediate administration personnel. These are the ones who RUN your GOVERNMENT--Clinton is actually A FIGUREHEAD. He is THE NATURAL CITIZEN that allows ALL THE OTHER FOREIGNERS INTO THE RUNNING OF THE GOVERNMENT!: (Let it be further understood that there are plenty of OTHERS but they have used new names and hide identity, i.e., Reno, McCurry, et al.)

[QUOTING:]

Madeleine Albright Secretary Of State  
Robert Rubin Secretary Of Treasury  
William Cohen Secretary Of Defense  
Dan Glickman Secretary Of Agriculture  
George Tenet CIA Chief  
Samuel Buerger Head National Security Council  
Evelyn Lieberman Deputy Chief Of Staff  
Stuart Eizenstat Under Secy. Of State  
Charlene Barshesky U.S. Trade Representative  
Susan Tomases Aide To First Lady  
Joel Klein Assistant Attorney General  
Gene Sperling National Economic Council  
Ira Magaziner National Health Care  
Peter Tarnoff Deputy Secy. Of State  
Alice Rivlin Economic Advisor  
Janet Yellin Chairwoman, Nat'l Economic Council  
Rahm Emanuel Policy Advisor  
Doug Sosnik Council To President  
Jim Steinberg Deputy To National Security Chief  
Jay Footlik Special Liaison To The Jewish Community  
**[H: No Other Group Has A Special Liaison. Good Name For The Job.]**  
Robert Nash Personal Chief  
Jane Sherburne President's Lawyer  
Mark Penn Asia Expert To Nec  
Sandy Kristoff Health Care Chief

Robert Boorstin Communications Aide  
Keith Boykin Communications Aide  
Jeff Eller Special Asst. To Clinton  
Tom Epstein Health Care Adviser  
Judith Feder Nat'l Security Council  
Richard Feinberg Asst. Secy. Veterans  
Hershel Gober Food And Drug Admin.  
Steve Kessler White House Counsel  
Ron Klein Asst. Secy. Education  
Madeleine Kunin Communications Aide  
David Kusnet Dept. Aids Program  
Margaret Hamburg Dir. Press Conferences  
Mandy Grunwald Liaison To Jew Leaders  
Karen Adler Dir. State Dept. Policy  
Samuel Lewis Nat'l Security Council  
Stanley Ross Nat'l Security Council  
Dan Schifter Director Peace Corps  
Eli Segal Deputy Chief Of Staff

[END OF QUOTING]

There is a page or so missing from the FAX papers, but I think you get the picture.

AND, DEAR ONES, HOW MANY DO YOU THINK ARE ATTORNEYS OF THE AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION?

Does this really appear to be a hard-luck grouping of people, whether it be from race, creed, religion, or what? EVERY major world CORPORATION is headed by these same groups of people. Does this really indicate an ABUSE of their rights?

From the U.S. alone, Israel gets \$3 BILLION annually in CASH from you in the U.S., and now that has been extended to \$6 BILLION in outright payments for NOTHING. Then if you have a skirmish--they get another \$10 BILLION for inconvenience, and whammo--you spend a lot on Jews, it seems to me, while your Blacks are underpaid, under-employed, and your White population has become a religious minority of false "christians".

There are more "victims" of the Holocaust RECEIVING PAYMENTS FROM SUCH AS GERMANY, RUSSIA AND YOU, U.S., THAN THERE WERE JEWS IN EUROPE AT THE TIME OF WORLD WAR II. ANYTHING WRONG WITH THIS PICTURE?

Are FACTS so hard to take, citizens? You allow to immigrate the highest level of controllers right out of foreign lands and into your government controlling offices and yet you have no compassion in separating a child from his mother to deport hungry Hispanics back to Mexico. Is THIS THE AMERICAN "*Liberty and Justice for All*"?

It seems to me, friends, that this is the most successful, whining, "abused" group of people on the face of the globe.

Let us continue with the articles of Mr. Henry Ford, still using articles from 1920, a very LONG TIME AGO.



FROM: *THE INTERNATIONAL JEW--The World's Foremost Problem.*

Vol. I: *Being a Reprint of a Series of Articles  
appearing in the Dearborn Independent  
from May 2 to October 2, 1920.*

Published by: THE DEARBORN PUBLISHING CO. Dearborn,  
Michigan, Nov. 1920.  
Republished May, 1976 by:  
Liberty Bell Publications, Reedy, West Virginia 25270.

[QUOTING:] PART 7, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

ANTI-SEMITISM--WILL IT APPEAR  
IN THE U.S.?

*"To this end we must organize. Organize, in the first place, so that the world may have proof of the extent and the intensity of our desire for liberty. Organize, in the second place, so that our resources may become known and be made available...."*

*"Organize, organize, organize, until every Jew must stand up and be counted--counted with us, or prove himself, wittingly or unwittingly, of the few who are against their own people."*

Louis D. Brandeis, Justice of the United  
States Supreme Court, *ZIONISM*, pp. 113, 114.

**[H: Anyone want to argue?]**

Anyone who essays to discuss the Jewish Question in the United States or anywhere else must be fully prepared to be regarded as an Anti-Semite, in high-brow language, or in low-brow language, a Jew-baiter. **[H: Now you get labeled and prosecuted for being a hate-person or "Jew-hater" instead of baiter. I believe that someone simply misheard the term.]** Nor need encouragement be looked for from people or from press. The people who are awake to the subject at all prefer to wait and see how it all turns out **[H: And now you know how it all turns out, don't you?]**; while there is probably not a newspaper in America, and certainly none of the advertising mediums which are called magazines, which would have the temerity even to breathe seriously the fact that such a Question exists. The press in general is open at this time to fulsome editorials in favor of everything Jewish (specimens of the same being obtainable almost anywhere), while the Jewish press, which is fairly numerous in the United States, takes care of the vituperative end.

Of course, the only acceptable explanation of any public discussion at present of the Jewish Question is that some one--writer, or publisher, or a related interest--is a Jew-hater. That idea seems to be fixed; it is fixed in the Jew by inheritance; it is sought to be fixed in the Gentile by propaganda, that any writing which does not simply cloy and drip in syrupy sweetness toward things Jewish is born of prejudice and hatred. It is, therefore, full of lies, insult, insinuation, and constitutes an instigation to massacre. These terms are culled at random from Jewish editorial utterances at hand. **[H: Yes, we have some also.]**

It would seem to be necessary for our Jewish citizens to enlarge their classifications of Gentiles to include the class which recognizes the existence of a Jewish Question and still is not anti-Semitic.

There are four distinct parties traceable among the Jews themselves. First, those whose passionate purpose is to keep Jewish faith and lie alive at the cost of any sacrifice of popularity or success [**H: Here I am reminded of Zipper Bill who smoked marijuana but didn't inhale, and Madeleine Albright who got to be her age and Secretary of State (U.S.A.) and "didn't know she was Jewish".**]; second, those who are willing to make whatever sacrifice may be needed to preserve Jewish religion, but are not so particular about the traditional customs of Jewish life; third, those who have no very strong convictions either way, but are opportunists, and will always swerve in the direction of success; and, fourth, those who believe and preach that the only solution of the differences between the Jew and other men is the complete absorption of the Jewish race by the other races. The fourth is the weakest, most unpopular and least to be considered of all the parties.

With Gentiles there are only two classes, as far as this special question is concerned: those who dislike Jews, they cannot tell why; and those who are disposed to fairness, in spite of the accident of congeniality or uncongeniality, and who recognize the Jewish Question as, at least, a problem. Both these attitudes, whenever they become apparent, are subject to the charge of "anti-Semitism".

**[H: I want to give you a reminding example right here. Ekkers moved to Tehachapi and on a Land Purchase Contract bought a house. Payments were made through the prior party (per that party's request). The property went into default and Ekkers were told to go to the sale and get the house at auction. They got all the papers and notifications personally but would not be allowed to even contact the mortgage parties. They went to the sale as advertised, with full purchase price in hand--there was no sale.**

**When the incident came to court after default was served and the lawyer, Steven Horn, refused to give continuance to get counsel and go to court, guess what the real charge was? The Ekkers are "anti-Semitic" and papers were provided where Jews were simply mentioned in a couple of paragraphs. The Judge Jason Brent and his colleagues in the Superior Court were then given full blown major paper accusations by Jason Brent as to the Ekkers being anti-Semitic as was "Rick Martin" being anti-Semitic (after, of course, Jason has stated in court in front of witnesses that "there is no Rick Martin"). These people, being good Semites--OBJECT.]**

Anti-Semitism in almost every form is bound to come to the United States; indeed, it may be said that it is here now, and has been here for a long time. If it be mislabeled now, the United States will not be able to work within it the transformation which has been effected upon so many other ideas that have arrived here in their journey round the globe. **[H: Well, oh boy, did it ever come to the U.S. as suggested by Mr. Ford 78 years ago.]**

## I ANTI-SEMITISM IS NOT

It may be a serviceable clearing of the ground to define what anti-Semitism is not:

1. It is not recognition of the Jewish Question. If it were, then it could be set down that the bulk of the American people are destined to become anti-Semites, for they are beginning to recognize the existence of a Jewish Question and will steadily do so in increasing numbers as the Question is forced upon them from the various practical angles of their lives. The Question is here. We may be

honestly blind to it. We may be timidly silent about it. We may even make dishonest denial of it. But it is here. In time all will have to recognize it. In time the polite "hush, hush" of over-sensitive or intimidated circles will not be powerful enough to suppress it. But to recognize it will not mean that we have gone over to a campaign of hatred and enmity against the Jews. It will only mean that a stream of tendency which has been flowing through our civilization has at last accumulated bulk and power enough to challenge attention, to call for some decision with regard to it, to call for the adoption of a policy which will not repeat the mistakes of the past and yet will forestall any possible social menace of the future.

2. Again, the public discussion of the Jewish Question is not anti-Semitism. Publicity is sanitary. The publicity given the Jewish Question, or certain aspects of it, in this country has been very misleading. It has been discussed more fully in the Jewish press than elsewhere, but not with candor or breadth of vision. The two dominant notes--they are sounded over and over again with monotonous regularity in the Jewish press--are Gentile unfairness and Christian prejudice. These apparently are the two chief aspects of life which impress Jewish publicists when they look over the line of their own race. It is said in all soberness that it is fortunate for Jews generally that the Jewish press does not circulate very widely among Gentiles, for it is probably the one established agency in the United States which, without altering its program in the least, could stir up anti-Jewish sentiment by the simple expedient of a general reading among non-Jews. Jewish writers writing for Jewish readers present unusual material for the study of race consciousness and its accompaniment of contempt for other races. It is true that in the publications referred to, America is constantly praised, but not America as the land of the American people; **America, rather, as the land of the Jews' opportunity.**

On the side of the daily press, there has been no serious discussion at all. This is neither surprising nor reprehensible. The daily press deals with matters that have reached the overheated stage. When it mentions the Jews at all, it has stock phrases for the purpose; the effort includes a list of the famous Jews of history, and usually closes with complimentary references to certain local Jews of commendable qualities, whose advertisements are not infrequently found in another part of the paper. Summing up, it may be said that the publicity given the question in this country consists in misrepresentative criticism of the Gentiles by the Jewish press and misrepresentative praise of the Jews by the non-Jewish press. An independent effort to give a constructive publicity cannot, therefore, be laid to anti-Semitism, even when some of the statements which are made in the course of it arouse the resentment of Jewish readers.

3. Nor is it anti-Semitism to say that the suspicion is abroad in every capital of civilization and the certainty is held by a number of important men that there is active in the world a plan to control the world, not by territorial acquisition, not by military aggression, nor by governmental subjection, not even by economic control in the scientific sense, but by control of the machinery of commerce and exchange. It is not anti-Semitism to say that, nor to present the evidence which supports that, nor to bring the proof of that. Those who could best disprove it if it were not true are the international Jews themselves, but they have not disproved it. Those who could best prove it would be those Jews whose ideals include the good of the whole of humanity on an equality and not the good of one race only, but they have not proved it. Some day a prophetic Jew may arise who will see that the promises bestowed upon the Ancient People are not to be fulfilled by Rothschild methods, and that the promise that all the nations were to be blessed through Israel is not to be fulfilled by making the nations the economic vassals of Israel; and when that time comes we may hope for a redirection of Jewish energy into channels that will drain the present sources of the Jewish Question. ***In the meantime, it is not anti-Semitism; it may even be found to be a world service to the Jew, to throw light on what purpose motivates certain higher circles.***

If the above propositions are true, then the term "anti-Semitic", so freely bestowed on this series of articles, betrays a worse spirit in the critics than in the author. But enough of that. There is much yet to do, and what is done must stand on what merit remains after friend and foe alike are through with praise and blame.

## II GRADES OF ANTI-SEMITISM

Anti-Semitism has unquestionably swayed large sections of humanity at various times, warping the vision, twisting the characters and staining the hands of its victims, but the most amazing statement that can be made of it is that it has never accomplished anything in behalf of those who used it, and it has never taught anything to the Jews against whom it was used.

The grades of anti-Semitism are fairly numerous, and a few of them may be cited here:

1. There is first that degree of anti-Semitism, if it may be so described, which consists in a plain dislike of the Jew as a person, no matter whom he may be. This is often found in people of all grades. It is found mostly, however, in those whose contact with Jews has been very limited. It begins sometimes in childhood with an instinctive dislike for the word "Jew". It is encouraged by the misuse of the word "Jew" as an epithet, or as an adjective generally descriptive of unpopular practices. The feeling is not different from that which exists toward Gentiles, concerning whom the same notions are held, but it differs in that it is extended to the race of unknown individual Jews instead of being restricted to known individuals who may justify such a feeling.

Congeniality is not within our choice, but control of the sentiment of uncongeniality is. Every fair-minded person is compelled at times to reflect that it is not impossible that the person for whom he feels a dislike may be as good and possibly a better person than he. Our dislike merely registers the result of attraction and repulsion as they operate between another person and oneself; it does not indicate that the disliked person is unworthy. Of course, whenever intelligence is joined with this instinctive withdrawal from social contact with members of the Jewish race, prejudice is forestalled, except, of course, in those persons who hold that there are no individuals among the Jews worthy of respect. This is an extreme attitude and is composed of other elements beside natural dislike. It is possible for people to dislike Jews and not be anti-Semitic. Indeed, it is not at all uncommon, it grows more and more common, that intelligent and refined Jews themselves do not relish the society of their own people except in cases of exceptional refinement.

This really calls for some comment on the manners and characteristics of the ordinary member of the Jewish race, the accidents of behavior which stand out most obnoxiously and of which Jews themselves are often the most unsparing critics, but these comments must fall into place later.

2. A second stage of the spirit of anti-Semitism may be designated as hatred and enmity. It should be noted that the antipathy referred to immediately above was not hatred. Dislike is not hatred, nor is it necessarily enmity. One may dislike sugar in his tea without troubling to hate sugar. But undoubtedly there are people who, because they have let their dislikes deepen into prejudice, and perhaps also because of unpleasant experiences with members of the Jewish race (probably a million Americans have been brought to the verge of becoming Jew-haters this winter because of contact with Jewish merchants and landlords) may be classified as, at least, incipient anti-Semites. This is most of all unfortunate for the persons who harbor these emotions. It is unfortunate in that it unfits the mind to consider intelligently the facts which constitute the Jewish Question, and also

unfits it to deal with them in a fair and constructive way. For one's own sake, whatever the provocation otherwise, it is better not to let passion deflect the needle of one's mind. Hatred at the wheel means hazard on the course. Enmity lives in the vicinity of the Jews more than of any other race, and the reason for this is one of the puzzles of the ages. The Jewish nature itself, as shown in ancient and modern history, is not without its own share of enmity, and it either evokes or provokes enmity where it comes in contact with those Aryan races which follow their natural impulses unchecked by cultural and ethical influences. This age-long conflict of the Jew has puzzled the minds of students for generations. Some explain it Biblically as the curse of Jehovah [Lucifer] upon His Chosen People for their disobedience to the discipline by which He would have made them the Prophet Nation of the world. If this offense must come, if it is part of the Jew's heritage, an old saying--Christian and Scriptural, by the way--would still remain true: "It must needs be that offenses come, but woe to that man by whom the offense cometh."

3. In some parts of the world at various times this feeling of hatred has broken into murderous violence, which has roused, as wholesale physical outrage always does, the horror and resentment of humanity. This is the extreme form in which anti-Semitism has exhibited itself, and it is the charge of intending to stimulate it here and elsewhere which every public discussion of the Jewish Question has to bear. There is, of course, no excuse for these outbreaks, but there is sufficient explanation of them. The Jews usually explain them as expressions of religious prejudice, and the Gentiles as rebellion against an economic yoke which the Jews have woven for the people. It is an astonishing fact that, to take one country, the parts of Russia where anti-Semitic violence has been most marked are the most prosperous parts, so prosperous indeed and with a prosperity so unquestionably due to Jewish enterprise that the Jews have openly declared that they have the power to throw those parts of Russia back into commercial lethargy again by simply withdrawing. It is utterly idle to throw denials at this statement. It is confirmed time and time again by men who have gone to Russia full of resentment against the attitude of the Russians toward the Jews, as that attitude is represented in the Anglo-Saxon press, and who have come home with a new light on the cause of these outbreaks, though not excusing their character. Impartial observers have also found that some of the outbreaks have been precipitated by the Jews themselves. A correspondent, known the world over for his trenchant defense of the Jews under Russian persecution, was always bitterly attacked by the Jews themselves whenever he stated the truth about this, notwithstanding his protest to them that if he did not tell the truth when they were in the wrong the world would not be ready to believe him when he said they were blameless. To this day, in every country, the Jews are slow to admit blameworthiness for anything. They must be excused, whoever else may be accused. It is a trait which will have to be disciplined before they can be brought to assist, if ever they can, the removal of those characteristics which arouse the antagonism of other peoples. Elsewhere in the world, it may be said that out-and-out enmity to the Jews has an economic basis. This, of course, leads to the question whether the Jew shall have to become a deliberate failure, or deny his genius, and forego his just need of prosperity before he can win the approval of the other races--a question which will arise for discussion later.

**[H: Opportunity, for example, of "blame": How is it that the U.S. UNILATERALLY plans to bomb hell out of Iraq for three or four days and yet, as people such as babies, children, women, and men are MURDERED by YOU (they can't do anything about it and NEITHER CAN SADDAM HUSSEIN--NOTHING), you and your politicians continue to say "We are wonderful and are forced into murdering those innocent people; it is Saddam's fault and their own for keeping him as a leader...". Just HOW are YOU going to explain this to GOD? I certainly DO NOT mean Lucifer.]**

As to the *religious prejudice* which the Jews are, as a rule, readiest to affirm, it is safe to say that it does not exist in the United States. Yet it is charged up to Americans by Jewish writers just as

freely as it is charged up to Russians. Each non-Jew reader is competent to settle this for himself. He can easily do so by asking himself whether in all his life he has ever felt a moment's resentment against the Jew on account of his religion. In an address recently delivered in a Jewish lodge and reported in the Jewish press, the speaker, a Jew, stated that if 100 non-Jews on the street were approached at random and casually asked what a Jew is, the reply of the majority would be, "He is a Christ-killer." One of the best known and most highly respected rabbis in the United States said recently in a sermon that children in Christian Sunday schools were taught to regard the Jew as a Christ-killer. He repeated it in a conversation several weeks later.

It would probably be the testimony of Christians generally that they never heard this term until they heard it in a Jewish complaint, and certainly themselves never used it. The charge is absurd. Let the 20,000,000 now in the Christian Sunday schools of Canada and the United States testify as to the instruction given. There is no hesitation in stating that there is no prejudice whatever in the Christian churches against the Jew on account of his religion. **[H: As a matter of fact, the christian churches of today have taken up the Jew's religion for their own and put aside their own religion--you know, readers-- Judeo-Christian. There are no "Christian" religions remaining.]** On the contrary, there is not only a deep sense of indebtedness, but a feeling of sharing with the Jew in his religion. The Sunday schools of the Christian churches of the world are spending six months of this year studying the International Lessons which are appointed for the Books of *Judges, Ruth, First and Second Samuel* and the Books of *Kings*, and every year is devoted in part to the *Old Testament*.

Here, however, is something for Jewish religious leaders to consider: there is more downright bitterness of religious prejudice on the part of the Jews against Christianity than could ever be possible in the Christian churches of America. Simply take the church press of America and compare it with the Jewish press in this regard, and there is no answer. No christian editor would think it either christian or intelligent to attack the Jewish religion, yet any six months' survey of the Jewish press would yield a mass of attack and prejudice on the other side. Moreover, no religious bitterness in America attains within infinite distances to that bitterness visited upon the Jew who becomes a christian in his faith. It amounts almost to a holy vendetta. A Christian may become a Jewish proselyte and his motives be respected; it is never so when a Jew becomes a Christian. **[H: Not any more--the Jews now take the leadership roles and call it Zionism.]** These statements are true of both the orthodox and liberal wings of Judaism. It is not his religion that gives prominence to the Jew today; it is something else. And yet, with undeviating monotony, it is repeated wherever the Jew takes cognizance of the feeling toward him that it is on account of three things, first and most prominent of which is his religion. It may be comforting to him to think that he is suffering for his faith, but it is not true. Every intelligent Jew must know it.

Every Jew ought to know also that in every Christian church where the ancient prophecies are received and studied, there is a great revival of interest in the future of the Ancient People. It is not forgotten that certain Promises were made to them regarding their position in the world, and it is held that these prophecies will be fulfilled. The future of the Jew, as prophetically outlined, is intimately bound up with the future of this planet, and the Christian church in large part--at least by the evangelical wing, which the Jews most condemn--sees a Restoration of the Chosen People yet to come. **[H: Indeed, the Chosen People of LUCIFER.]** If the mass of the Jews knew how understandingly and sympathetically all the prophecies concerning them are being studied in the Church, and the faith that exists that these prophecies will find fulfillment and that they will result in great Jewish service to society at large, they would probably regard the Church with another mind. They would at least know that the Church does not believe that it will be the instrument in the conversion of the Jews--a point on which Jewish leaders are tragically misled and which evokes

more bitterness than anything else--but that it depends on quite other instruments and conditions, which it is not the function of this article to point out except to say that it will be the Jews' very own Messiah which will accomplish it and not the "wild olive", or the Gentile. **[H: Right on, Mr. Ford.]**

Curiously enough, there is a phase of anti-Semitism having to do with religion, but not in the way here discussed. There are those, very few in number and of atheistical tendencies, who assert that all religion is a sham, being the invention of Jews for the purpose of enslaving the minds of the people of the world to an enervating superstition. This position, however, has had no effect on the main issue. It is a far extreme.

### III WHERE ARE WE HEADED?

Now, which of these exhibitions of anti-Semitism will show itself in America? If certain tendencies continue, as they are certain to do, what form will the feeling toward the Jew take? Not that of mass violence, we may be sure. The only mass action visible now is that of Jewish agencies themselves against any person or institution that dares to bring the Jewish Question to public attention.

1. Anti-Semitism will come to America because of the habit which emotions and ideas apparently have of making their way westward around the world. North of Palestine, where the Jews have been longest settled and where they are now in great numbers, anti-Semitism is acute and well-defined. Westward, in Germany, it is clearly defined but, until the seizure of German revolutionary agencies, was devoid of violence. Still farther westward, in Great Britain, it is defined, but because of the comparatively small number of Jews in the British Isles and their *coalition with the ruling class*, it is more a feeling than a movement. In the United States it is not so definite, but shows itself in a restlessness, a questioning, a sensible friction between the traditional tendency of the American to fair-mindedness and his respect for the cold facts.

Because the Question will assume more and more pressure in America it behooves everyone of foresight to disregard the shortsighted protests of the Jews themselves and see to it that the Question shall not present itself among us as it has done among other people, in its most distressing and confusing forms. It is a public duty to seize this problem at its beginning and train it up, so to speak; that is, so prepare for it that it may be handled here in a manner which will form a model for all other countries, which will indeed supply all other countries with the essential materials for a permanent solution. And this can be done only by exposing and recognizing and treating with the serum of publicity the conditions before which, heretofore, the nations have helplessly foundered because they lacked either the desire or the means to get at the great root of the difficulty.

2. Another cause of the Question appearing here will be the great influx of the Jews which is planned for America. There will probably be a million Jews enter the country this year, increasing our Jewish population to nearly 4,500,000 **[H: And that, remember, was 1920.]** This does not mean merely an immigration of persons, but an immigration of ideas. No Jewish writer has ever told us, in systematic fashion, just what is the Jew's idea of non-Jews, how they regard the Gentiles in their private minds. But there are indications of it, although one would not attempt to reconstruct the Jewish attitude toward Gentiles. A Jew ought to do this for us, but he would probably be cast out by his own people if he discharged his task with rigorous jealousy for the exact fact.

These people are coming here regarding the Gentile as an hereditary enemy, as perhaps they have good ground for doing, and so believing they are going to model their behavior in a manner

that will show it. Nor will these Jews be so helpless as they appear. In stricken Poland, where the Jews are represented as having been stripped of everything during the war, there are hundreds daily appearing before the consulate to arrange their passage here. The fact is significant. In spite of their reputed suffering and poverty, they are able to travel a great distance and to insist on coming. No other people are financially able to travel in such numbers. But the Jews are. It will readily be seen that they are not objects of charity. They have been able to keep afloat in a storm that has wrecked the other people. They know it and they joy in it, as is natural. And they will bring here the same thoughts toward the majority which they have harbored in their present lands of domicile. They may hail America; they will have their own thoughts about the majority of the American people. They may be in the lists as Russians or Poles or what not, but they will be Jews with the full Jewish consciousness, and they will make themselves felt.

All this is bound to have its effect. And it is not race prejudice to prepare for it, and to invite American Jews themselves to consider the fact and contribute to the solution of the problem which it presents.

3. Every idea which has ruled Europe has met with transformation when it was transplanted in America. It was so with the idea of Liberty, the idea of Government, the idea of War. It will be so with the idea of anti-Semitism. The whole problem will center here and if we are wise and do not shirk it, it will find its solution here. A recent Jewish writer has said: "Jewry today largely means American Jewry... all former Jewish centers were demolished during the war and were shifted to America." **[H: And readers, THAT WAS BEFORE World War II.]** The problem will be ours, whether we choose it or not.

And what course will it take? Much depends on what can be accomplished before it becomes very strong. It may be said, however, that the first element to appear will be a show of resentment against certain Jewish commercial successes, more particularly against the united action by which they are attained. Our people see the spectacle of a people in the midst of a people, in a sense which the Mormons never were, and they will not like it. The Mormons made an Exodus; Israel is going back into Egypt to subjugate it. **[H: My goodness, the facts are that the Mormons became the basic functioning ARM of the elite Jewish factions in America--long, long ago, at upstart of the very religion itself. The rituals and sacraments are almost identical in every way and totally based on the Freemason codes and oaths and secrecy and the ultimate Illuminati foundation.]**

The second element which will undoubtedly appear is prejudice and its incitement. The majority may always be right, but they are not always initially reasonable. That prejudice which exists now, and which is freely admitted by both Jew and Gentile, may become more marked, to the distress of both parties, for neither the subject nor the object of prejudice can attain that freedom of mind which is happiness.

Then we may most confidently look for a reaction of Justice. It is here that the whole matter will begin to bend to the genius of Americanism. The innate justice of the American mind has come to the aid of every object that ever roused American resentment. The natural reaction with us is of very brief duration; the intellectual and ethical reaction swiftly follows. The American mind will never rest with merely resenting certain individuals. It will probe deeper. Already this deeper probe has been begun in Great Britain and America. We characteristically do not stop with persons when principles are in sight.

And upon this there will be an investigation of materials, part of which may yet be presented in



this series and which may possibly be disregarded for a time, but which at a future date will be found to be the clue to the maze. Upon this, the root of all the trouble will be bared to the light, to die as all roots do when deprived of their **concealment of darkness**, and the Jewish people themselves may be expected to begin an adjustment to the new order of things, not to lose their identity or to curtail their energy or to dim their brilliance, but to turn all into more worthy channels for the benefit of all races, which alone can justify their claim to superiority. A race that can achieve in the material realm what the Jews have achieved while asserting themselves to be spiritually superior, can achieve in a less sordid, a less society-defying realm also.

*Issue of June 19, 1920*

[END OF QUOTING]

I am going to leave this for your thoughts but I hope that you will take some observations to heart and relate them to what is taking place now, 78 years later. Even last evening in your TOWN HALL debate. When questions were asked like, "What are the plans for Iraq after the bombings?" Talk, no answer other than there seem to be NONE. Then, "What about troops?" "Oh there won't be need for troops," but at the same time there were over 7,000 MORE TROOPS BEING SHIPPED OUT BY AIR TO GET THERE IN TIME FOR THE RAID. Then some taxpayer asked: "HOW MUCH IS THIS COSTING US A DAY?" YOUR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE talked for five minutes and NEVER ANSWERED THE QUESTION. He actually said it wasn't costing any more, really, than ordinary expenses.

About all I can say is, "LET HIM WHO HAS EARS TO HEAR--HEAR. LET HIM WHO HAS EYES TO SEE--SEE." THESE ARE YOUR BABIES GOING OFF TO A NON-WAR TO MURDER CHILDREN. WHAT WILL BE RESTING ON THEIR SOULS? GOD HELP YOU ALL!

Adonai.

*[Editor's note: Please read Commander Hatonn's comments about this incident, that was announced on Thursday morning 2/19/98, with great care. Much is between the lines, including what several callers-in to Art Bell's late-night radio talk-show pointed out: that isn't it an interesting "coincidence" this happened the day after the Ohio State fiasco to rally support for bombing Iraq. This is a very high stakes chess game and often the pawns being used are the last to know. The moral of the story remains the same: think before you act!]*

I am interrupted here and asked to comment on some incident in Las Vegas by some "patriots" or something. As I check into the incident I see that it is going to require observations about "Survival courses", possibility of MASS DESTRUCTION by microbes, etc., a tip-off of some kind that seeing the location is going to involve Col. Bo Gritz and I have played Bo's game for a very long time to hold his COVER. I'm not sure I should dangle him longer. Henderson, Nevada is VERY CLOSE to Sandy Valley.

The rebuttal will be that "We were arrested without cause." Right, and the agents in the arrest VERY CAREFULLY HANDLED THAT LITTLE PROBLEM. This is so possibly dangerous and it involves a man on parole for having Plague specimens which he was tampering with some time ago--so, I'd say this could be another "patriot nightmare" gone crazy. But JUST WHO IS CRAZY? WHAT IF ONE MAN HAS A VIAL OF ANTHRAX (claimed here) and he stumbles and breaks the vile in mid-Las Vegas? Problems? Like, are you all gone insane? I will speak of this later but we

have spent too many hours with crossed eyes at this computer today.

DO NOT COME TO US FOR HELP OR EVEN TO PETITION FOR AID. THERE IS NOTHING HERE FOR BIGOTS, IDIOTS OR KILLERS. ANY MILITIA GROUP WHO COVERS FOR THIS KIND OF BEHAVIOR IS NOT WORTHY OF DEFENSE FOR YOUR ACTIONS OR EVEN YOUR OPINIONS AND ATTITUDES.

What will be actually FOUND? Probably nothing for the ORIGINAL PACKAGE--but PLENTY by the time it is made public. When you first deceive, ladies and gentlemen, you lose your protection--every time.

And THIS is why we don't even have family meetings around here--to stop the assumption and lies that we are some kind of a GROUP. We write, nothing more at this time, and when we have projects under way, they will be farming, good housing, and other very substantial and fundamental construction projects--inclusive of our Native Brothers.

The elements of White Supremacy, and Harris and Levitt have played in that KKK garbage too, are unacceptable. And to my Jewish friends--AND YES, I HAVE THOUSANDS AND THOUSANDS--YOU ARE AT RISK, PRECIOUS ONES--FIRST ON THE LIST TO MAKE A VERY LARGE EXAMPLE! THERE HAS TO BE AN ATROCIOUS EXAMPLE BY WHICH TO BLAME YOUR SEMITE BRETHERN AND ROUSE THE RABBLE TO SILLINESS AND IDIOCY WITH YOUR POP-GUNS AND BLOW-DARTS.

Yes, I will speak of this--later. And, PAY ATTENTION; we all are targets. This is the time of total chaos and it is necessary to cause man to rise against his brother--and murder by any being is MURDER.

The WORST thing coming from THIS incident, however, is that now the Big Boys can annihilate a whole big bunch of you citizens using microbes and your own so-called patriot teammates will GET THE BLAME.

DOES EVERYONE CLEARLY UNDERSTAND ME? THIS INCLUDES THE SURVEILLANCE TEAMS MONITORING THIS COMPUTER.

Good evening.

## CHAPTER 3

REC #1 HATONN

FRI., FEB. 20, 1998 7:49 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 188

FRI., FEB. 20, 1998

### "MILITARY ACTION BUT NO WAR"

(Madeleine Albright, Secretary of State, U.S.A.)

Yesterday, at a better-planned little PUBLIC meeting the Albright fiasco went better with a screened GROUP present but I find this one question to be more interesting than the others, or at the least, the answer given by Ms. Albright.

The question had to do with having a war. Ms. Albright, not being all bright, responded: "Oh this is just a military action, not a war. " A "military action" but no war? This obviously MEANS that it is KNOWN the dumpees are not able to fight back against the dumpors! So you just go blow up a bunch of innocent people, wipe out citizen ability to survive--but it "ISN'T WAR"?

I SIMPLY DO NOT BELIEVE YOU CAN GET MUCH *LOWER* THAN THIS, AMERICA!

THE ONE SURE THING FOR THE DEMISE OF BILLY ZIPPER IS TO SEND THOSE BOMBERS! YOU HAD BETTER WAKE UP, WORLD. EVIL IS STILL NOT A POPULAR ACTIVITY *IF AND WHEN THE WORLD KNOWS ABOUT IT*. INDISCRETION IS PART OF THE POLITICAL GAME BUT OUTRIGHT EVIL IS STILL FROWNED UPON THROUGH THE REST OF THE WORLD--AND THIS TIME, VISIBLE OR INVISIBLE--THE U.S., BRITAIN AND CANADA STAND ALONE AS THE EVIL EMPIRE!

### PATRIOT GROUPS AND BIOLOGICAL AGENTS

The first thing I want to discuss is the topic of Col. James "BO" Gritz. Just because I mention his name DOES NOT mean that somehow I involve him in anything. Those nice warm PATRIOT groups involve him because they assume if they get into trouble he will come to bail them out of whatever mess they have gotten into on their short-time thinking.

I don't have anything to discuss "about" Gritz other than one emphatic FACT. Bo Gritz is in no way at all a traitor to this country, a fanatic anything, or whatever ones choose to call him who wish to damage him. He took an oath to protect HIS COUNTRY under the most disciplined circumstances, and if he fails to have "thank you" graces, perhaps you could be a bit more kind and generous while you don't know anything about much of anything.

Gritz is a TRAINED Delta Force Special Forces Officer (LEADER) and he has been involved in some things beyond any of your wildest dreams and nightmares. And for anyone out of any "movement" calling themselves "patriots" who would come, say, all the way from Ohio to get "close" enough to mention a possibility of being involved with Gritz--and then play with deadly biologicals, is unthinkable and unacceptable.

What the man thinks of me or my scribe is NONE OF MY BUSINESS.

Col. Gritz lives in a remote little site in Nevada and there will be every effort to connect him to this Harris, Levitt THING.

When I speak of offering "cover" for the Colonel it is not what you seem to think that to mean. He has a reason for doing what he is doing and whether he be just an individual trying to make a positive difference or an infiltrator for the whatever--he is doing what he knows to be right.

It may well be that, like you, Bo doesn't have his Spiritual TRUTH quite straight, but he knows about the nation even if he can't quite identify the "enemy". Actually, he has even identified the enemy. The best any of us can do is try to stay clear of the idiots claiming to want to save something while destroying and then breaking laws to leave themselves open for the "blame" for outrageous actions while the powers-that-be perpetrate the actual actions.

But whose side is the man on? He is on HIS SIDE. He has a family, children, and he knows what lies ahead for you all in this clamp-down, take-over-governments machine. He presses for you to be prepared for what is ahead, from defense of self to storage of some supplies. He would like to see working communities with some hope of survival--wouldn't we all?

I wonder why nobody mentioned George Green or others who also live in Las Vegas for connections to these false patriots and why you just nail onto Gritz? STOP IT, SILLY CHILDREN. You destroy the very things that eventually COULD SAVE YOUR BACON.

I have offered *his stories as he had given them forth AND HE KNOWS THAT THEY ARE NOT TRUE--SO HE CAN CALL ME "FALSE". I SHALL CONTINUE TO SHELTER WHERE I CAN DO SO.* Did he really do all those things in Asia, Cambodia, Shan, etc? He said he did and I remind you all: if a man introduces HIMSELF to me and calls himself Jesus Christ or any other name--I will address him from that moment on (until information changes) as Mr. Christ. Of course things were and are not as presented, but what in your government or intelligence circles IS?

Whatever you do or don't perceive Gritz to be--he has one of the most focused and brilliant minds of your generations. If he lacks a few social and political graces as we would like to receive, then let us look at our own flaws and then if we are each PERFECT, cast a stone.

Yes he was a Mason and yes he was a Mormon--there is nothing hidden about either. The Anti-Christ is very devious and hard to catch, especially as you try one *religious CALL after another.* Where do you find God? Well, most look in all the wrong faces and places, so let's not be judging.

I don't care whether Harris was working on live anthrax or vaccines for same, he should not have been causing such trouble when your nation is planning to go to war and is destroying things to make it look like YOU ARE DOING IT TO YOURSELVES. This is NOT an act of patriotism--it is the act of warped minds and selfish "saviour" attitudes.

What will SAVE your world? TRUTH! When ALL the lies stop and when it is not acceptable to have politicians lie and then rig meetings for political gain--then you may have a place to start.

Everybody hooked in with either government or intelligence services is REQUIRED TO LIE AND BE ABLE TO DO SO WHILE PASSING LIE DETECTOR TESTS. BELIEVE IT!

And, yes, I suggest to those in the "Preparedness" group to be very, very careful for you have

ENEMIES and disinformation people AMONG YOU, but you already know that, don't you?

When people MOVE OUTSIDE THE LAW to save something else--they are WRONG! Two wrongs will never make even half a "right". When you learn to live in honest integrity within the laws of land and God, you will have learned what strength and power IS.

It is a bit like the President debacle. Why go over all the possibilities--if he had not lied and broken laws and moral guidelines for his own purposes, he would NOT BE IN THE MESS IN THE FIRST PLACE. Has anyone heard of NOT BREAKING THE LAW AND HAVING MORAL FOUNDATIONS ENOUGH TO ACTUALLY BE AN EXAMPLE FOR YOUR GROWING CHILDREN WITHIN A FREE NATION? When your top leaders are criminals, liars, cheats and immoral people--you have a criminal, lying, cheating and immoral NATION. And, of all the things Bo Gritz learned, it was that you can't even run for President and beat the machinery set to FIX ELECTIONS. But you can't HAVE A SAVIOR for the United States or any other "taken" nation--the nation of citizens have to SAVE THEMSELVES.

You are going to come to find that Antichrist and World Elite are not Jews, Gentiles, Catholics, Protestants, Mormons or Native Americans, Europeans, or any of these THINGS. If you break the laws of GOD (CHRIST LAWS) YOU are among the Antichrist. Antichrist has no one structure--but the dangerous ones to your world ARE THE ONES AT THE TOP LEVELS OF CONTROL--EVERY TIME.

Wouldn't it be nice to point a finger and say, "Ah there goes a Mason so he is the Antichrist." Or, "That man is in the Illuminati and he is the Antichrist." It is not that simple, is it? For CHRIST IS A STATE OF BEING *TOWARD*, EVER *TOWARD*, *perfection* in TRUTH. The Masons began as BUILDERS. Every good thing that you have or begat will be corrupted if YOU ALLOW IT--but mostly in your ignorance YOU CORRUPT IT AND THEN GET MAD AT SOMEONE ELSE AND BLAME THEM FOR YOUR PLIGHT.

I can only remind each and all of you that if you live by the bomb (tinker with them or use them), by the sword, or by the gun, you will be taken DOWN with and over them. Even the CONCEPT utilized for simple "defense" will bring you down because that is the WAY you will be caught and prosecuted, innocent or guilty.

Anyone can take exception with me, that is not difficult no matter who I might be. The proof of my teachings are exemplified all about you now.

The war between good and evil WILL BE WON THROUGH *SPIRIT AND WISDOM*. Where have you placed YOURS? So be it.

*Issue of June 26, 1920*

[QUOTING:] PART 8, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

JEWISH QUESTION BREAKS INTO  
THE MAGAZINES

*"We must force the Gentile governments to adopt measures which will promote our broadly conceived plan already approaching its triumphal goal by bringing to bear the pressure of stimulated public opinion which has in reality been organized by us with the*

*help of the so-called 'great power' of the Press. With few exceptions, not worth considering, it has already fallen into our hands."* The Seventh Protocol

Once upon a time an American faculty member of an American university went to Russia on business. He was expert in a very important department of applied science and a keen observer. He entered Russia with the average American's feeling about the treatment which the government of that people accorded the Jew. He lived there three years, came home for a year, and went back again for a similar period, and upon his second return to America he thought it was time to give the American public accurate information about the Jewish Question in Russia. He prepared a most careful article and sent it to the editor of a magazine of the first class in the Eastern United States. The editor sent for him, spent most of two days with him, and was deeply impressed with all he learned--but he said he could not print the article. The same interest and examination occurred with several other magazine editors of the first rank.

It was not because the professor could not write--these editors gladly bought anything he would write on other subjects. But it was impossible for him to get his article on the Jews accepted or printed in New York.

**[H: Can you see that it is not "Jew" or Jewish? It was decided by the Antichrist would-be-CONTROLLERS and One-World-Kings that it WOULD BE THROUGH USE OF THIS CLANNISH ELEMENT OF "DIFFERENT" PEOPLE WHO SET THEMSELVES ASIDE OF THEIR OWN ACCORD--THAT THEY COULD MAKE THEIR SUCCESSFUL PLAY OF TAKING THE WORLD. Face it, the so-called Jewish people are the first to pay in misery, and actual genocide is their plight in every game so far played among the nations.**

**Didn't you notice that Netanyahu FIRST went to the Jewish people in America on his last visit to get financing beyond what you already pay Israel--and got a NASTY RECEPTION and a sound "No"? So, what did he do next? He marched over to Jerry Falwell's "christian fundamentalists" and got everything he wanted and MORE. UNLESS YOU KNOW WHAT IS WRONG--YOU CAN'T FIX IT.]**

The Jewish Question, however, has at last broken into a New York magazine. Rather it is a fragment of a shell hurled from the Jewish camp at the Jewish Question to demolish, if possible, the Question and thus make good the assertion that there is no such thing.

Incidentally, it is the only kind of article on the Jewish Question that the big magazines, whose mazes of financial controllers make most interesting rummaging, would care to print.

Yet, the general public may learn much about the Question even from the type of article whose purpose is to prove that the Questions **doesn't exist**.

Mr. William Hard, in the *Metropolitan* for June, has done as well as could be expected, considering the use he was supposed to make of such material as he had at hand. And doubtless the telegraph and letter brigades, which keep watch over all printed references to the Jews, have duly congratulated the good editors of the *Metropolitan* for their assistance in soothing the public to further sleep.

It is to be hoped, for the sake of the Question, that Mr. Hard's effort will have a wide reading, for there is very much to be learned from it--much more than it was anybody's intention should be learned from it.

It may be learned, first, that the Jewish Question exists. Mr. Hard says it is discussed in the drawing-rooms of London and Paris. Whether the mention of drawing-rooms was a writer's device to intimate that the matter was unimportant and frivolous, or merely represented the extent of Mr. Hard's contact with the Question is not clear. He adds, however, that a document relating to the Question was "traveled a good bit in certain official circles in Washington". He also mentions a cable dispatch to the *New York World*, concerning the same Question, which that paper published. His article was probably published too early to note the review which the London Times made of the first document referred to. But he has told the reader there is a Jewish Question, and that it does not exist among the riff-raff, either, but principally in those circles where the evidence of Jewish power and control is most abundant. Moreover, the Question is being discussed. Mr. Hard tells us that much. If he does not go further and tell us that it is being discussed with great seriousness in high places and among men of national and international importance, it is probably because of one of two things, either he does not know, or he does not consider it consonant with the purpose of the article to tell.

However, Mr. Hard has already made it clear that there is a Jewish Question, that it is being discussed, that it is being discussed by people who are best situated to observe the matter they are talking about.

The reading of Mr. Hard's article makes it clear also that the Question always comes to the fore on the note of conspiracy. Of course, Mr. Hard says he does not believe in conspiracies which involve a large number of people, and it is with the utmost ease that his avowal of unbelief is accepted, for there is nothing more ridiculous to the Gentile mind than a mass conspiracy, because there is nothing more impossible to the Gentile himself. Mr. Hard, we take it, is of non-Jewish extraction, and he knows how impossible it would be to band Gentiles together in any considerable number for any length of time in even the noblest conspiracy. Gentiles are not built for it. Their conspiracy, whatever it might be, would fall like a rope of sand. Gentiles have not the basis either in blood or interest that the Jews have to stand together. The Gentile does not naturally suspect conspiracy; he will indeed hardly bring himself to the verge of believing it without the fullest proof.

It is therefore quite easy to understand Mr. Hard's difficulty with conspiracy; the point is that to write his article at all, he is forced to recognize at almost every step that whenever the Jewish Question is discussed, the idea of conspiracy occupies a large part in it. As a matter of fact, it is the central idea in Mr. Hard's article, and it completely monopolizes the heading--"Great Jewish Conspiracy".

The search for basic facts in Mr. Hard's article will disclose the additional information that there are certain documents in existence which purport to contain the details of the conspiracy, or--to drop a word that is unpleasant and may be misleading and which has not been used in this series--the tendency of Jewish power to achieve complete control. That is about all that the reader learns from Mr. Hard about the documents, except that he describes one as "strange and horrible". Here is indeed a regrettable gap in the story, for it is to discredit a certain document that Mr. Hard writes, and yet he tells next to nothing about it. Discreditable documents usually discredit themselves. But this document is not permitted to do that. The reader of the article is left to take Mr. Hard's word for it. The serious student or critic will feel, of course, that the documents themselves would have formed a better basis for an intelligent judgment. But laying that matter aside, Mr. Hard has made public the fact that there are documents.

And then Mr. Hard does another thing, as well as he can with the materials at hand, the purpose

of the article being what it was, and that is to show how little the Jews have to do with the control of affairs by showing who are the Jews that do control certain selected groups of affairs. The names are all brought forward by Mr. Hard and he alone is responsible for them, our purpose in referring to them being merely to show what can be learned from him.

Mr. Hard leans heavily on Russian affairs. Sometimes it would almost seem as if the Jewish Question were conceived as the Soviet Question, which it is not, as Mr. Hard very well knows, and although the two have their plain connections, it is nothing less than well-defined propaganda to set up Bolshevist fiction and knock it down by Jewish fact for the purpose of the latter. However, what Mr. Hard offers as fact is very instructive, quite apart from the conclusion which he draws from it.

Now, take his Russian line-up first. He says that in the cabinet of Soviet Russia there is only one Jew. But he is Trotsky. There are others in the government, of course, but Mr. Hard is speaking about the cabinet now. He is not speaking about the commissars, who are the real rulers of Russia, nor about the executive troops, who are the real strength of the Trotsky-Lenin regime. No, just the cabinet. Of course, there was only one Jew prominent in Hungary, too, but he was Bela Kun. Mr. Hard does not ask us to believe, however, that it is simply because of Trotsky and Kun that all Europe believes that Bolshevism has a strong Jewish element. Else the stupid credibility of the Gentile would be more impossible of conception than the idea of a Jewish conspiracy is to Mr. Hard's mind. Why should it be easier to believe that Gentiles are dunces than that Jews are clever?

However, it is not too much to say that Trotsky is way up at the top, sharing the utmost summit of Bolshevism with Lenin, and Trotsky is a Jew--nobody ever denied that, not even Mr. Braunstein himself (the latter being Trotsky's St. Louis, U.S.A., name).

But then, says Mr. Hard, the Mensheviks are led by Jews, too! That is a fact worth putting down beside the others. Trotsky at the head of the Bolsheviks; at the head of the Mensheviks *during their opposition* of the Bolsheviks were Lieber, Martov and Dan--"all Jews", says Mr. Hard.

There is, however, a middle party between these extremes, the Cadets, which Mr. Hard says, are or were the strongest bourgeois political party in Russia. "They now have their headquarters in Paris. Their chairman is Vinaver--a Jew."

There are the facts as stated by Mr. Hard. He says that Jews, whose names he gives, head the three great divisions of political opinion in Russia.

And then he cries, look how the Jews are divided! How can there be conspiracy among people who thus fight themselves?

But another, looking at the same situation may say, look how the Jews control every phase of political opinion in Russia! Doesn't there seem to be some ground for the feeling that they are desirous of ruling everywhere?

The facts are there. What significance does it bring to the average mind that the three great parties of Russia are led by Jews?

But that does not exhaust the information which the matter-of-fact reader may find in Mr. Hard's article. He turns to the United States and makes several interesting statements.

"There is Otto Kahn," he says. Well, sometimes Otto Kahn is there, and sometimes he is in Paris



on important international matters, and sometimes he is in London advocating certain alliances between British and American capital which have to do in a large way with European political conditions. The most conservative men in America are really the most radical; their motives and methods go to the very roots of certain matters; and they are radicals in their own field. The men who controlled the last Republican Convention--if not the last, the most recent--are styled conservatives by those whose vision is circumscribed by certain limited economic interests; but they are the most radical of radicals, they have passed the red stage and are white with it. If it were known what is in the back of Mr. Kahn's mind, if he should display a chart of what he is doing and aiming to do, the term which would then most aptly describe him might be quite different. Anyway, we have it from Mr. Hard, "There is Mr. Kahn."

"On the other hand," says Mr. Hard, "there is Rose Pastor Stokes." He adds the name of Morris Hillquit. They are, in Mr. Hard's classification, radicals. And to offset these names he adds the names of two Gentiles, Eugene V. Debs and Bill Haywood and intimates that they are much more powerful leaders than the first two. Students of modern influences, of which Mr. Hard has long appeared as one, do not think so. Neither Debs nor Haywood ever generated in all their lives a fraction of the intellectual power which Mrs. Stokes and Mr. Hillquit have generated. Both Debs and Haywood live by the others. To every informed person, as to Mr. Hard in this article, come the Jewish names to mind when the social tendencies of the United States are passed under reflection.

This is most instructive indeed, that in naming the leaders of so-called conservatism and radicalism, Mr. Hard is driven to use Jewish names. On his showing the reader is entitled to say that Jews lead both divisions here in the United States.

But Mr. Hard is not through. "The man who does more than any other man--the man who does more than any regiment of other men--to keep American labor anti-radical is a Jew--Samuel Gompers." That is a fact which the reader will place in his list--American labor is led by a Jew.

Well, then, "The strongest anti-Gompers trade union in the country--The Amalgamated Clothing Workers--and very strong indeed, and very large--is led by a Jew--Sidney Hillman."

It is the Russian situation over again. Both ends of the movements, and the movement which operates within the movement, are under the leadership of Jews. This, whatever the construction put upon it, is a fact which Mr. Hard is compelled by the very nature of his task to acknowledge.

And the middle movement, "the Liberal Middle" as Mr. Hard calls it, which catches all between, produces in this article the names of Mr. Justice Brandeis, Judge Mack and Felix Frankfurter, gentlemen whose activities since Armistice Day would make a very interesting story.

For good measure, Mr. Hard produces two other names, "Baron Gunzberg--a Jew" who is "a faithful official" of the Russian Embassy of Ambassador Bakhmetev, a representative of the modified old regime, while the Russian Information Bureau, whose literary output appears in many of our newspapers, is conducted by another Jew, so Mr. Hard calls him, whose name is familiar to newspaper readers, Mr. A.J. Sack.

It is not a complete list by any means, but it is quite impressive. It seems to reflect importance on the documents which Mr. Hard endeavors to minimize to a position of ridiculous unimportance. And it leads to the thought that perhaps the documents are scrutinized as carefully as they are because the readers of them have observed not only the facts which Mr. Hard admits but other and more astonishing ones, and have discovered that the documents confirm and explain the

observations. Other readers who have not had the privilege of learning all that the documents contain are entitled to have satisfaction given to the interest thus aroused.

The documents did not create the Jewish Question. If there were nothing but the documents, Mr. Hard would not have written nor would the *Metropolitan* magazine have printed the article here discussed.

What Mr. Hard has done is to bring confirmation in a most unexpected place that the Question exists and is pressing for discussion. Someone felt the pressure when "The Great Jewish Conspiracy" was ordered and written.

*Issue of June 26, 1920*

[END OF QUOTING]

Dharma, go back up to the top of the copy and place the date of publication, when we have it. It may take a bit of time for us but I think it important that these articles are recognized as being done long ago and are not of our work but very important as historical foundation for our discussions. When you realize that these are, at this time of writing, some 78 years ago and done by one of the world's leading industrial producers, perhaps you can see how usurped the very Jewish people were and ARE.

There is something else to be noted here and that is that the Protocols WERE VERY MUCH AROUND AND VERY MUCH RECOGNIZED all the way back, at the least, to the turn of the century. Therefore, when people tell you they are fabrications, forgeries, or false information--you KNOW BETTER.

These go hand in hand with TALMUDIC "religion" and have very little to do with any TORAH mandates or Spiritual aspect.

As you listen to the NEW LEADERS of your nation right here in the U.S.A., as the lawyers and Judges prattle and speculate--there is more and more frequent use and reference to "Talmudic law" and its acceptance in the Judicial system THAT IS. You heard it casually referred to between Marsha Clarke and Judge Ito (Japanese yet) in the Simpson case, and you hear it used almost daily now in the "panels" of expert observers on the talk circuits regarding the President's indiscretions. This goes beyond the mundane Admiralty or Maritime law bases of judicial take-over--this is the final hookers to have no judicial justice AT ALL. Remember that *Kol Nidre*--NOBODY BUT THE IGNORANT HAVE TO TELL THE TRUTH--BY LAW. Plausible denial AND LYING ARE TOTALLY ACCEPTABLE. Well, not to me.

The prattle goes like this: "If you are President, it's alright to dink around with a little kid, but it is not ok to lie under oath." What OATH? After all, it goes on to be prattled: "...politicians HAVE TO lie". Say what?

I don't know about YOU in particular, but I know that lying is the most acceptable thing you people do and you claim it is to keep from hurting or offending. "Little white lies" you call them. If someone asks for help you say, "I gave at the office..." or "Well, I have this tax problem..." or "I wanted to go but I had..." and the big one, "Well, I had this little fling affair but I didn't want to hurt my wife/husband so...". THIS IS WHERE IT BEGINS, GOOD CITIZENS--RIGHT THERE AND WITH YOU and your own acceptance of bad behaviors, irresponsible attitudes and "me-ness" (if it

feels good and I can hide it--fine, go for it). But you know something? These attitudes DO DAMAGE--starting with SELF.

Do you ever fudge? Do you ever find self guilty of these little things--ARE YOU JEWISH? Then why do you blame someone else? The QUESTION is truly not Jewish or Gentile--it is WRONG VS. RIGHT. And a full-blown military excursion where you go kill people half a world away--unilaterally without recourse, IS WAR.

ANTI-CHRIST IS ANYONE WHO ACTS AGAINST THE LAWS AND GUIDELINES OF CHRIST! NOW, IS THAT SIMPLE ENOUGH TO UNDERSTAND?

So, have I fudged about, say, Col. Bo Gritz and the tales which were not true? No, but I knew the difference--but I only repeated what was personally told to me by Mr. Gritz himself and in his public literature. Man has no other source upon which to base his understanding except that which he LEARNS, and if you refuse to learn truth, you are trapped within the LIE. I repeat what was said last night by a TV Host: "Did it ever occur to anyone to not break the law in the first place?" It is sort of like "safe sex"--did anyone think of solving the problems by RESPONSIBILITY and not playing in the clandestine and dangerous games? Catching a disease is one of the lesser lasting damages from "unsafe sex".

If you learned that it was fine to play around, then you DID NOT LEARN THAT FROM CHRIST--THEREFORE, SINCE THERE IS ONLY "CHRIST" AND "ANTICHRIST", WHICH WAS YOUR TEACHER?

I am being hard on everyone? OH? How so? I would not have assumed that any of YOU would practice irresponsible behaviors--if you follow the RIGHT TEACHERS. So, scribe, perhaps we won't have to work so hard later if we do our work now--we don't have to change the world, save anyone or the world, JUST TELL THE TRUTH. God promised the WORD OF TRUTH and never did HE suggest we stuff it down anyone's gullet!

Moreover, don't be offended by callers who claim their way is righteous and yours is incorrect and go on to explain how they do their good works sponsoring Boy's choirs and good candidates for power places. We can't afford to do the paper much the less sponsor Boy's choirs from Europe to America. Culture comes when a world is good enough to endorse and support good culture--until then it is simply a bunch of little boys singing, in this instance, Catholic songs.

And what of such as Yanni? Yanni is a Ramtha student now almost totally orchestrated by the CIA. Shocking? Can't be the truth? Oh yes indeed it is so. How do you think such inroads were made into China and India to do a "New Age" concert? His lady is a long-time intimate supporter of J.Z. and the "movement". Don't be blind just because you are titillated by some show or tell.

So, who is this 64,000-year-old E.T., Ramtha? He is now a 64,032-year-old E.T., I suppose. Do I know him? Of course, we all come to know these "barbarians" as he describes himself, "Master".

"But Yanni is Greek..." you exclaim. Yes, and so is Latsis of the Greek Mafia. Greece fell, Rome fell, Egypt fell, all the GREAT civilization FELL. IS IT NOW YOUR TURN? AND, OH YES INDEED, THIS THING YOU CALL THE "CHRISTIAN CIVILIZATION" WILL FALL BECAUSE YOU HAVE LEFT OUT ONE THING: CHRIST. Adonai.

## CHAPTER 4

REC #1 HATONN

SAT., FEB. 21, 1998 8:01 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 189

SAT., FEB. 21, 1998

### THE SHAME AND THE BLAME IS ON "YOU". AMERICA, U.S.A.

We actually had a high-level marketing person FROM ANOTHER NEWSPAPER call and tell us to stop running the material we are covering.

Number one: We will run TRUTH until somebody who can stop the insanity does so.

Number two: If another paper runs something publicly that is worthy of note, we will use it if we have permission. If no permission is granted, we will not even further recommend any of you readers take that paper. NOBODY has an inside track on this world MESS, and unless they are writing pure fiction under copyright, do they have the "Wag the Dog" corner on the domestic mess.

Number three: For goodness sakes, readers, KNOW WHAT YOU ARE DOING BEFORE YOU DO IT--OR AT THE LEAST KNOW WHAT TO DO WHEN YOU ARE STOPPED FROM DOING IT.

Why have I been writing about "Jews" and Israeli matters? Because of the following:

[QUOTING, *SPOTLIGHT*, Feb. 23, 1998:]

### EDITORIAL

#### THINK ABOUT IT

Throughout history there have been thousands of wars. The victor may commit genocide. He may stack human skulls in pyramids, rape and enslave the women and kill the men. He can raze the cities and burn the crops.

These are things a victor MAY do. But there is one thing he absolutely HAS TO DO.

A victor always must control the subjugated nation's foreign policy and armed forces. This is certain.

IS IT NOT TRUE THAT AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY *HAS BEEN TAKEN OVER AND IS RUN FOR THE BENEFIT OF A FOREIGN NATION?*

**Of course this is true.**

**Therefore, is America a conquered vassal of a foreign nation, even though most Americans are unaware of it?**

**Answer that question HONESTLY, if you are capable of honesty. THINK ABOUT IT.**  
[END OF QUOTING]

Make sure Ms. Albright's picture is affixed; you know, that Secretary of State, U.S.A. who "didn't know she was Jewish".



(Make sure Ms. Albright's picture is affixed;  
you know, that Secretary of State, U.S.A.  
who "didn't know she was Jewish".)

*SPOTLIGHT's* same paper has a most interesting Front Page article. Note while you read this information regarding disinformation just how much totally bad information is being fed to your leaders in order for you, as a nation, to become world killers, first class! Remember the President and the scallywags are telling you that Saddam has some 80 palaces of sizes ranging from huge and palatial to even bigger than many states in the U. S.?

Well, the U.N. diplomats have now found all EIGHT of them, gone through them thoroughly, found living quarters of rather small size and grounds of small size with perhaps a pond or so. There is NOTHING in them that so much as suggests there is anything amiss--IN ANY OF THEM.

THERE IS A MOVE ON NOW TO GET U.S. CITIZENS OF DIPLOMATIC STATURE, ARAB DIPLOMATS, PERHAPS THE U.N. DELEGATES AND AMBASSADORS, AND SETTING UP HOUSEKEEPING IN ALL OF THE PLACES TARGETED FOR BOMBS--AND SEE HOW EAGER MR. CLINTON IS TO PLAY PAC-MAN VIDEO GAMES. ISRAEL WANTS TO HAVE ANY EXCUSE TO USE NEUTRON BOMBS ON AN ENEMY--AND THAT IS SOON GOING TO BE "YOU" GOOD BUDDIES. YOU ARE TOTALLY CONTROLLED BY THIS ISRAELI CRIMINAL ELEMENT AND YOU WILL EITHER WAKE UP OR IT IS OVER FOR AMERICA AND THE SO-CALLED "FREE WORLD". YOU ARE RIGHT FACE-TO-FACE WITH IT, AMERICA.

[QUOTING:]

CLINTON HOODWINKED ON IRAQ  
DISINFORMATION CAMPAIGN BY MOSSAD  
by Martin Mann

*Once again a foreign intelligence service has hoodwinked an American President. This time the subject is Iraq. [H: By the way, "hoodwinked" is a good old Masonic term.]*

The Clinton administration is being relentlessly driven toward another armed clash with Iraq--and a disastrous confrontation with the vast world of Islam. The motivating factor is a stream of

deceptive and fabricated intelligence reports fed to the White House, congressional leaders and the U.S. mainstream media by the Israeli government and its powerful Washington lobby.

The *SPOTLIGHT* has learned exclusively from briefings and documents provided by high-level diplomatic sources that President Bill Clinton's decision to order U.S. forces in the Gulf on a war footing came *after* he was shown top secret strategic estimates arguing that the regime of Iraqi strongman Saddam Hussein could be toppled by "crippling" air raids.

### UNRELIABLE REPORTS

But Middle Eastern diplomatic sources warn that these reports are based on information put out by the Mossad, *Israel's secret service*, *after* its agents purportedly co-opted and debriefed a key Iraqi defector last December. **[H: "Purportedly" is THE key word here. My goodness, readers, aren't you sick unto death of the cheats, liars and *Kol Nidre* misfits? What does it take to wake you up and get you "mad as hell" so you don't take it anymore? You were once the shining light of the world in freedom, respect, and JOY. You were the dream of every foreign person as a place to live and BE. YOU HAVE BECOME THE EVIL EMPIRE ABOVE ALL OTHERS! YOU ARE TOTALLY RUN BY THE ANTICHRIST!]**

**Well, we are run by the help of honest people who support just the mere writing of the word. And here is some non-difficult intelligence reporting: Again, Dr. Young reports that we don't even have enough funds to publish this paper. Well, we dug up funds enough for this one--but how do we do the next? Every other truth-bringer is in the same position and, therefore, we each do that which we can and push as hard as we can to survive. But is survival enough? You must face your enemy NOW, World, for he has just eaten and is digesting America (all countries represented therein).]**

In a detailed intelligence summary distributed both to the White House and the U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), the Mossad identified the defector as Iraqi Lt. Col. Wafik al-Sammarrai. He was described as a former chief of Saddam Hussein's military intelligence service, who was said to have defected in late 1996 while on a trip to Greece.

Col. al-Sammarrai allegedly told Israeli interrogators that a number of Iraq's armed forces commanders were "fed up" with Saddam Hussein's one-man rule and opposed his "suicidal" confrontation with the West.

According to the Mossad summary sent to Washington through back channels, the renegade Iraqi officer identified some of these disaffected generals by name.

If pounding, merciless U.S. air raids smashed the Iraqi ruler's palaces, his national communications network including Baghdad TV and radio, as well as other key installations, the generals would "see the light" and oust Saddam Hussein, Col. al-Sammarrai reportedly assured his Israeli interrogators.

### WARNING NOTICE

But senior Middle Eastern diplomatic sources have provided this populist newspaper's diplomatic correspondent with access to a warning notice circulated last month by the Arab League among the leaders of its 21 member states.

The confidential memorandum points out that the Mossad's intelligence summary, attempting to justify all-out bombardment of Iraq, sounds like a fabrication.

It appears to be just another "disinformation, cover and deception operation of the sort Israel has launched on numerous past occasions" to mislead and manipulate U.S. strategy, the Arab League circular cautions.

**[H: How many of you readers are even yet informed (by the time you get this paper) about the cute games of yesterday and the day before BY YOUR U.S. GOVERNMENT AND THE MOVIE MAKERS? THEY GOT CAUGHT WITH THE FILM OF BOMBING RUNS AND STRIKES ALREADY READY TO SHOW YOU-THE-PEOPLE, Is this Mr. Spielberg at his finest, or what? WAG THE DOG, PEOPLE; THIS IS SICKER THAN SICK. YOU PLAN TO GO BOMB A BUNCH OF PEOPLE AND YET THE NICE PRECISION STRIKES MOVIE YOU WILL BE SHOWN AS PUBLIC ONGOING "REPORTING" IS NOT ONLY READY, IT IS EDITED AND WAITING. THE EDITING CREW GOT CAUGHT AND, OF COURSE, THE REAL LIES STARTED LIKE A BLIZZARD, BUT ALL THE STORIES WERE DIFFERENT--OH WELL. AND WHAT HAPPENED ON THE MOON WITH YOU GUYS? ANTICHRIST HAS GOTCHA, AMERICA!]**

It cites several inconsistencies in the Mossad's intelligence summary, including the fact that Col. al-Sammarrai "is known to have left Iraq well before 1996; in fact, he has been described as a paid international anti-Saddam operative ever since 1993."

As for the Israeli intelligence summary's claim that aerial warfare will set the stage for military uprising in Iraq, independent observers around the world scoffed at the proposition.

Even the staid London *Times*--the voice of Britain's ruling Establishment, claimed by Clinton as his closest ally--dismissed the notion with a single word: "Lunacy".

## OPPOSITION

The Saudi government responded to these developments with an official announcement by Prince Sultan, its defense minister and second prime minister, widely respected as the Arab world's wisest and most statesmanlike leader.

"Saudi Arabia is opposed to bombing raids or other military action against the nation and people of Iraq," Prince Sultan proclaimed.

Egypt--known in ordinary times as Washington's best-paid and least troublesome Mideast client--went even further. President Hosni Mubarak announced that he would convene an emergency Arab summit to adopt "measures to prevent any armed aggression against Iraq". **[H: And, you will note: THAT HAS HAPPENED!]**

[END OF QUOTING]

While we are on the topic and have permission to further spread the information, and with unlimited gratitude to *SPOTLIGHT* who has had to fight these Antichrists for years, let us share some more "REAL STUFF". I ask that we please give all information regarding *Spotlight AND Liberty Lobby*. If you won't hear us, readers, LISTEN TO THOSE WHO HAVE BEEN AROUND YOUR PLACE, LEARNING THE HARD WAY.

[QUOTING:]

ANATOMY OF DISINFORMATION CAMPAIGN:  
IT'S IRAN-CONTRA AFFAIR ALL OVER AGAIN

by Martin Mann

*Today's Mideast deception campaign has a "made in Israel" label, just like the Iran-Contra scandal.*

Ten years ago, *National Review*, the nominally conservative magazine published by talk-show host William F. Buckley, [a Jew with a British accent], provided the anatomy of a mammoth disinformation and deception operation by Israel. This was the so-called Iran-Contra affair. Now another Israeli operation against the U.S. government threatens to plunge the country into war, and Israel is using the same blueprint. **[H: No! It is not against the U.S. government. Just like back then--THEY ARE THE U.S. GOVERNMENT!]**

But the story of how *National Review* turned into a vehicle for the ministate's black propaganda mendacities is important again this year, when the Israel lobby is once again inflaming public opinion--this time against Iraq--with a similar campaign of lies and distorted "threat assessments".

Buckley and his magazine became unwitting instruments of Israel's entrapment **[H: Oh Barf, Mr. Mann.]** scheme because both were known for their unconditional support of President Ronald Reagan and of Zionism at the time, evidence assembled by this populist newspaper has suggested.

In the fall of 1986, the Reagan administration faced a potentially fatal crisis: headline stories involving some of its top officials in a conspiracy to sell high-tech armaments to Iran and to funnel some of the proceeds to the Nicaraguan anti-communist fighters known as the "Contras". **[H: When all this shakes out you will learn it had nothing to do with Communism and everything to do with CIA-Administration DRUG RUNNING. ARMS WERE ALSO BEING FUNNELLED TO IRAQ AND SADDAM HUSSEIN ALONG WITH BOODLES OF MONEY.]**

To defend themselves against charges of criminal conspiracy, Reagan's top aides decided to tell their story--an account of "what really happened"--to a friendly and trusted U.S. publication.

The White House chose Buckley and his *National Review*, known for their unswerving loyalty to Reaganism and to the ideals of a "Greater Israel". **[H: Now, this is right on the mark.]**

On Friday, November 14, 1986--the morning after Reagan gave a speech in which he told the nation of the Iran problem for the first time--the writer assigned by Buckley to the case, Neil C. Livingstone, an "anti-terrorist" expert, sat down in Washington's posh Hay-Adams hotel with Lt. Col. OLIVER NORTH for a secret briefing on the affair. **[H: Don't forget this is the SAME Oliver North who went with George Bush, James Baker HI, Lloyd Bentsen, and another couple of thugs, to the hospital to get Russell Herman to sign over the "Super-Fund" Peruvian contract of such current fame. They really couldn't do much more to him, however, for they HAD ALREADY KILLED HIM; he was just waiting to die and even though they hastened his departure-time a bit, he held strong and DID NOT MEET THEIR DEMANDS. INSTEAD, HE AND V.K. DURHAM HAD PUT THAT CONTRACT TO PUBLIC RECORD FOR YOU-THE-PEOPLE. HOW BLIND YOU ARE AND HOW CARELESS WITH THE GREAT GIFTS OF GOD AND THE SACRIFICES OF "REAL" MEN. WAS RUSSELL SO GREAT**



**IN HIS INTENTIONS ALL ALONG? NO, HE WAS A FULL PARTNER WITH THEM, SO CERTAINLY HE KNEW WHAT HE WAS DOING AND DID IT FOR YOU AND YOU DIDN'T HAVE THE TIME OF DAY FOR HIM OR HIS GIFT.]**

In a lead article in the January 30, 1987 issue of *National Review*, Livingstone reported on what he learned at that briefing under a splashy cover headline: "What Ollie North Told Me Before He Took the Fifth." [H: **And believe me when I tell you that Billy Zipper is "just not himself" lately. He is having to go to Camp David for revamping every weekend these days. He can't even help what he is doing--he is nothing but a brain-controlled robot.**]

"What follows is, I believe, the true story behind the secret diplomacy with Iran, as told to me by Ollie North," Livingstone wrote.

In essence, North told the *National Review* writer that the Reagan Administration, "acting in concert with Israel", had decided to sell missiles to Iran after it received secret intelligence reports of menacing Soviet preparations to invade and seize this oil-rich Gulf nation.

Although Livingstone's article did not specify just where these blockbuster "intelligence" reports came from, it is known today, from the confessions of Col. Robert "Bud" McFarlane, who served as national security director in the Reagan White House at the time, that the reports of a planned Soviet takeover of Iran came from three top Mossad agents.

#### AGENTS NAMED

McFarlane has identified them as David Kimche, then a deputy director of the Israeli secret service; Amiram Nir, the chief intelligence adviser in the Israeli prime minister's office; and Manucher Ghorbanifar, a millionaire arms dealer and Zionist deception operative.

These Mossad middlemen urgently warned the Reagan White House that legions of Soviet agents--"as many as 600 KGB operatives and *Spetsnaz* [Russian Special Forces unit]" Livingstone reported in *National Review*--had been infiltrated into Teheran to subvert Iran's fundamentalist Islamic government.

The undercover Bolshevik invasion of Iran was first of all designed to fan the flames of the Iran-Iraq war then in full swing. The Iranian high command was being lured into disastrous assaults in well-defined Iraqi lines by Soviet disinformation, Ollie North related to Livingstone.

"Once the Iranian military were destroyed and the [Iranian] Revolutionary Guards were drawn out of Teheran, Moscow's Iranian allies will seize the government, and, as in Afghanistan, request Soviet military assistance," North told the *National Review* writer.

To comply with that request, hundreds of thousands of Soviet troops were already being massed near the Iranian border, North disclosed.

As Washington national security officials came to "recognize the seriousness of this threat" reported by Israeli intelligence, President Reagan gave the go-ahead for contacting and arming the "moderate" factions in the Iranian government, who were already said to be in touch with the Mossad, North explained to Livingstone.

#### AFTERMATH

**What followed was the tragic-comic aerial mission to Teheran by a secret team of Reagan aides, who were sent to contact the "Iranian moderates" promised by the Mossad-- only to find that they didn't exist.**

In the aftermath, Reagan's national security chief, Col. McFarlane, who felt duped and disgraced by the Mossad's David Kimche, attempted to kill himself.

Today it is well known that the so-called Israeli "intelligence reports" were at the heart of the Iran-Contra scandal. All of the Mossad's warnings about a Soviet plan to grab Iran were lies, cunning fabrications designed to stampede the Reagan Administration into endorsing secretive but highly lucrative Israeli arms sales to Iran.

[END OF QUOTING]

**AND HERE IS A COMFORTING SOMETHING ABOUT "WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION"--FOR REAL:**

[QUOTING, same paper, same page:]

U.S. TACTICAL NUKES ON STANDBY IN GULF

by Mike Blair

*Military experts say nuclear weapons must be on board U.S. ships in the Persian Gulf.*

U.S. aircraft carriers poised in the Middle East to launch strikes against Iraq are carrying tactical nuclear bombs and missiles with which to arm jet fighter-bombers.

This is the opinion of a retired Air Force intelligence officer who spoke with *The SPOTLIGHT*, on the guarantee of anonymity, about the potential tinder box that is about to be ignited in the Persian Gulf.

"Since President Clinton has signed a directive [*SPOTLIGHT*, Feb. 16] changing the rules to **allow the use of tactical nuclear weapons to blast Iraq**, it stands to reason that such weapons are already aboard aircraft carriers on station there," the retired officer explained.

"Certainly," he added, "if a decision is made to use such weapons in retaliation for Iraq's use, or apparent use, of biological and chemical weapons against our troops, or Israel, our military could not be expected to have to wait until they are delivered to the carriers from bases outside the area."

PPD-60 CONFIRMED

The retired intelligence officer was referring to a Presidential Order signed last November, Presidential Policy Directive No. 60, or PPD-60, as it is more commonly known.

The directive alters U.S. nuclear policy to allow the use of tactical nuclear weapons in the event that Saddam Hussein releases any chemical or biological weapons against U.S. forces or neighboring countries, (read: Israel), according to reports being specifically mentioned in the document.

It is believed that the directive would allow the use of B61 series of tactical nuclear warheads, the so-called "mini-nukes" which have an explosive force of about one kiloton, which makes them about 300 to 500 times more powerful than the largest conventional non-nuclear warheads available to the U.S. military. **[H: Very definitely "weapons of mass destruction" any way you cut this pie.]**

The retired Air Force officer **[H: He isn't named because we want him to remain "retired" and not "dead".]** told The *SPOTLIGHT* that he believes the nuclear warheads are aboard the U.S. carriers and probably on U.S. surface-to-surface guided missile cruisers and destroyers deployed to the Gulf region. **[H: How does this make you feel about the security of your own sons, husbands, fathers and brothers?]**

He said he doubts that they are deployed to Bahrain and Kuwait, from which U.S. Air Force planes will strike Iraq, because the U.S. military would not have total control of these foreign bases.

However, bases in Bahrain are known to be a jump-off point for U.S. F-15 E fighter bombers and B-1 subsonic bombers, both of which carry laser-guided bombs including an enhanced GBU28, which can penetrate 11 feet of reinforced concrete.

Both Red China and Russia have announced very vocal opposition to the planned U.S. air strikes against Iraq.

The question on the minds of many foreign policy experts is whether Russian President Boris Yeltsin was thinking specifically of the U.S. use of tactical nuclear weapons when he stated that an air strike against Saddam Hussein's domain "could spark World War III".

Actually, the U.S. has pledged not to use nuclear weapons on a signer of the nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty. Iraq is a signatory. Israel IS NOT.

That argument does not appease either the Russians or the Red Chinese, both of which are capable of launching nuclear attacks against the United States.

[END OF QUOTING]

Now for you who want me to stop writing about these topics, I will tell you now: NO. In addition to other nasties, this is a major opportunity, whether there is, or is not, war, to get an unlimited number of Israelis INTO THE UNITED STATES PERMANENTLY on the basis of their "danger" in Israel, from Iraq. By the PLANELOADS these "now-called refugees" are flooding out of Tel Aviv and INTO THE U.S. AND OTHER INTERIM PLACES WHERE THEY WILL THEN BE TRANSFERRED INTO THE U.S. WITHOUT NEED OF PASSPORTS, PERMISSION, PERMITS OR ANY OTHER REQUIREMENTS.

I believe it is now appropriate to close this writing with an article from *THE TORONTO STAR*, Feb. 20, 1998.

[QUOTING:]

### FARRAKHAN MAKES FIERY PLEA

#### *CHALLENGES MAJOR FAITHS IN TORONTO SPEECH*

Rebecca Bragg, staff reporter

Nation of Islam leader Louis Farrakhan wrapped up a 53-nation tour in Toronto last night with a fiery plea for a "revolution" in ATTITUDE among all faith groups.

In a speech before about 3,000 people at the CNE Coliseum, Farrakhan, who has come under fire in the past for anti-Semitic remarks, slammed all the world's major religions for straying from the intent of their prophets.

And while no faith was singled out for special criticism, Farrakhan took aim at people who gave lip service to their religions without making a corresponding commitment to action.

"Goodness is measured by the quality of your service to God and the quality of your service to man," the charismatic but controversial American leader thundered.

Too many Christians, Muslims, Jews, Buddhists and Hindus "refuse to attempt the uphill road" and take actions such as "freeing a slave or feeding an orphan", Farrakhan said.

Although everyone who attended the speech was subjected to a thorough body search before they were allowed in the auditorium, there wasn't a hint of disorderly conduct all evening.

Farrakhan's Toronto supporters--women dressed in white tunics and *hijab* (headscarves), clean-cut young men in suits with trademark white shirts and bow ties--were helpful but otherwise unobtrusive.

Although the vast majority of people in the audience were Black, not all were Muslim, and the event opened first with Islamic prayers in Arabic, then Christian prayers in English.

#### CANADA AND THE U.S. GOVERNMENTS DRAW CRITICISM ON IRAQ

While the Nation of Islam has been criticized for anti-White bigotry, its followers, mainly in the United States, have also been praised for their commitment to clean living and community involvement.

Nothing that Farrakhan, 64, said last night could have been construed as racially divisive.

But his attitude toward a possible military strike against Iraq left no room for doubt that governments of the United States and Canada are in the WRONG.

"My dear Prime Minister, what has Iraq done to you?" Farrakhan asked rhetorically.

"We can't let these politicians rule when they're [crazy] as hell."

Whatever weapons Saddam Hussein now has in his army were **sold to him by Western countries**, he told the crowd.

"As long as Saddam was killing Muslims in Iran, the West didn't give a damn what weapons he used," Farrakhan said.

Now, with the Iraqi people having endured seven years of deprivation since the Gulf War, "Nothing but a damn group of cowards would make war on a nation that has no ability to fight back," he said.

[END OF QUOTING]

Our plea is always, "God please have mercy on us." NO, readers, THERE WILL BE NO MERCY FOR YOUR DELIBERATE ALLOWANCE OF THIS KIND OF ACTION. NONE. THE RETALIATION FROM THE ARAB AND OTHER PEOPLES OF THE WORLD WILL FALL ON THE DOORSTEPS OF THOSE WHO ALLOWED THE ANTICHRIST OF THE JEWISH FORMAT TO RUN RAMPANT. YOU WILL BE CAUGHT BETWEEN THOSE WHO WOULD KILL YOU ON ONE SIDE AND THOSE WHO WILL WIPE OUT COUNTRIES WHO HAVE HARBORED THESE ALIENS. IF "YOU" ARE IN THE COUNTRY OF THE BASTARDS, YOU WILL NOT BE SHOWN MUCH MERCY, MY FRIENDS. THAT *PHOENIX* EMBLEM ON THE JOURNALS AND THAT LOGO ON *CONTACT* WILL BE "YOUR" TICKET TO SAFETY--I SUGGEST YOU NOT LET THIS RESOURCE DIE BECAUSE YOU DON'T HAVE MANY TICKETS TO "ANYWHERE" AVAILABLE TO YOU--AND THIS IS GOING TO BE BETTER THAN ANY *BLOOD SMEARED ACROSS YOUR DOORWAY* FOR A "PASSEOVER" CEREMONY.

Now to close with a confirming notice about what I wrote yesterday about reparations to Holocaust survivors:

[QUOTING:]

#### BETTER THAN GOLD

[H: Let's see, the war was in the '40s, half a century ago!]

Fifty-four years have passed and 100 BILLION Deutsch Marks of German reparations have been paid to "Holocaust survivors" but the Conference of Jewish Material Claims Against Germany **has just come up with 18,000 "NEW" Eastern European survivors WHO WILL RECEIVE AN ADDITIONAL DM50 MILLION** for each of the next four years from an ever compliant Bonn government.

[END OF QUOTING]

I simply have not the time to speak on all things, so we must focus on that which may allow you to LIVE A LITTLE LONGER.

Good afternoon; have a nice day. Adonai.

## CHAPTER 5

REC #1 HATONN

SUN., FEB. 22, 1998 8:49 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 190

SUN., FEB. 22, 1998

### POWER OF THE PRESS AND MEDIA

Today, just as in 1920, the power of the press and media is THE most compelling tool of any regime. You will, as people, believe what you are told to believe.

I watch right now, as ones get up to speak on the subject of bombing innocent people in Iraq, that the big mouths (all Jewish, it happens to be) say things like, "Well, when we declare intent and the President decides to bomb Iraq *ALL THE PEOPLE OF THE U.S. WILL JOIN WITH US AND BEHIND US--THEY ALWAYS DO!*"

Do you hear me? "They always do...!!!" I see a foolish robot who knows nothing of "real life" standing up before people, for ONCE, while he says, "We (YOU) must sacrifice, and I will lead." BS squared. I see him speaking to the aroused dissenters, ready to clobber the speaker. Why don't you clobber the guilty once in a while instead of the innocent of someone somewhere else?

These "MOUTHS" who determine your life and death, friends, NEVER go to battle, never even dirty their grubby hands. They decide and are then shocked if you disagree. What do they do then? They make you AGREE and then send you off to kill and be killed. Is *THIS GODLY?* No, it is stupidity in its highest form.

What would you do if you called a war and nobody came? Well, you are about to witness that, blind lambs: Saddam Hussein has done the one thing that no tyrant can abide: He isn't going to do anything in response to your evil. BUT HIS BROTHERS OF THAT WORLD--WILL, WHEN THE TIME IS PERFECT FOR THEIR RESPONSE.

AND MOREOVER, GOD WILL EVEN THE PLAYING FIELD AGAINST THAT

DAY. Let us move on with our series so that we can then turn to more pressing

business. [QUOTING:] PART 9, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

### ARTHUR BRISBANE LEAPS TO THE HELP OF JEWRY

*"What are you prating about? As long as we do not have the Press of the whole world in our hands, everything you may do is vain. We must control or influence the papers of the whole world in order to blind and deceive the people."*

--Baron Montefiore

Once more the current of this series on the Modern Jewish Question is interrupted to give notice of the appearance of the Question in another quarter, the appearance this time consisting of a more than two-column "TODAY" editorial in the Hearst papers of Sunday, June 20, from the pen of Arthur

Brisbane. It would be too much to say that Mr. Brisbane is the most influential writer in the country, but perhaps he is among the dozen most widely read. It is, therefore, a confirmation of the statement that the Question is assuming importance in this country, that a writer of Mr. Brisbane's prominence should openly discuss it.

Of course, Mr. Brisbane has not studied the Question. He would probably admit in private conversation--though such an admission would hardly be in harmony with the tone of certainty he publicly adopts--that he really knows nothing about it. He knows, however, as a good newspaper man, how to handle it when the exigencies of the newspaper day throw it up to him for offhand treatment. Every editorial writer knows how to do that. There is something good in every race, or there have been some notable individuals in it, or it has played a picturesque part in history--that is enough for a very readable editorial upon any class of people who may happen to be represented in the community. The Question, whatever it may be, need not be studied at all; a certain group of people may be salved for a few paragraphs, and the job need never be tackled again. Every newspaper man knows that.

And yet, having lived in New York for a long time, having had financial dealings of a large and obligating nature with certain interests in this country, having seen no doubt more or less of the inner workings of the great trust and banking groups, and being constantly surrounded by assistants and advisors who are members of the Jewish race, Mr. Brisbane must have had his thoughts. It is, however, no part of a newspaper man's business to expose his thoughts about the racial groups of his community, any more than it is a showman's business to express his opinion of the patrons of his show. The kinds of offense a newspaper will give, and the occasions on which it will feel justified in giving it, are very limited.

So, assuming that Mr. Brisbane had to write at all, it could have been told beforehand what he would write. The only wonder is that he felt he had to write. Did he really feel that the Jews are being "persecuted" when an attempt is made to uncover the extent and causes of their control in the United States and elsewhere? Did he feel, with good editorial shrewdness, that here was an opportunity to win the attention and regard of the most influential group in New York and the nation? Or--and this seems within the probabilities--was he inclined simply to pass it over, until secretarial suggestions reached him for a Sunday editorial, or until some of the bondholders made their wishes known? This is not at all to impugn Mr. Brisbane's motives, but merely to indicate on what slender strings such an editorial may depend.

But what is more important--does Mr. Brisbane consider that, having disposed of the Sunday editorial, he is through with the Question, or that the Question itself is solved? That is the worst of daily editorializing; having come safely and inoffensively through with one editorial, the matter is at an end as far as that particular writer is concerned--that is, as a usual thing.

It is to be hoped that Mr. Brisbane is not through. He ought not to leave a big question without contributing something to it, and in his Sunday editorial he did not contribute anything. He even made mistakes which he ought to correct by further study. "What about the Phoenicians?" he asks. He should have looked that up while his mind was opened receptively toward the subject, and he would not have made so miserable a blunder as to connect them so closely with the Jews. He would never find a Jew doing that. It is permissible, however, in Jewish propaganda intended for Gentile consumption. The Phoenicians themselves certainly never thought they were connected in any way with the Jews, and the Jews were equally without light on the subject. If in nothing else, they differed in their attitude toward the sea. The Phoenicians not only built boats but manned them; the Jew would rather risk his investment in a boat than himself. In everything else the differences

between the two peoples were deep and distinct. Mr. Brisbane should have turned up the Jewish Encyclopedia at that point in his dictation. It is to be hoped he will resume his study and when he has found something that is not printed in "simply written" Jewish books will give the world the benefit of it. It is hardly like the question of the rotundity of the Earth; this Question is not settled and it will be discussed.

Mr. Brisbane is in a position to pursue some investigations of his own on this subject. He has a large staff, and it is presumed that some of its members are Gentiles of unbiased minds; he has a world-wide organization; since his own modification of speech and views following upon his adventure in the money-making world, he has a "look-in" upon certain groups of men and certain tendencies of power--why does he not take this Question as a world problem and go after the facts and the solution?

It is a task worthy of any newspaper organization. It will assist America to make the contribution which she must make if this Question is ever to be turned from the bugbear it has been through all the centuries. All the talk on Earth about "loving our fellow men" will not serve in lieu of an investigation, because it is asking men to love those who are rapidly and insidiously gaining the mastery of them. "What's wrong with the Jew?" is the first question, and then, "what's wrong with the Gentile to make it possible?"

As in the case of every Gentile writer who appears as the Jew's good-natured defender, Mr. Brisbane is compelled to state a number of facts which comprise a part of the very Question whose existence is denied.

"Every other successful name you see in a great city is a Jewish name," says Mr. Brisbane. In his own city the ratio is even higher than that.

"Jews numbering less than one per cent of the Earth's population possess by conquest, enterprise, industry and intelligence 50 per cent of the world's commercial success," says Mr. Brisbane.

Does it mean anything to Mr. Brisbane? Has he ever thought how it will all turn out? Is he willing to absolve that "success" from every quality which humanity has a right to challenge? Is he entirely satisfied with the way that "success" is used where it is supreme? Would he be willing to undertake to prove that it is due to those commendable qualities he has named and nothing less commendable? Speaking of the Jew-financed Harriman railroad campaign, is Mr. Brisbane ready to write his endorsement upon that? Did he ever hear of Jewish money backing railroads that were built for railroad purposes and nothing else?

It would be very easy to suggest to Mr. Brisbane, as editor, a series of articles which would be most enlightening, both to himself and his readers, if he would only put unbiased men at work gathering the facts for them.

One of the articles might be entitled "The Jews at the Peace Conference". His men should be instructed to learn who were the most prominent figures at the Peace conference; who came and went most constantly and most busily; who were given freest access to the most important persons and chambers; which race provided the bulk of the private secretaries to the important personages there; which race provided most of the sentinels through whom engagements had to be made with men of note; which race went furthest in the endeavor to turn the whole proceeding into a festival rout by dances and lavish entertainment; which civilians of prominence oftenest dined the leading conferees in private session.



If Mr. Brisbane, with the genius for reporting which his organization deservedly has, will turn his men loose on that assignment, and then print what they bring him, he will have a story that will make a mark even in his remarkable career as an editor.

He might even run a second story on the Peace Conference, entitled, "Which Program Won at the Peace Conference?" He might instruct his men to inquire as to the business which brought the Jews in such quality and quantity to Paris, and how it was put through. Particularly should they inquire whether any jot or tittle of the Jews' world program was refused or modified by the Peace Conference. It should also be carefully inquired whether, after getting what they went after, they did not then ask for still more and get that, too, even though it constituted a discrimination against the rest of the world. Mr. Brisbane would doubtless be surprised to learn that of all the programs submitted to that conference, not excepting the great program on which humanity hung so many pathetic hopes, the only program to go through was the Jews' program. And yet he could learn just that if he inquired. The question is, having obtained that information, what would Mr. Brisbane do with it?

There are any number of lines of investigation Mr. Brisbane might enter, and in any one of them his knowledge of his country and of its relation to this particular Question would be greatly enlarged.

Does Mr. Brisbane know who owns Alaska? He may have been under the impression, in common with the rest of us until we learned better, that it was owned by the United States. NO, it is owned by the **same people who are rapidly to own the United States.**

Is Mr. Brisbane, from the vantage point afforded by his position in national journalism, even dimly aware that there are elements in our industrial unrest which neither "capital" nor "labor" accurately define? Has he ever caught a glimpse of another power which is neither "labor" nor "capital" in the productive sense, whose purpose and interest it is to keep labor and capital as far apart as possible, now by provoking labor, now by provoking capital? In his study of the industrial situation and its perfectly baffling mystery, Mr. Brisbane must have caught a flash of something behind the backmost scene. It would be good journalistic enterprise to find out what it is.

Has Mr. Brisbane ever printed the names of the men who control the sugar supply of the United States--does he know them--would he like to know them?

Has he ever looked into the woolen situation in this country, from the change of ownership in cotton lands, and the deliberate sabotage of cotton production by banking threats, right on through to the change in the price of cloth and clothing? And has he ever noted the names of the men he found on that piece of investigation? Would he like to know how it is done, and who does it? Mr. Brisbane could find all these things and give them to the public by using his efficient staff of investigators and writers on this Question.

Whether Mr. Brisbane would feel free to do this, he himself best knows. There may be reasons why he would not, private reasons, prudential reasons.

However that may be, there are no reasons why he should not make a complete study of the Question--a real study, not a superficial glance at it with an eye to its "news value"--and arrive at his own considered conclusion. There would be no intolerance about that. As it is now, Mr. Brisbane is not qualified to take a stand on either side of the Question; he simply brushes it aside as

troublesome, as the old planters used to brush aside the anti-slavery moralists; and for that reason the recent defense of the Jew is not a defense at all. It is more like a bid for favor.

Mr. Brisbane's chief aversion, apparently, is toward what he calls race prejudice and race hatred. Of course, if any man should fear that the study of an economic situation would plunge him into these serious aberrations of mind, he should be advised to avoid that line of study. There is something wrong either with the investigation or with the investigator when prejudice and hatred are the result. It is a mighty poor excuse, however, for an intelligent man to put forward either on his own behalf or on behalf of those whose minds he has had the privilege of molding over a course of years.

Prejudice and hatred are the very conditions which a scientific study of the Jewish Question will forestall and prevent. We prejudge what we do not know, and we hate what we do not understand; the study of the Jewish Question will bring knowledge and insight, and not to the Gentile only, but also to the Jew. The Jew needs this as much, even more than the Gentile. For if the Jew can be made to see, understand and deal with certain matters, then a large part of the Question vanishes in the solution of ideal common sense. Awaking the Gentile to the facts about the Jew is only part of the work; awaking the Jew to the facts about the Question is an indispensable part. The big initial victory to be achieved is to transform Gentiles from being mere attackers and to transform Jews from being mere defenders, both of them special pleaders for partisan views, and to turn them both into investigators. The investigation will show both Gentile and Jew at fault, and the road will then be clear for wisdom to work out a result, if there should perchance be that much wisdom left in the race.

There is a serious snare in all this plea for tolerance. Tolerance is first a tolerance of the truth. Tolerance is urged today for the sake of suppression. There can be no tolerance until there is first a full understanding of what is tolerated. Ignorance, suppression, silence, collusion--these are not tolerance. The Jew never has been really tolerated in the higher sense because he has never been understood. Mr. Brisbane does not assist the understanding of this people by reading a "simply written" book and flinging a few Jewish names about in a sea of type. He owes it to his own mind to get into the Question, whether he makes newspaper use of his discoveries or not.

As to the newspaper angle, it is impossible to report to the world even superficially without coming everywhere against the fact of the Jews, and the Press gets around that fact by referring to them as Russians, Letts, Germans and Englishmen. This mask of names is one of the most confusing elements in the whole problem. **[H: And thus, why it is done.]** Names that actually name, statements that actually define are needed for the clarification of the world's mind.

**[H: It can be further described as being either EXPLICIT or IMPLICIT. For instance, a few days ago at the Ohio State University "open meeting" Mr. Cohen was asked EXPLICITLY: "How much are these military operations costing a day?"**

**Answer: Some five minutes of non-answer and NEVER was the question answered or attempted to be answered. There were some very IMPLICIT responses about it costing not MUCH MORE than running an army on a daily budget and expense schedule--if the army was at "home". But there was never an answer. Until you are explicit you will run on innuendos and implicit misunderstandings. Mr. Cohen could have been explicit if by nothing more than saying, "I don't know." But you see, he DOES KNOW and therefore must be implicit so that YOU DON'T FIND OUT THE ANSWER! If I ask you about Jesus or Confucius, I don't want you tell me about Buddha or Socrates. If I wanted that response from**

**you I would have asked you about Buddha or Socrates.**

**And when "war" was mentioned to Ms. Albright she says, "Oh we are going to have only a military incursion, not a war." A what? Is this implicit enough by simple contradictory statements in ONE STATEMENT? A military incursion IS WAR. That is explicit and no implicit drivel makes a whit of difference except that you know YOU ARE BEING DECEIVED—DELIBERATELY.]**

Mr. Brisbane should study this question for the light such a study would throw on other matters with which he is concerned. It would be a help to that study if from time to time he would publish some of his findings, because such publication would put him in touch with a phase of Judaism which mere complimentary editorials could not. No doubt Mr. Brisbane has been deluged by communications which praise him for what he has written; **[H: Remember that men such as Hitler, Stalin, and any considered "bad" men WROTE SOME FINE THINGS AS WELL. But to ban the speaking of or reference to these historically "important" (not famous, important) people is a worse COVER-UP OF THE CENSORS THEMSELVES THAN A REFLECTION ON THE "BADNESS" OF SUCH INDIVIDUALS. THIS IS WHY YOU SHOULD ALWAYS TRY TO READ BANNED BOOKS AND SQUELCHED INFORMATION.]** the real eye-opener would come if he could get several bushels of the other kind. Nothing that has ever come to him could compare with what would come to him if he should publish even one of the facts he could discover by an independent investigation.

Having written about the Jews, Mr. Brisbane will probably have a readier eye henceforth for other men's pronouncements on the same subject. In his casual reading he will find more references to the Jew than he has ever noticed before. Some of them will probably appear in isolated sentences and paragraphs of his own papers. Sooner or later, every competent investigator and every honest writer strikes a trail that leads toward Jewish power in the world. The *Dearborn Independent* is only doing with system and detail what other publications have done or are doing piecemeal.

**[H: And so too can you describe CONTACT.]**

There is a real fear of the Jew upon the publicity sources of the United States--a fear which is felt and which ought to be analyzed. Unless it is a very great mistake, Mr. Brisbane himself has felt this fear, though it is quite possible he has not scrutinized it. It is not the fear of doing injustice to a race of people--all of us ought to have that honorable fear--it is the fear of doing anything at all with reference to them except unstintingly praising them. An independent investigation would convince Mr. Brisbane that a considerable modification of praise in favor of discriminate criticism is a course that is pressing upon American journalism.

*Issue of July 3, 1920*

[END OF QUOTING]

Take this off please so it can be sent to Dr. Young while we write the next segment.

I would not push for more today but the next segment is probably one of the more IMPORTANT topics of the entire series, and it should be offered immediately with this chapter. Thank you.

In the radiance of TRUTH shall all be known. Adonai.

## CHAPTER 6

REC #2 HATONN

SUN., FEB. 22, 1998 10:58 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 190

SUN., FEB. 22, 1998

[QUOTING:] PART 10, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

### DOES A DEFINITE JEWISH WORLD PROGRAM EXIST?

In all the explanations of anti-Jewish feeling which modern Jewish spokesmen make, these three alleged causes are commonly given--these three and no more: religious prejudice, economic jealousy, social antipathy. Whether the Jew knows it or not, every Gentile knows that on his side of the Jewish Question no religious prejudice exists. Economic jealousy may exist, at least to this extent, that his uniform success has exposed the Jew to much scrutiny. A few Jewish spokesmen seek to turn this scrutiny by denying that the Jew is preeminent in finance, but this is loyalty in extremity. The finances of the world are in control of Jews; their decisions and their devices are themselves our economic law. But because a people excels us in finance is no sufficient reason for calling them to the bar of public judgment. If they are more intellectually able, more persistently industrious than we are, if they are endowed with faculties which have been denied us as an inferior or slower race, that is no reason for our requiring them to give an account of themselves. Economic jealousy may explain some of the anti-Jewish feeling; it cannot account for the presence of the Jewish Question except as the hidden causes of Jewish financial success may become a minor element of the larger problem. And as for social antipathy--there are many more undesirable Gentiles in the world than there are undesirable Jews, for the simple reason that there are more Gentiles.

None of the Jewish spokesmen today mention the political cause, or if they come within suggestive distance of it, they limit and localize it. It is not a question of the patriotism of the Jew, though this too is very widely questioned in all the countries. You hear it in England, in France, in Germany, in Poland, in Russia, in Rumania--and, with a shock, you hear it in the United States. Books have been written, reports published and scattered abroad, statistics skillfully set forth for the purpose of showing that the Jew does his part for the country in which he resides; and yet the fact remains that in spite of these most zealous and highly sponsored campaigns, the opposite assertion is stronger and lives longer. The Jews who did their duty in the armies of Liberty, and did it doubtless from true-hearted love and allegiance, have not been able to overcome the impression made upon officers and men and civilians by those who did not.

But that is not what is here meant as the political element in the Jewish Question. To understand why the Jew should think less of the nationalities of the world than do those who comprise them is not difficult. The Jew's history is one of wandering among them all. Considering living individuals only, there is no race of people now upon the planet who have lived in so many places, among so many peoples as have the Jewish masses. They have a clearer world-sense than any other people, because the world has been their path. And they think in world terms more than any nationally cloistered people could. The Jew can be absolved if he does not enter into national loyalties and prejudices with the same intensity as the natives; the Jew has been for centuries a cosmopolitan. While under a flag he may be correct in the conduct required of him as a citizen or resident,

inevitably he has a view of flags which can hardly be shared by the man who has known but one flag.

The political element inheres in the fact that the Jews form a nation in the midst of the nations. Some of their spokesmen, particularly in America, deny that, but the genius of the Jew himself has always put these spokesmen's zeal to shame. And why this fact of nationhood should be so strenuously denied is not always clear. It may be that when Israel is brought to see that her mission in the world is not to be achieved by means of the Golden Calf, her very cosmopolitanism with regard to the world and her inescapable nationalistic integrity with regard to herself will together prove a great and serviceable factor in bringing about human unity, which the total Jewish tendency at the present time is doing much to prevent. It is not the fact that the Jews remain a nation in the midst of the nations; it is the use made of that inescapable status which the world has found reprehensible. The nations have tried to reduce the Jew to unity with themselves; attempts toward the same end have been made by the Jews themselves; but destiny seems to have marked them out to continuous nationhood. Both the Jews and the world will have to accept that fact, find the good prophecy in it, and seek the channels for its fulfillment.

Theodor Herzl, one of the greatest of the Jews, was perhaps the farthest-seeing public exponent of the philosophy of Jewish existence that modern generations have known. And he was never in doubt of the existence of the Jewish nation. Indeed, he proclaimed its existence on every occasion. He said, "*We are a people--One people.*"

He clearly saw that what he called the Jewish Question was political. In his introduction to *The Jewish State* he says, "I believe that I understand anti-Semitism, which is really a highly complex movement. I consider it from a Jewish standpoint, yet without fear or hatred. I believe that I can see what elements there are in it of vulgar sport, of common trade jealousy, of inherited prejudice, of religious intolerance and also of pretended self-defense. I think the Jewish Question is no more a social than a religious one, notwithstanding that it sometimes takes these and other forms. *It is a national question, which can only be solved by making it a political world-question* to be discussed and controlled by the civilized nations of the world in council."

Not only did Herzl declare that the Jews formed a nation, but when questioned by Major Evans Gordon before the British Royal Commission on Alien Immigration in August, 1902, Dr. Herzl said: "I will give you my definition of a nation, and you can add the adjective 'Jewish'. A nation is, in my mind, an historical group of men of a recognizable cohesion held together by a common enemy. That is in my view a nation. *Then if you add to that the word 'Jewish' you have what I understand to be the Jewish nation.*"

Also, in relating the action of this Jewish nation to the world, Dr. Herzl wrote--"When we sink, we become a revolutionary proletariat, the subordinate officers of the revolutionary party; when we rise, there rises also our terrible power of the purse." **[H: Anything look familiar here?]**

This view, which appears to be the true view in that it is the view which has been longest sustained in Jewish thought, is brought out also by Lord Eustace Percy, and re-published, apparently with approval, by the Canadian *Jewish Chronicle*. It will repay a careful reading:

"Liberalism and Nationalism, with a flourish of trumpets, threw open the doors of the ghetto and offered equal citizenship to the Jew. The Jew passed out into the Western World, saw the power and the glory of it, used it and enjoyed it, laid his hand indeed upon the nerve centers of its civilization, guided, directed and exploited it, and then--refused the offer... Moreover--and this is a remarkable

thing--the Europe of nationalism and liberalism, of scientific government and democratic equality, is more intolerable to him than the old oppressions and persecutions of despotism... In the increasing consolidation of the Western nations, it is no longer possible to reckon or complete toleration....

"In a world of completely organized territorial sovereignties he (the Jew) has only two possible cities of refuge: He must either pull down the pillars of the whole national state system or he must create a territorial sovereignty of his own. In this perhaps lies the explanation both of Jewish Bolshevism and of Zionism, for at this moment Eastern Jewry seems to hover uncertainly between the two.

"In Eastern Europe Bolshevism and Zionism often seem to grow side by side, just as Jewish influence molded Republican and Socialist thought throughout the nineteenth century, down to the Young Turk revolution in Constantinople hardly more than a decade ago--*not because the Jew cares for the positive side of radical philosophy, not because he desires to be a partaker in Gentile nationalism or Gentile democracy, but because no existing Gentile system of government is ever anything but distasteful to him.*"

**[H: As you read along here, do not be distracted from ONE MAIN POINT: "GENTILE" IS EVERYTHING AND EVERYONE ELSE, EXCEPT A JEW. THIS "GENTILE" DEFINITION FITS ALL OTHER THAN JEWS! THAT MEANS ALL MOSLEMS, ISLAMICS, ACTUALLY ATHEISTS AND AGNOSTICS AS THEY WOULD DESCRIBE THEMSELVES, CONFUCIANS, YOU NAME IT...! Example? Well, let's see: Mr. Farrakhan, Mr. Quadafi, Mubarek, the Sultan of Brunei, Hussein and Hussein (Iraq and Jordan), Little Crow and Sitting Bull. IN THE JEWISH RECOGNITION THERE ARE JEWS AND GENTILES--PERIOD! SO, THERE IS NO REASON HERE TO MISINTERPRET WHERE "YOU" FIT. In the new Judeo-Christian RAPTURE crew, only you sucker Christian Gentiles are going to get deaded--for the Judeo part of that equation is not planning to go anywhere. They simply PLAN TO GET RID OF YOU GENTILES. IS THAT SIMPLE ENOUGH TO UNDERSTAND? THE PLANS ARE TO DEPOPULATE THE EARTH--WITH GETTING RID OF YOU GENTILES--PERIOD. THIS DOES MEAN, HOWEVER, THAT THE HARDEST BIT WILL BE THE "POOR JEWISH PEOPLE" SO THAT THE ELITE WILL NOT BE "FOUND OUT". NOT PRETTY? NO, IT IS ABOUT AS UGLY AS YOU CAN GET.]**

All that is true, and Jewish thinkers of the more fearless type always recognize it as true. *The Jew is against the Gentile scheme of things.* He is, when he gives his tendencies full sway, a Republican as against the monarchy, a Socialist as against the republic, and a Bolshevik as against Socialism.

What are the causes of this disruptive activity? First, his essential lack of democracy. Jewish nature is autocratic. Democracy is all right for the rest of the world, but the Jew wherever he is found forms an aristocracy of one sort or another. Democracy is merely a tool of a word which Jewish agitators use to raise themselves to the ordinary level in places where they are oppressed below it; but having reached the common level they immediately make efforts for special privileges, as being entitled to them--a process of which the late Peace Conference will remain the most startling example. The Jews today are the only people whose special and extraordinary privileges are written into the world's Treaty of Peace. But more of that at another time.

No one now pretends to deny, except a few spokesmen who really do not rule the thought of the

Jews but are set forth for the sole benefit of influencing Gentile thought, that the socially and economically disruptive elements abroad in the world today are not only manned but also moneyed by Jewish interests. For a long time this fact was held in suspense owing to the vigorous denial of the Jews and the lack of information on the part of those agencies of publicity to which the public had looked for its information. But now the facts are coming forth. Herzl's words are being proved to be true--"when we sink, we become a revolutionary proletariat, *the subordinate officers of the revolutionary party*"--and these words were first published in English in 1896, or 24 years ago.

Just now these tendencies are working in two directions, one for the tearing down of the Gentile states all over the world, the other for the establishment of a Jewish state in Palestine. The latter project has the best wishes of the whole world, but it is far from having the best wishes of the whole, or even the larger part of Jewry. The Zionist party makes a great deal of noise, but it is really an unrepresentative minority. It can scarcely be designated as more than an unusually ambitious colonization scheme. (NOTE: The statements indicated are those of non-Zionist Jews. The real Jewish program is that program which is executed. It was the Zionist program that was followed by the Peace Conference. It must therefore be regarded as the official program.) It is doubtless serving, however, as a very useful public screen for the carrying on of secret activities. International Jews, the controllers of the world's governmental and financial power, may meet anywhere, at any time, in war time or peace time, and by giving out that they are only considering the ways and means of opening up Palestine to the Jews, they easily escape the suspicion of being together on any other business. The Allies and enemies of the Gentile nations at war thus met and were not molested. It was at a Zionist conference--the sixth, held in 1903--that the recent war was exactly predicted, its progress and outcome indicated, and the relation of the Jews to the Peace Treaty outlined.

That is to say, though Jewish nationalism exists, its enshrinement in a state to be set up in Palestine is NOT the project that is engaging the whole Jewish nation now. The Jews will not move to Palestine just yet; it may be said that they will not move at all merely because of the Zionist movement. Quite another motive will be the cause of the exodus out of the Gentile nations, when the time for that exodus fully comes.

As Donald A. Cameron, late British Consul-General at Alexandria, a man fully in sympathy with Zionism and much quoted in the Jewish press, says: "The Jewish immigrants (into Palestine) will tire of taking in one another's washing at three per cent, of winning one another's money in the family, and their sons will hasten by train and steamer to win 10 per cent in Egypt... The Jew by himself in Palestine will eat his head off; he will kick his stable to pieces." Undoubtedly the time for the exodus--at least the motive for the exodus--is not yet here.

The political aspect of the Jewish Question which is now engaging at least three of the great nations--France, Great Britain and the United States--has to do with matters of the present organization of the Jewish nation. Must it wait until it reaches Palestine to have a State, or is it an organized State now? Does Jewry know what it is doing? Has it a "foreign policy" with regard to the Gentiles? Has it a department which is executing that foreign policy? Has this Jewish State, visible or invisible, if it exists, a head? Has it a Council of State? And if any of these things is so, who is aware of it?

The first impulsive answer of the Gentile mind would be "No" to all these questions--it is a Gentile habit to answer impulsively. Never having been trained in secrets or invisible unity, the Gentile immediately concludes that such things cannot be, if for no other reason than that they have not crossed his path and advertised themselves.

The questions, however, answered thus, require some explanation of the circumstances which are visible to all men. If there is no deliberate combination of Jews in the world, then the control which they have achieved and the uniformity of the policies which they follow must be the simple result, not of deliberate decisions, but of a similar nature in all of them working out the same way. Thus, we might say that as a love for adventure on the water drove the Britisher forth, so it made him the world's great colonist. Not that he deliberately sat down with himself and in formal manner resolved that he would become a colonizer, but the natural outworking of his genius resulted that way. But would this be a sufficient account of the British Empire?

Doubtless the Jews have the genius to do, wherever they go, the things in which we see them excel. But does this account for the relations which exist between the Jews of every country, for their world councils, for their amazing foreknowledge of stupendous events which break with shattering surprise on the rest of the world, for the smoothness and preparedness with which they appear, at a given time in Paris, with a world program on which they all agree?

The world has long suspected--at first only a few, then the secret departments of the governments, next the intellectuals among the people, now more and more the common people themselves--that not only are the Jews a nation distinct from all the other nations and mysteriously unable to sink their nationality by any means they or the world may adopt to this end, but that they also constitute a state; that they are nationally conscious, not only that, but consciously united for a common defense and for a common purpose. Revert to Theodor Herzl's definition of the Jewish nation, as held together by a common enemy, and then reflect that this common enemy **is the Gentile world**. Does this people which knows itself to be a nation remain loosely unorganized in the face of that fact? It would hardly be like Jewish astuteness in other fields. When you see how closely the Jews are united by various organizations in the United States, and when you see how with practiced hand they bring those organizations to bear as if with tried confidence in their pressure, it is at least not inconceivable that what can be done within a country can be done, or has been done, between all the countries where the Jews live.

**At any rate, in the *American Hebrew* of June 25, 1920, Herman Bernstein writes thus: "About a year ago a representative of the Department of Justice submitted to me a copy of the manuscript of *The Jewish Peril* by Professor Nilus, and asked for my opinion of the work. He said that the manuscript was a translation of a Russian book published in 1905 which was later suppressed. The manuscript was supposed to contain 'protocols' of the Wise Men of Zion and was supposed to have been read by Dr. Herzl at a secret conference of the Zionist Congress at Basel. He expressed the opinion that the work was probably that of Dr. Theodor Herzl... He said that some American Senators who had seen the manuscript were amazed to find that so many years ago a scheme had been elaborated by the Jews which is now being carried out, and that Bolshevism had been planned years ago by Jews who sought to destroy the world."**

This quotation is made merely to put on record the fact that it was a representative of the Department of Justice of the United States Government, who introduced this document to Mr. Bernstein, and expressed a certain opinion upon it, namely, "that the work was probably that of Dr. Theodor Herzl". Also that "some American Senators" were amazed to note the comparison between what a publication of the year 1905 proposed and what the year 1920 **revealed**.

The incident is all the more preoccupying because it occurred by action of the representative of a government who today is very largely in the hands of, or under the influence of, Jewish interests. It is more than probable that as soon as the activity became known, the investigator was stopped. But



it is equally probable that whatever orders may have been given and apparently obeyed, the investigation may not have stopped.

The United States Government was a little late in the matter, however. At least four other world powers had preceded it, some by many years. A copy of the *Protocols* was deposited in the British Museum and bears on it the stamp of that institution, "August 10, 1906". The notes themselves probably date from 1896, or the year of the utterances previously quoted from Dr. Herzl. The first Zionist Congress convened in 1897.

The document was published in England recently under auspices that challenged attention for it, in spite of the unfortunate title under which it appeared. Eyre and Spittswoode are the appointed printers to the British Government, and it was they who brought out the pamphlet. It was as if the Government Printing Office at Washington should issue them in this country. While there was the usual outcry by the Jewish press, the London *Times* in a review pronounced all the Jewish counter-attacks as "unsatisfactory".

The *Times* noticed what will probably be the case in this country also, that the Jewish defenders leave the text of the *Protocols* alone, while they lay heavy emphasis on the fact of their anonymity. When they refer to the substance of the document at all there is one form of words which recurs very often--"It is the work of a criminal or a madman."

The *Protocols*, without name attached, appearing for the most part in manuscripts here and there, laboriously copied out from hand to hand, being sponsored by no authority that was willing to stand behind it, assiduously studied in the secret departments of the governments and passed from one to another among higher officials, have lived on and on, increasing in power and prestige by the sheer force of their contents. A marvelous achievement for either a criminal or a madman! The only evidence it has is that which it carries within it, and that internal evidence is, as the London *Times* points out, the point on which attention is to be focused, and the very point from which Jewish effort has been expended to draw us away.

The interest of the *Protocols* at this time is their bearing on the questions: Have the Jews an organized world system? What is its policy? How is it being worked?

These questions all receive full attention in the *Protocols*. Whosoever was the mind that conceived them possessed a knowledge of human nature, of history and of statecraft which is dazzling in its brilliant completeness, and terrible in the objects to which it turns its powers. Neither a madman nor an intentional criminal, but more likely a super-mind mastered by devotion to a people and a faith could be the author, if indeed one mind alone conceived them. It is too terribly real for fiction, too well-sustained for speculation, too deep in its knowledge of the secret springs of life for forgery.

Jewish attacks upon it thus far make much of the fact that it came out of Russia. That is hardly true. It came *by way of Russia*. It was incorporated in a Russian book published about 1905 by a Professor Nilus, who attempted to interpret the protocols by events then going forward in Russia. This publication and interpretation gave it a Russian tinge which has been useful to Jewish propagandists in this country and England, because these same propagandists have been very successful in establishing in Anglo-Saxon mentalities a certain atmosphere of thought surrounding the idea of Russia and Russians. One of the biggest humbugs ever foisted on the world has been that foisted by Jewish propagandists, principally on the American public, with regard to the temper and genius of the truly Russian people. So, to intimate that the *Protocols* are Russian, is partially to

discredit them.

The internal evidence makes it clear that the *Protocols* were not written by a Russian, nor originally in the Russian language, nor under the influence of Russian conditions. But they found their way to Russia and were first published there. They have been found by diplomatic officers in manuscript in all parts of the world. Wherever Jewish power is able to do so, it has suppressed them, sometimes under the supreme penalty.

Their persistence is a fact which challenges the mind. Jewish apologists may explain that persistence on the ground that the *Protocols* feed the anti-Semitic temper, and therefore are preserved for that service. Certainly there was no wide nor deep anti-Semitic temper in the United States to be fed or that felt the greed for agreeable lies to keep itself alive. The progress of the *Protocols* in the United States can only be explained on the ground that they supply light and give meaning to certain previously observed facts, and that this light and meaning is so startling as to give a certain standing and importance to these otherwise unaccredited documents. Sheer lies do not live long, their power soon dies. These *Protocols* are more alive than ever. They have penetrated higher places than ever before. They have compelled a more serious attitude to them than ever before.

The *Protocols* would not be more worthy of study if they bore, say, the name of Theodor Herzl. Their anonymity does not decrease their power any more than the omission of a painter's signature detracts from the art value of a painting. Indeed, the *Protocols* are better without a known source. For if it were definitely known that in France or Switzerland in the year 1896, or thereabouts, a group of International Jews, assembled in conference, drew up a program of world conquest it would still have to be shown that such a program was more than a mere vagary, that it was confirmed at large by efforts to fulfill it. The *Protocols* are a World Program--there is no doubt anywhere of that. Whose program, is stated within the articles themselves. But as for outer confirmation, which would be the more valuable--a signature, or six signatures, or twenty signatures, or a 25-year unbroken line of effort fulfilling that program? **[H: Try now, 78 years later.]**

The point of interest for this and other countries is not that a "criminal or a madman" conceived such a program, but that, when conceived, this program found means of getting itself fulfilled in its most important particulars. The document is comparatively unimportant; the conditions to which it calls attention are of a very high degree of importance.

*Issue of July 10, 1920.*

[END OF QUOTING]

Are our people crazy or insane to present this material? No, neither. It is information from very substantial resources and sources, and in knowing TRUTH, freedom from the fear and terror comes. Would the "Jews" come against us? They already have done so in every criminal and madman type of assault, so what more can they do without making total fools of themselves? If the Jewish attitude be correct in that there are only Jews **and Gentiles**, then I would suggest that Gentiles outnumber the Jews of this definition--more than some thousand to one. What is to fear in that ratio? So what is the difference? The Jews never lost sight of their Satanic-oriented worldly goals and it has not yet occurred to all those *Gentiles* to unite or get goals worthy of the GOD THEY REPRESENT--you know, THAT CREATOR OF BALANCE, HONOR, INTEGRITY, PEACE AND PROSPERITY.

With ONE tool you can totally collapse the house of cards built on the blood and sweat of all

Gentiles everywhere. You have to understand that the Arab world people--ARE SEMITES--GENTILES. With this realization there is only a tiny, TINY handful of super-controllers who will literally collapse in the face of "right-ness". This can come in unity from Pakistan to Wisconsin or Tejasstan, Istanbul (Constantinople) to Sri Lanka (Ceylon), Stalingrad or St. Petersburg. You see changing names does not change the FACTS. Is Greece Elias or Athena? We move on now to being, as well, UNABLE TO EVEN WRITE IN THE ENGLISH ALPHABET THAT WHICH IS DEPICTED IN THE ARABIC OR GREEK OR CHINESE OR, OR, OR. Is Iran Iran or Persia? Is it Hatonn or Aton? You can paint out a leopard's spots or change them to painted stripes, but you do not change the leopard, do you?

There IS, HOWEVER, one thing you of God are going to learn and that is that all humans are not HUMans. Looking "like" something else does not make you something else, it simply makes you a bad imposter.

God Creator created greater HUman species. Satan DEVELOPED lower human species. There is a BIG AND MONSTROUSLY LARGE DIFFERENCE. You, as an individual, have an opportunity and a choice TO BE EITHER ONE. One is infinite and the other destined for downfall. One is eternal and the other very, very "mortal" indeed.

I am a bit like [*radio personality*] Imus (*in the morning*) where he makes some interesting thoughts for you to hear; i.e., "If adultery matters in your house, why doesn't it matter in the White House?" And this great observation: "With Clinton we elected a philanderer and he is just keeping up his end of the bargain." Well, readers, you have elected your puppet-masters and they are just keeping up their end of the bargain. It's a rotten job but "*somebody has to do it*".

You have to understand the mindset of these driven people. No matter how much they GET it is never enough for they cannot ever achieve or attain that which they really desire and that is Godship and inclusion within the blessed family of HUman; YOU ALREADY HAVE IT.

Now, may we please move on to create that which we need to bring change into this weary and worn old world. Pain and agony was not her intended lot and neither is it yours. God's people are destined for JOY and abundance. This old suffering garbage is a lot of hooey and now you know where the idea originates. Suffering and whining can be of Satan's crew; it is not acceptable for Creator's children.

Love multiplies and adds as you divide it with others. You never have to subtract Joy wherein you find Love. It is pretty straightforward and simple arithmetic.

Now am I to go back to being sorry and apologetic somehow over flooded plains and washouts or houses off cliffsides? No thank you--you SHOULD KNOW BETTER THAN TO HAVE A HOUSE IN A FLOODPLAIN. WHO DO YOU SUPPOSE SOLD YOU THAT BILL OF GOODS TO GET YOUR MONEY FROM YOU? And, wait and see what these miserly old greed-mongers get from you AFTER the rains. They will pick up zillions of acres of "*wetlands*" and make more rules and regulations for your placements and you will slip further down the shackle stakes. You never seem to learn and THEY NEVER SEEM TO GET ENOUGH. So be it. Adonai.

## CHAPTER 7

### SANANDA: MAY THE LIGHT YOU SAVE BE YOUR OWN!

2/22/98 ESU "JESUS" SANANDA

Esu present in Radiance, as near as your very breath.

YOUR MIDDLE EAST REGION IS LIKE A BOILING CAULDRON ABOUT TO EXPLODE. THE POSSIBILITY OF WAR AND THE PROBABLE USE OF ATOMIC WEAPONS IS SO GREAT, THUS POTENTIALLY IGNITING YOUR VERY ATMOSPHERE, THAT I FEEL IT IS TIMELY TO PRESENT FOR YOU SOME MATERIAL WHICH WE HAVE DISCUSSED BEFORE. WE HAVE WRITTEN ON THIS SUBJECT IN MANY DIFFERENT WAYS, BUT LET US EXAMINE THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT WHICH COMES FROM THE "CATHOLIC" PERSPECTIVE.

The book is titled, *The Three Days' Darkness--Prophecies Of Saints And Seers*, by Albert J. Herbert, S.M.

[QUOTING:]

#### A LOOK AT DARKNESS AND AT LIGHT

In order to appreciate more fully what God is teaching us through warnings of darkness to come, it might be helpful for us to reflect, in this chapter, on the reality of physical and intellectual darkness and its effects on our lives.

The material darkness forecast to come, that of the Three Days' Darkness, is inseparable from intellectual and spiritual darkness, the darkening of the mind and will. If we reverse things a bit, in the beginning darkness covered the Earth, before the Spirit moved over it, and before the creation of man, who was to come and who was to be gifted with the light of reason and the flaming love of good.

"...and darkness was upon the face of the deep. And the spirit of God moved over the waters. And God said: Be light made. And light was made. And God saw the light that it was good; and He divided the light from the darkness. And He called the light Day, and the darkness Night." (*Genesis 1:2-5*)

So, in our day, darkness spreads over the Earth because the Spirit of Truth and Love is resisted by many persons. The Spirit moves and struggles, as it were, to bring Light to the darkness. But, as when Light Itself came, we can still hear now: "In Him was life: and the life was the light of men. And the light shineth in darkness; and the darkness did not comprehend it." (*Jn 1:4S*) "That was the true light, which enlighteneth every man that cometh into this world." (*Jn 1:9*)

Ye, many of His own still, along with the world, receive Him not, which is the rejection of the Light of Truth and the Flame of Love. And so the darkness remains and the darkness increases. It spreads and mushrooms and will soon be made materially manifest in an immense physical darkness as well, when day itself will be like night.

[Moving several chapters forward in the book:]

Palma Maria d'Oria (d. 1863), as do other seers, tell of the activities of demons:

"There shall be three days of darkness, during which the atmosphere will be infected by innumerable devils, who will cause the death of large multitudes of unbelievers and wicked men. Blessed candles alone shall be able to give light and preserve the faithful Catholics from this impending dreadful scourge. Supernatural prodigies will appear in the heavens...."

Later we shall see more details about the mad activities of desperate demons who know their time is limited. They will be allowed to harvest their prey, the unrepentant wicked who want to be with the devils anyway. We also hear terms like "infected" and "pestilential air" in prophecies about the Darkness. Other passages speak of the "stink of hell" or the "plague". Perhaps if these people had modern vocabulary at their disposal they would have spoken of "pollution", "radiation" or "chemical spill" which denote dreadful realities of our own day.

As we have indicated earlier, the spiritual war that has increased in intensity for some time now is a plain fact of life. And it continues to heat up, expanding daily in breadth, depth and intensity. It is the war between good and evil, light and darkness, between demons and wicked men on the one side, and angels and saints on the other. All material evils, disasters, warfare--both "Conventional" (deceptive word!) and nuclear--are but outward manifestations of that spiritual war which broke out in Eden and will continue until the "mopping up" process, following on Christ's victory on the Cross, is complete.

And that will only come when all mankind accepts Christ's call to get to the root of the trouble, the evil of sin, and accept the remedy of repentance, reform and perseverance in good. Meanwhile, as one Catholic paper recently observed: "A terrible darkness is descending upon the leaders of the Western world." (One might as well read: "the entire world"! None are so blind as those who will not see!

The holy nun, Sister Jeanne le Royer of the Nativity, of the Poor Clares of Fougères, Brittany, France (d. 1789), speaks of the spiritual darkness which eventually leads us to the Three Days' Darkness:

"The reign of Antichrist is approaching. The thick vapors which I have seen rising from the Earth and obscuring the light of the Sun are the false maxims of irreligion and license which are confounding all sound principles and spreading everywhere such darkness as to obscure both faith and reason."

Padre Pio, the Capuchin priest stigmatist (1887-1968), was gifted with every important charismatic gift besides having great holiness. Books about him continue to pile up and they never seem to exhaust the wondrous stories told about him and the many supernatural happenings in his life. In a widely circulated letter attributed to him--whose authenticity we confess that we have not been able to establish as well as we would like--we find certain revelations similar to those of many other special souls:

"This catastrophe shall come upon the Earth like a flash of lightning at which moment the light of the morning Sun shall be replaced by black darkness! No one shall leave the house or look out of a window from that moment on. I Myself shall come amidst thunder and lightning. The wicked shall behold My Divine Heart. There shall be great confusion because of this utter darkness in which the

entire Earth shall be enveloped and many, many shall die from fear and despair.

"Those who shall fight for My cause shall receive grace from My Divine Heart; and the cry: 'WHO IS LIKE UNTO GOD!' shall serve as a means of protection to many. However, many shall burn in the open fields like withered grass! The godless shall be annihilated, so that afterwards the just shall be able to start afresh.

"On the day, as soon as complete darkness has set in, no one shall leave the house or look from out of the window. The darkness shall last a day and a night, followed by another day and a night, and another day--but on the night following, the stars will shine again, and on the morning the Sun will rise again, and it will be SPRINGTIME!

"In the days of darkness, My elect shall not sleep, as did the disciples in the garden of olives. They shall pray incessantly, and they shall not be disappointed in Me. I shall gather My elect. Hell will believe itself to be in possession of the entire Earth, but I shall reclaim it." (Feb. 7, 1950)

[Again, further into the book:]

In 1891, we have the detailed prophecy given by the "Stigmatist of Blain", Marie Julie Jahenny of La Fraudais, France:

"There will come three days of continued darkness. The blessed candles of wax alone will give light during the horrid darkness. One candle will last for three days, but in the houses of the godless they will not give light. During those three days the demons will appear in abominable and horrible forms; they will make the air resound with shocking blasphemies.

"The lightning will penetrate the homes, but will not extinguish the light of the blessed candles; neither wind nor storm nor earthquake will extinguish it. Red clouds like blood will pass in the sky, the crash of thunder will make the Earth tremble; lightning will flash through the streets at an unusual time of the year; the Earth will tremble to its foundations; the ocean will cast its foaming waves over the land; the Earth will be changed into an immense cemetery; the corpses of the wicked and the just will cover the face of the Earth.

"The famine that will follow will be great. All vegetation will be destroyed as well as three fourths of the human race. The crisis will come all of a sudden and the chastisement will be world-wide.

[Moving ahead to the chapter titled, *Material Considerations And Provisions*, we read:]

The next thing to remember is that the Three Days' Darkness will be an apparently continuous night, one long night, and has been announced simply as a "night" or the "darkness". There will be no sunrise or sunset to mark the day and night, no Moon, no stars, a continuous blackness, a 72-hour night. Hence, one should have a wind-up clock or watch, kept wound, and a "log" to mark off when "day" and "night" have passed during the 72 hours.

There will be no utilities to count on: no electricity and all those "necessities" and conveniences which depend on electricity, no lights, no heating or cooling systems, no air-conditioning, no ceiling or other fans, no TV or radio, no electrical clocks, no water pumps, etc. It may be that even batteries will not function although they are advisable for flashlights and radios in case some stations are still operating.

There will be no gas and probably no water utilities, no refrigeration to keep foods unspoiled (after hurricanes people have had to give refrigerated food away at once), no cooking or baking, no microwave ovens, no toasters, mixers, and all the rest, no hot tea or coffee, no iced tea or cold drinks. There will be no phones, no showers or bathing, no clothes, washing machines or driers, no dishwashers, no regular flushing of toilets. Mankind will really be stripped down to basics and will know how much he depends upon God, something many have forgotten.

Many prophecies say no artificial light will avail, so having blessed candles on hand is vital both for illumination and for protection. And don't forget some matches!

[Let us close this quoted material with the following:]

The Julka tells more about the disasters which will be preliminary to the Darkness:

"A little more about the great distress. To begin with, a strong warm wind will come from the south. It will seize upon the whole globe and cause dreadful storms. After this, about ten claps of thunder at once will strike the Earth with such force that it will shudder throughout. This is a sign that the great tribulation and the black darkness are beginning. These will last three days and three nights.

"On this account people should go into their houses, close them up well, darken the windows, bless themselves and the house with holy water, and light blessed candles. Outside such dreadful things will be happening, that those who ventured to look will die. All the devils will be let loose on Earth, so that they can destroy their prey themselves.

"Then, in the words of Christ, we hear:

"The demons will howl upon the Earth and call many, in order to destroy them. They will imitate the voices of relations and acquaintances, who have not reached a safer place. Once the horror commences, do not open your door to anyone at all!

"In many places several people will gather together for fear. From the same group, some will perish, others remain alive. For this day and moment, and for that darkness, many will have prepared the blessed candles, but they will not burn, if the people have not lived in accordance with My Commandments; others will even be unable to light them for fear. But for those who believe, although they have but a stub of the blessed candle, it will burn for these three days and nights without going out. Some people will fall into a deep sleep granted by Me, so as not to see what is happening on the Earth. All the buildings on Earth will collapse, and only here and there will a simple, modest little house remain, in which the light of the candle will glimmer. In many places, the heaps of corpses will be so great, that no one will be able to make a passage through, on account of these bodies; there will not be anyone to bury them."

Julka then tells us:

"The Guardian Angels will watch over the people who are spared. The buildings which ought to be preserved will be raised and protected by God's power in the moment of the most dreadful horror.

"Our Lord told Julka, "There will not be many priests left."

[END QUOTING]

Sananda returned for comment.

GOD IS LIGHT AND LIFE! GOD IS NOT A DESTROYER--MAN IS A DESTROYER OF LIFE. GOD CREATES AND GOD CAN UNCREATE.

MUCH OF WHAT YOU HAVE JUST READ HAS COME FROM THE "CATHOLIC" PERSPECTIVE. DO NOT BE PUT OFF BY THIS, BUT RATHER READ BETWEEN THE LINES. THESE ARE PROPHECIES AS PUT FORTH BY MAN, AND WHETHER MAN EXPERIENCES THESE THINGS REMAINS IN MAN'S HANDS, BUT I MAY TELL YOU WITH SURETY THAT THE WAY THINGS ARE CURRENTLY GOING ON A GLOBAL SCALE, THE DAYS OF DARKNESS WILL BE EXPERIENCED.

**THIS DARKNESS WILL HAVE BEEN CAUSED BY THE CHOICES OF A SELECT FEW. MAN WILL REAP WHAT HE HAS SOWN--BE IT LIGHT OR BE IT DARKNESS.**

I HAVE SAID IT BEFORE AND IT BEARS REPEATING: THE LAWS OF GOD HAVE BEEN PUT FORTH FOR YOUR USE. THEY PROVIDE FOR BALANCED LIVING WITHIN THE CREATION.

**NOW IS THE TIME TO LIVE ACCORDING TO THOSE RULES OF BEHAVIOR, FOR THEREIN IS YOUR SHELTER AND SAFETY.**

LET THOSE AMONG YOU WHO WISH TO REBEL AND HEAR NOT, TURN AWAY IF YOU CHOOSE, BUT BE PREPARED TO REAP THE WHIRLWIND. YOU HAVE BEEN COUNSELED; YOU HAVE BEEN TAUGHT; YOU HAVE BEEN PAMPERED; AND NOW YOU SHALL DECIDE FOR SELVES THAT WHICH WILL BE YOUR ULTIMATE DESTINATION IN THIS JOURNEY OF SOULS.

MAY YOU FIND SHELTER WITHIN THE WINGS OF THE FATHER.

I AM SANANDA, IN SERVICE TO ATON AND THE CREATION.

MAY THE LIGHT YOU SAVE BE YOUR OWN!



## CHAPTER 8

REC #1 HATONN

MON., FEB. 23, 1998 7:44 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 191

MON., FEB. 23, 1998

BANKS AND POWER!

The "How can this happen?" and "What in the world is going on here...?" are fine, but very silly, things to even vocalize. You DO know what is taking place and when you spend your time "I just don't see how...!" you are making the level of non-understanding like the lid of your coffin.

The "enemy" of freedom knows you people have the attention span of two year olds. I plaster Dharma to that vidiot box, ON THE NEWS NETWORKS, every minute she is not at the computer. Little things SLIP through and you can learn more in one day than I can cause you to learn in a month. "But it upsets me, Sir." So be it, and how is anthrax in your dooryard going to help that upset? Roloids won't cut it.

You can now look globally at things and SEE and KNOW what is coming down, from your flooded fields to every happening in the world.

The government comes along and says "Oh, sorrow, Mr. Farmer, this is terrible but we will save you. We will give you low-cost loans and we will all live happily ever after." So you sink selves into debt even further. You fudge on the damages to "get a few dollars more"--but wham, bam, thank you ma'am, there is no way to earn enough to meet the replanting, repair the equipment, redo the dwellings and barns AND PAY THE DAMNED NOTES WHICH WILL IMMEDIATELY BE COMING DUE. This is the plight of YOUR WORLD.

"But we pay the government to take care of us," you cry. You what? YOU PAY THE BANKS, THE FED, SO THAT THEY CAN LEND MORE AND MORE AND MORE DEBT WITH NO BACKING. AND THAT IS NOT "YOUR LITTLE GOVERNMENT", SILLIES, THAT IS THE International Monetary Fund/WORLD BANK WHO WILL DECIDE THROUGH THE "FIXED" UNITED NATIONS WHO WILL LIVE AND WHO WILL DIE. IN ADDITION, THE U.S. AND ISRAEL DON'T EVEN HAVE TO REMOTELY CONSIDER WHAT THE UNITED NATIONS WANTS TO DO--YOU HAVE SET YOURSELVES ABOVE THE LAW.

### DO YOU KNOW?

Do any of you realize that, for instance, the "no-fly zones" in Iraq HAVE NOTHING AT ALL TO DO WITH THE UNITED NATIONS? THOSE ARE SET UNILATERALLY BY THE UNITED STATES WITH YOUR JEWISH CONGRESS AND ADMINISTRATION.

DO ANY OF YOU REALIZE THAT YOUR ALLY GASSED THE KURDS IN NORTHERN IRAQ--NOT SADDAM HUSSEIN?

Do any of you remember the road back from Kuwait to Iraq when YOUR MILITARY killed hundreds of thousands of Iraqis because you chose to give a walking army 48 hours to make a five-day (minimum) trip? Go read your military master's latest revelations--a new book, you know. You

know, by that British Knighted leader, Sir Swartzcough. This was decided by Sir Bush, Sir Powell and Satan. And what are THEY doing, being SUBJECTS OF THE BRITISH CROWN? And TRUTH? Well, they are all unified in presenting strategy--"one truth MUST be surrounded by a full body-guard squad of lies." Funny? You be the judge. The media connections with the military and government liars, cheats and thieves, even SHOWED parts of their film to get the "leads" straight of what they intended to start showing you the idiot public--TODAY.

What will you do about the Gulf armies, ships, and other show-off things in that area--now that Kofi has negotiated "peace"? It is only costing you BILLIONS A DAY just to have them there--that, I am telling you, because Cohen won't answer your expense questions.

A good question was asked last evening about that "standing army and armada": "What will they do? Will they spend the next years away from home buried in the Kuwait sands and doing figure eights in the Gulf?" What else--EITHER WAY?

What will the Kings of war decide? Who can go to war without killing somebody somewhere?

Well, there may be some distant hope in them-thar hills and sand dunes. The Moslems are restless and MAD AS HELL AND THEY AREN'T GOING TO TAKE IT ANY LONGER.

HOW MANY OF YOU KNOW THAT THE U.S. CAN'T CONTROL (EXCEPT THROUGH THREATS AND MONEY GIVE-AWAYS) CHINA AND RUSSIA? Wow, and BOTH are talking about letting the U.S. have its stupid sanctions on Iraq while they plan to start doing business with them AGAIN. You see, children of the lie, OIL is NOT the bread of life; IT HAS BECOME THE COMMERCE OF FOOLS.

No, when resolutions are made and there are built-in agreements that a sanction or things of that sort will be set forth--until..., then each party must decide when the debt has been met.

Ah, one DIRTY LITTLE GAME OF THE U.S. JEWISH GOVERNMENT AND ISRAEL IS: They announced that Saddam had to pay off all costs and damages out of THAT war. That is billions assessed just to Kuwait--but there is not commerce allowed and, "Until the nasty Saddam pays his debts, we won't allow trade." And, we won't give him any loans until he kills himself--literally. ("As long as Saddam is there," is the wording.)

Now, people of the U.S., YOU TOUT YOURSELVES AS BEING HUMANITARIAN AND LEADERS OF THE WORLD IN KINDER AND GENTLER NATION-STATUS (remember Bush?). Well, spare ME from your kind of *kind and gentle* interchange.

At some point, however, sleepy babes in the woods, you are going to look around you and gasp at what you have allowed to happen.

Do any of you remember Grannie? How about Russell Herman and the nutty professor? Do you remember Bush, Bentsen, Baker III, North and dozens more involved hyper- or super-gods? Remember that certificate from Peru that seems to have bottomless energy and value--all nicely calculated to never run out of fund-value? Well, how many of you realize that value will be into the octodecillions by 2001? There isn't enough money in the world to cover it and you can't run your printing presses enough to print enough!

"Oh well, they will negate it..." you say? They CAN'T--they have used it and set its value and they

use it unlawfully and without honor of any kind. I WOULD SUGGEST IT WILL BE THE ANTICHRIST'S DOWNFALL IN A VERY IMPORTANT WAY THAT EVEN ANTICHRIST CAN UNDERSTAND. Mr. Bush thought a "super-fund" for his NEW WORLD ORDER (he said it to you all, not me) would be nice for his own godship and, thus, created it from a nice little Peruvian Bond bearer certificate--held by Russell Herman.

At this moment the value of that "super-fund" sloshes around the 4-sextillion range--give or take a few trillion. Can your Treasury pay it? It is a LEGAL DEBT OF THE U.S. That also makes it, since it came before the Federal Reserve takeover, a debt of the Fed. THAT in turn makes it a debt of the IMF and WORLD BANK. Golly gee whiz. Does Antichrist win so easily? Perhaps.

Remember that myth where Jesus said, "Anything I can do--you can do--and more!?" Well, George Bush believed him--and did--lots more! And, brer inquisitors, do you REALLY THINK the Treasury (of the U.S. yet) is going to verify such a thing? Do you REALLY think the Fed is going to validate such a thing? Why do you move from fantasy land into la-la land without blinking an eye? If a thing is good enough for Satan to hold--I wonder since when is it NOT ok for GOD to have? GOD CREATES--Satan USES. And mostly the ones he uses "all the time" are you, you, and you. YOU pray and pray and pray, and then God answers you and sends a messenger with the pearls. You don't stop until you tramp the pearls AND THE MESSENGER into the polluted mud swamp.

God gave that fund TO YOU. TO YOU! And, you killed the messenger and tore up the paper. Well, not exactly; nobody BOTHERED TO EVEN RESPOND EXCEPT TO SOME CRIMINALS AND CROOKS WHO THOUGHT THEY COULD BEAT OUT SATAN FOR A BIT OF PLUNDER. I HOPE YOU THINK ABOUT THIS AS YOU SIT ON YOUR CLOUD ON RAPTURE DAY!

## CHAPTER 9

REC #1 HATONN

TUE., FEB. 24, 1998 7:48 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 192

TUE., FEB. 24, 1998

### LIFE AND DEATH AND DYING

We all hope and pray that in all things our prayers can be answered, and if we are "safe" we have to understand that we always pray "thy will be done" and be ready to accept the outcome.

YOU can pray for another--but that other controls that which is dependent upon itself.

Often, when self liquidation is at point, the energy will "change its mind" and when allowed a second chance, will study the circumstances and may or may NOT choose to return to expression as status quo. Further, in an instance of coma--the person HEARS but does not want, for whatever reason, to return, or will change his/her mind if damage is insufficient to cause ongoing permanent damage to brain function.

So, we who pray can't do very much except search selves and others around the person to see if circumstances can be changed and desire for further coalition, etc., are present so that changes can be met to cause the "other" to WANT TO RETURN.

REMEMBER SOMETHING VERY, VERY IMPORTANT: NO ONE KNOWS THE REASONS NOR THE CONTRACT OF ANOTHER! AND REMEMBER SOMETHING ELSE THAT IS EVEN MORE IMPORTANT: MOST OF THE TIME THERE IS DISTRESS, DARK DEPRESSION, AND A TRIP DOWN THE HOPELESSNESS TRAIL IF A DEATH BE PERPETRATED EITHER BY SELF OR BY ANOTHER. THERE ARE MANY WAYS TO MURDER SELF AND EVEN MORE WAYS FOR ANOTHER TO MURDER. HOWEVER, "DEATH" ITSELF IS A PERSONAL TRANSLATION. AND I MUST REMIND YOU THAT ONCE DEPARTED FROM THE PAIN AND CONSCIOUSNESS OF FACING WHATEVER MIGHT BE ISOLATED AS "THE PROBLEM", THE SOFT LOVE AND APPROVAL IN ABSOLUTE ACCEPTANCE WITHOUT JUDGMENT, EITHER OF SELF OR FROM ANOTHER, IS A JOY BEYOND ALL THINGS HUMAN IN EXPERIENCE. SO, THERE HAS TO BE A SUBSTANTIAL REASON FOR MAKING A RETURN TO CONSCIOUSNESS FROM THAT BEAUTIFUL STATE OF "BEING" TO CAUSE A MIND TO WANT TO RETURN ABOVE THE DESIRE TO STAY WHERE EVERYTHING IS WONDROUSLY "LIGHT" AND ACCEPTANCE.

Coma, by whatever cause, is a tremendous gift to a human in transition, for the experiences, even if forgotten in the conscious moment--are **KNOWN** and the decisions can be balanced carefully and without promises or conditions--but rather, exactly as are.

Never is a decision to leave the world of physical expression an easy choice for the circumstances are never "good" when and if a person, especially of young age and experience, chooses to leave. Coma comes as a between-existence opportunity to study both sides of the drama.

Any time a person is unhappy or does not find joy at all in an expression of physical "living", they

leave the opportunity, not necessarily of evil, but not of LIFE energies taking the larger control over the personality (as in individual, sovereign, unique) of the experiencing entity.

In the state of "coma" the individual KNOWS what is there on the "other side" and it is not too great if choices were wrong in the perception of living and learning. However, most who come back, come back to the SAME or worse conditions than when the person left.

There are thousands of people who came back only to find their plight worse for the next thing that happened is that those who promised change DO NOT PRESENT CHANGE. The body, brain and mind have to live, usually, with more compromise than prior to the incident producing the coma.

These are the most difficult confrontations of those "left behind" during or after the choice is made by the individual experiencing. It is not a clone circumstance for even clones do not wish to make transition in a negative state of being.

We want to always believe, in a choice circumstance, that there are terrible things at play to cause a person to wish to be somewhere else. We want them, especially our own loves, to want to be with "us" rather than leave us. But what do you do to feed the emptiness within that being to allow for desire to be in the company of humans rather than spirits, even of the confused level of the astral realms?

So, as I am asked to comment on "death" and especially of death wherein coma is a transition phase, I can only report that the choices are of the individual. BUT, THE INDIVIDUAL WILL BASE A CHOICE ON WHAT IS BEING "SOLD" TO THE TRANSITIONING PARTY. Therefore, be careful what you promise, what you do, what you SAY, and what happens. In a hospital setting the next thing that always comes to mind is, sue the doctor, the hospital, or the causing party. And remember something very important: a mind will use any opportunity to "cut out" of a trying situation--be it a toenail ingrown presenting anesthesia needs to intentional suicide. Suicide, however, can be evaluated by WHAT METHOD of doing the deed is employed. ESCAPE IS ALWAYS THE PRESENT BASIS FOR THE WISH.

However, to be called back to live as a turnip with all the terrors of physical incapacity is NOT a good thing, and when this happens, you must consider the lessons intended for "others".

The only thing others can do under any circumstances is ACCEPT, find PEACE WITHIN SELF, and ALLOW--allow that one to work out his/her circumstances WITH GOD and RELEASE the shackles.

You who ask my input and then refuse to do what I tell you must be done, timely, err only in that you continue your feelings of helplessness and hopelessness and will usually go downhill in your own experience. Therefore, I don't consider that you really wanted to know other than that which you WANT TO HEAR. You WANT to hear, as individuals, that which makes you feel fine about self and "look how hard I tried". NO, you didn't do anything other than "try" and that indicates intended failure. YOU cannot determine the choices of ANOTHER and you cannot LIVE **FOR** ANOTHER.

So, be the person sick from any disease process or any violence upon the body, YOU CANNOT MAKE THE DECISIONS FOR THAT OTHER. If the troubled party weighs in the balance, a return or a "remain over there" and decides to stay away, then the growth level of the being is not sufficient to confront the possibilities of that which is human experience.

EITHER WAY, CAN YOU SEE, IT IS FINE? THE GREATEST GIFT YOU CAN GIVE THAT "LIMBO" BEING IS TO, FOR GOODNESS SAKES, LET GO. IN YOUR PRAYERS FOR "SAVING" THAT PERSON YOU ARE ACTUALLY, AND EVERY TIME, PRAYING FOR SELF AND TRYING TO OVERRIDE THE CHOICE OF THE INCIDENTAL "OTHER".

As more and more people pass through the experiences of disease and chemical incapacity, there will be more and more choices which confront you as individuals. And when you tell that "other" that somehow "my life will be ruined without you", you dump a terrible burden on that "other's" soul. Don't do that. There is enough sorrow and hurt in the world for everyone to have more than their share--and enough goodness and inner strength to find peace in the very midst of grief.

PLEASE LEAVE SOMETHING WORTH THE SOUL COMING BACK TO MAKE AMENDS AND VISIT YOU FOR THE GUIDES OF "OVER THERE" ARE THE "DEPARTED FROM HERE". YOU CAN NEVER GO BACK TO WHAT HAS BEEN FOR EACH NEW THING IS A NEW EXPERIENCE AND CAN NEVER DUPLICATE THAT WHICH WAS--EVER AGAIN. Experiences can be ever greater or lesser, but never can you go back and "if" a thing to death. You have only what is RIGHT NOW and with that you must deal.

One of the worst things a person can do is "fail" to keep a promise for it takes long waiting to be able for that jilted soul to recover confidence and trust--especially if the person was already suffering from feelings of isolation and infidelity (not of sex, understand, but of responsible caretakers).

I have gone a long way to express a response to the many questions flowing from my own family regarding sickness, transitions, self fulfillment and thus and so, but it is so important to the quality and status of living for you to FACE squarely circumstances and KNOW that it is ONLY SELF YOU CAN CHANGE. AND YOU GO ON! GRIEF OVER THE DYING, THE DEAD, OR THE LIVING IS NECESSARY--BUT GOING ON IS THAT WHICH MAKES OR BREAKS AN INDIVIDUAL. WRONG CHOICES (I DID NOT SAY "BAD") ARE CONSTANTLY MADE AND EXPERIENCES ALTERED AND DISAPPOINTMENTS FLOW AS WE ACCEPT THEM. STRENGTH COMES FROM THE GOING THROUGH AND CONFRONTING WHAT "IS" WHILE MOVING OUTSIDE THE "IF ONLY".

### LET GO AND LET GOD!

Within your own local circles (wherever you are) there is the constant ebb and flow of life experiences passing. Some will have to confront illness, cancers, suicides, murders, terrors, and these things are of living beings in a world gone insane. Sanity is a very elusive thing at best but you CAN confront these THINGS of life and the TESTING is, after all, FOR YOU IN EVERY INSTANCE--the "other" has a whole different set of testing assignments. YOU are going to need the ability to confront and move on, lest we never get our own mission accomplished. Perhaps "one" can get it done, but it is not right nor fair--for ONE to have to do it--for after all, YOU VOLUNTEERED TO ASSIST UNDER WHATEVER CIRCUMSTANCES GOD MIGHT LAY FORTH FOR YOU AND YOU OF SELF WILL LAY FORTH FOR YOUR OWN GROWTH. TO COP-OUT BRINGS THE MOST MISERABLE AND PAINFUL FEELINGS OF LOSS AND DESPERATION OF ALL. IT IS "THEN" THAT YOU HAVE GIVEN AWAY YOUR SOUL TO THE DARK SIDE WITHOUT MUCH HOPE OF RETRIEVING IT. FOR WHEN YOU PULL AWAY FROM GOD AND JOIN THE DARK BROTHERS IN THEIR DASTARDLY DEEDS TO HURT ANOTHER--YOU HAVE REALLY DONE A NUMBER ON YOURSELF.

I would speak of one more thing which is often present in observing lives. If a person is drinking heavily, into drugs for whatever reason or kind, know that they are escaping from SOMETHING and/or trying to gather courage enough to make that dreaded date with transition. Only you can know and recognize THEIR VISIBLE NEEDS. It is that when a child has grown to accountability-- YOU HAVE NO SAY! Until then, you have responsibility for those children and their little growing minds. Most problems, unfortunately, are set in concrete long before that child grows to that point of adventure for self. But, can you go back and correct that which is already poured in cement? No, you can, at best, perhaps alter "incorrect" perceptions--but usually the perceptions are "right on".

Therefore, for you parents with children of young years--BE CAREFUL, FOR YOU ARE PRODUCING THAT WHICH WILL BRING YOU JOY OR TOTAL DEVASTATION IN YOUR OWN UPCOMING YEARS BEFORE YOU.

How can YOU *scream* at your children and expect them to not scream at their own? How can you have immorality in order to somehow chase fantasies for self and then expect the children to have good moral foundations? They won't, and you won't be very joyful as you watch THEM deteriorate before your eyes.

You, I remind you, are PEOPLE OF THE LIE(s). You are taught from your idiot games that somehow things such as sexual pleasure are the end of ends. And, you are correct: the END of all peace and joy in your relationship with your most significant "other". This is abusing USE of another in a most deceitful way to fill empty holes within self. Sex is the most useful tool of the physical DARK SIDE--look at Billy Zipper! If you can't make what you have, work, fine--move on but do not base that relationship on things of unworthy and unrealistic fantasies.

You come along and think sex is the most gratifying and "far out" way to feel "good about self". Yes, that is what I said, SELF. It is not. It may seem exhilarating in the activity and then it becomes the most debilitating one thing that you can experience. Sex CAN BE an expression of love--but IT RARELY IS. And then, if you produce a life from that union--it may very well mean MURDER of the child, and certainly of the MOTHER who must then live with bad choices and weak mindset. Sexuality is most often a PLOY of the dark intents of SELF in selfishness. Or, it is sometimes used to REPAY a kindness, like a movie or dinner.

Remember the Protocols: destroy family values and family structure; pull down morality--and control is gained. A pregnancy, an abortion, a disease, or simply misuse of these things of LIFE, are the most destructive things you can teach your children or experience for self--for entrapment is the end feeling.

Why do I speak of these things when I was simply asked to speak a minute on death and dying? Because until YOU learn HOW TO LIVE, you have no LIFE! And parents: ONCE THOSE BABIES ARE HERE YOU HAD BETTER CHANGE YOUR ATTITUDES AND TAKE RESPONSIBILITY AND STOP TRYING TO ESCAPE LIVING TO CONTINUE YOUR IMMATURE JOURNEY FOCUSED ON SELF. What have YOU done totally selfish today? Last night? This morning? Either YOU learn and grow--or forget trying to get on with someone else who falls into your sickness. It is rare that two people have the SAME IDENTICAL SICKNESS, so immunity does not come from the disease process. BOTH HAVE TO GROW UP, AND GROW UP IN MORALITY, OR FOREVER TRY TO RUN AND HIDE--WHEN YOU CAN'T DO EITHER ONE. GET OFF YOUR SELF PITY AND GET ON WITH THINKING AND PRAYING FOR OTHERS. THEIR SUCCESS WILL DUMP THE GOOD THINGS RIGHT BACK ON SELF.

## LOVE IS GIVING--ALWAYS, GIVING

If you marry, say, and "want" rather than "to give", you err, for living will not improve--it will destroy. Love certainly is not using physical demands--OF ANY KIND. I repeat: LOVE IS GIVING. And furthermore, if you didn't have those "giving" feelings before the relationship--what ever in the world would cause you to think they are going to magically appear after you get to know the bad habits of the OTHER? AND, LADIES, MEN KNOW HOW TO COURT--THEY DO NOT KNOW HOW TO BE HUSBANDS. AND, MEN, WOMEN CAN ONLY LIVE IN SECURITY WHEN THEY ARE "COURTED" AND NOT IN A TYPICAL MARRIAGE. NOW, MEN ARE NOT FROM MARS AND WOMEN ARE NOT FROM VENUS. YOU BOTH ARE FROM EARTH HUMAN EXPRESSION--SO GET IT STRAIGHT AND BE GRACIOUS, GENTLE, THOUGHTFUL, AND EXPRESS APPRECIATION FOR ONE ANOTHER--NO MATTER HOW ALIEN IT FEELS. YOU MIGHT ACTUALLY FIND WHAT YOU THOUGHT YOU HAD IN THE FIRST PLACE.

But, if your relationship has to have boosters of alcohol or mind-altering drugs--GET THEE OUT--and get thee out IMMEDIATELY. LOVE IS ITS OWN FOUNDATION OF WHICH THERE IS NOTHING SURPASSING OF IT. AND TO SAY "I CANNOT CHANGE" IS A SICK EXCUSE FOR DOING NOTHING--LIFE IS CHANGE. THERE IS NOTHING SO SURE IN LIFE, EVEN MORE THAN DEATH AND TAXES, THAN CHANGE. AND, AN OLD DOG SHOULD BE FAR MORE ABLE TO LEARN NEW TRICKS THAN THE PUP. SO STOP YOUR EXCUSES AND STOP YOUR COP-OUTS AND GET ON WITH CHANGING YOUR UNDERPANTS LEST YOU GET CAUGHT WITH HOLES IN THEM.

Never do people commit suicide REALLY for "themselves"; they are committing that which will dump on somebody else they feel has slighted them somehow. It may be a genuine intent that leads to such actions, but it is because they either don't measure up to someone ELSE or to themselves--and mostly it is BOTH. I have told you before that suicide is every kind of death--TO ME. And further, it is not your business unless it be your EARTH child. By child, I mean the helpless non-grown beings--NOT THE "CHILDISH".

At what you call "death" passage, there is so little difference in the actual learning and knowing stance that people "die" constantly and don't even know they are dead. They are destined to wander and drift in their searching if they do not have roots and foundation of understandings. When the drifting souls become the predominant factor in the astral plane you have darkness descending on Earth--exactly as you have today. You have no notion of God or goodness except as some old idea/ideal which no longer is in consciousness of function.

If a person is an addict, or otherwise, and overdoses which produces "death", you don't have DEATH, you have a soul still in a state of total confusion and no ability to consciously work out any choices. These are the HELLS of perception, and brimstone is simply an added attraction of Satan to make you really sorry for your indiscretions.

WHEN YOU STOP BEING SELFISH, AND START BEING SELF-LESS, YOU MAY HAVE JUST WON THE AWARD OF SEMI-BALANCE. AND, IF YOU ARE LOVED IN SPITE OF YOUR NASTY SELFISH FITS OF TANTRUMS OR "YOU DONE ME WRONG" SYNDROMES--HOW MUCH MORE FORTUNATE COULD YOU EVER BE, MY CHILDREN? BUT, IF YOU MISUSED SOMEONE TO FILL YOUR EMPTY CAUSES IN THE UPSTART--YOU DESERVE THAT COLD-SHOULDER YOU ARE PROBABLY EXPERIENCING.



You do NOT need to practice artificial stuff out of orbiting planets and concepts of some psychologist or guru. You only need to practice the things which make YOU feel good if another offers them unto you. A kind word is far more valuable than a raise in the midst of being told how terrible you are. In YOUR NEW PERCEPTION of love--it is far better to LIKE someone and stop misusing the word "love". YOU HAD BETTER START, LIKE INSTANTLY, re-laying that foundation which attracted you in the first place--and I don't mean the screwing around. In other words, GROW UP AND GET A LIFE.

I don't need to be nagged by you about your problems--I KNOW YOUR PROBLEMS so I must wait for you to recognize and assist in the problems of others connected to or around you. Then I must see what is YOUR INTENT regarding the other 7 billion brethren of yours on the globe. Is YOUR problem more important than the poorest child in Iraq? NO--usually you "have no problem"--the starving child in Iraq DOES. It is your freewill to be unbalanced, inharmonious and selfish--the child in Iraq is caught in your results of selfishness. And no, "just being" is NOT ENOUGH. That attitude is about the worst one state of existence you can find--for your "just being", unless you are in a cave on the highest mountain outside civilization, falls onto *SOMEBODY ELSE*. And if a relationship is so dead as to "just be", then you both are pretty dead--between the ears, not the legs.

Blunt and crass am I? Surely, if you think so. It is time you people KNOW that life and death are the same thing--A BEGINNING, NOT AN END. Further, EITHER BEGINS IN THE MOMENT--NOW! YOU CAN EITHER LIVE OR DIE--YOUR CHOICE--BUT LIVING IS WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO DO, EITHER WAY. YOU EITHER HAVE AND ARE A HUMAN-VIABLE BEING OR A SOUL-VIABLE ENTITY, AND THE CROSSOVER IS ONLY ONE HEARTBEAT AND ONE *BREATH AWAY*.

And to you readers "out there" who think that to be in proximity somehow with this work makes GOD at your disposal and everyone is clearly Godly in perceptions--FORGET IT. In this little circle there are PAINFUL expressions taking place in every being, every experience. It actually takes a stronger person to live in My Light than any other place on Earth for your hiding is so much the less in ability. Anyone "dropping out" here means a doubling up of someone else's load and the human spirit cannot long survive in such a garbage dump.

People come here, are drawn here, in their SEARCHING for the UNKNOWN commodity that all hope to find. And yet, what is found? Responsibility, or move on. People want to move IN and continue to live whatever conception of morality, activities, and attitudes THEY BROUGHT IN WITH THEM. And no, it is not acceptably--but it is not my job to tell you when you act unacceptable. YOU HAVE TO REALIZE YOUR LACK OF RESPONSIBILITY, WHATEVER IT MIGHT BE. YOU SEARCH, YOU FIND, AND YOU REFUSE TO ALLOW IT TO CHANGE YOUR ATTITUDES. SO BE IT.

You have to understand that you are NO DIFFERENT than a Zipper Willie in the White House. If you are unwilling to meet the moral criteria of a basis of belief and morality on which your nation was supposedly founded, you are an irresponsible philanderer with no perceptions beyond the area below the belt. This gives your enemies something with which to control you the entire remainder of your life.

You are in real trouble, world, when the most offensive DICTATOR of a small State like Iraq has the morality you need, and the President of the strongest nation in the world has the morals of a pantry moth. YOU, AS A WORLD, HAVE *TROUBLE*.

The *lesser* of two evils is never a solution--for then the evil in power is THE EVIL of the day. In a REAL world, the WORD OF TRUTH RULES--not an individual. This becomes order under the LAW vs. the law under orders--i.e., The New World Order.

Every cause or thought has an effect and a choice of response. How do YOU respond? Do you sit in your overstuffed Lazy-Boy Recliner and demand "Bomb Iraq" and "Nasty Saddam"? Or, do you listen and HEAR what even your established media is telling you? Saddam Hussein was a pampered Bush pet and business colleague, and funny thing, he WASN'T A TYRANT THEN! Billions and billions of Bush dollars were dumped on Saddam to further the political causes of the U.S. GOVERNMENT. And, don't you realize that in the effect of your cause, dozens of other nations are in conference trying to figure out HOW TO DUMP BOMBS ON YOU 'SATANIC' AMERICANS AS WE WRITE? Oh, you aren't like that? YOU ARE EXACTLY WHAT THE HANDLERS AND TRAINERS TELL YOU TO BE, SLEEPYHEADS--*EXACTLY*. And what you are going to precipitate is a total blow-off-the-map of such as Israel for the lopsided hatred is building and can only build around that which is PERCEIVED to be THE PROBLEM.

I will promise you something, chelas: GOD WILL DO SOME LEVELING OF THE PLAYING FIELD--PERHAPS THE "LESSER" OF TWO EVILS--BUT NONETHELESS, EQUALITY IS A GOOD THING. Perhaps the "other side" can appreciate God's gifts more than can you who are not even allowed to know of them, or, await your rapture in physical form to bigger and better wealth and status. What BS garbage! You are what you ARE and God knows what YOU are. It is not insanity; it is stupidity, for anyone can grow out of ignorance.

You might well say, "Well, 100% of the 'callers' in to the media shows-and-tells are for taking out Saddam." But, don't you hear when someone gets through and wants to talk about the sins of Israel, they are immediately shut off the system with a moan of the host as to "not screening the callers properly"? Only the callers who want what the media is trying to achieve--EVEN GET THROUGH! Moreover, the system feeds on itself like the sharks who don't even like whatever the food frenzy is about. Pretty soon you start eating each other.

How does this happen? LISTEN: every day in a thousand instances right before you the term "weapons of mass destruction" is used and used and re-used until even you do not speak without "weapons of mass destruction" and Saddam Hussein always on your tongues. What are weapons of mass destruction? Don't YOU have them? Doesn't Israel have them? Oh my, indeed. So, you come back, "Well, it is a matter of who is going to USE THEM." Oh? And what about that Pact and Executive Order of last November where Clinton and Mr. Yahoo of Israel AGREED TO BOMB WITH NUCLEAR BOMBS--NEUTRON BOMBS YET--IRAQ OR ANY AGGRESSOR AGAINST THE U.S. OR ISRAEL. And "use them"? Well, Israel has INVADED Lebanon, Syria, Palestine, and others WITH IMPUNITY AND WHINING THAT SOMEHOW THEY WERE WRONGED.

I study the circumstances and the level of your discernment and it appears you in the U.S. and Britain have no right to pull the big bully act further over the heads and eyes of a world OF GOD. Yes, the field will be leveled and I would note that this government of evil will fall and the replacement may well be WORSE than anything you nice people have experienced YET IN THIS PHYSICAL DOMAIN.

What you may think of me is none of my business. What you think about the world is none of my business. But wouldn't it be wise to KNOW what you are about before you blow off your own heads

or send your relatives off to die in a sea of sand, parasites and vermin?

Do I have no mercy? No, it has nothing to do with mercy--it has everything to do with God's laws and KNOWING what IS and stop the stupidity.

Why would Clinton belly-up and Madeleine Albright shut-up? Because all Saddam had to do was produce TRUTH for the assemblage with Kofi Annan who is a Godly man seeking balance and peace. YOU are NOT ALLOWED to hear the people who tell the truth--ONLY THE VIPERS AND WAR-MONGERS.

How do you KNOW the media and press are controlled and how do you KNOW that the bibles are tampered? Because they are tampered into the acceptance of that which is the most base and ugly of human thought and GOD only creates balance, harmony, justice and LIGHT. When you have the offering up of wars, bloodshed and use of another--you have nothing save dark energies and intent at work--period. BUT, you are gifted with FREE-WILL CHOICES UPON WHICH YOU EVALUATE YOUR JOURNEY--WITH GOD. So, God won't SAVE you, good buddies; He will let you attend your own misperceptions.

If your intent is of genuine truth--GOD will offer, show you the way, provide what you need--AND YOU WILL SEIZE THE MOMENT. AND, FURTHER, YOU WILL REMOVE THE PRESSURES FROM THE ONES SEIZING THE MOMENT PILED ON BY YOUR INDIVIDUAL DEMANDS--even upon GOD. When God sends the life-ring, I suggest you grab it with GUSTO because waiting for the luxury craft may well get you DEADED. Opportunity, further, is very, very fleeting in its sticking around. God leaves opportunity where it is seized and from that idea comes CREATION.

I am besieged with inquiries about one "teacher" or another, one "guru" (same thing) or cohan (same thing) or another. It matters not what "I" think about these beings or entities. WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT THEM? Are they presented in a physical form which you must somehow "worship" or bend your knees? What do they ACTUALLY TELL YOU? Will it break your Ramtha hearts to come to KNOW that Knight, Yanni, AND RAMTHA are controlled by the CIA? Oh indeed, and yet there is great TRUTH that flows out of the wisdom of the WORD. People are frail reeds, chelas, no matter who those PEOPLE SEEM TO BE. So, the inquirers must understand that it is only what YOU MAKE OF THESE and, as well, what YOU PERCEIVE OF ME. I offer you nothing other than self-responsibility and TRUTH--no cop-outs. I don't want drop-outs or cop-outs on my station. So, whatever you decide to be is up to you--I know the LAWS and only through those LAWS shall you make it to the Big Idea in the Heavens ever after. I don't have to judge or choose--it is up to you for I bend not one hair's breadth from the LAWS OF TRUTH. THAT is my being. I cannot concern too much with yours. But I CAN AND DO have inclusion or exclusion rights--BASED ON THAT LAW. DO YOU WANT TRUTH AND FACTS, OR TO BE MISLED AND FIND ASCENSION SIMPLY MEANS BEING BLOWN TO BITS?

What may really surprise you in your narrow growth is that I don't need many coming with me for crowding is not the name of the game--KNOWING IN TRUTH is the name of the game. I, unlike you, KNOW and it doesn't matter how "long" it takes for you to learn and know--YOU WILL COME TO KNOW ONE DAY OR YOU ARE DESTINED TO CONTINUE ON THE WHEEL OF LOWER EXPRESSION, LOWER CONSCIOUSNESS. And, in fact, you can't get more "LOW" than the human physical levels of experience.

Every now and then the LIGHT bursts momentarily upon you--but in your dimension you cannot

dwelling in that eternal Light lest you become darker than the dark you try to overcome. When expression IN THAT GLORIOUS LIGHT is within, and in all you express--then and only then have you "arrived". Otherwise YOU ARE ONLY PRETENDING LIGHT TO FOOL SELF AWAY FROM RESPONSIBILITY. Of course you have to WORK at KNOWING; that is the purpose of the school itself. You can remain dumb or grow, the choice is yours and the lesson available--to LIVE AND EXPERIENCE, NOT GET AWAY FROM AND INTO ANOTHER DIMENSION OF MENTAL SEPARATION FROM SELF. THAT IS THE GROSSEST POISON OF THE SOUL, THIS ESCAPE CLAUSE YOU BUILD FOR SELVES.

YOU ARE ALLOWED EXPRESSION TO HAVE AND GROW INTO KNOWING AND RESPONSIBILITY OF SELF *IN YOUR PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT*--NOT SIMPLY TRY FOR THE RETURN OF CONSCIOUSNESS INTO ANOTHER DIMENSION BECAUSE YOU CAN'T FIND PEACE IN THE ONE YOU HAVE AT HAND.

What I get on this topic is: "Well, Hatonn knows about a lot of things, but he is wrong about this aspect." NO, I AM NOT WRONG--I've been there and done that--AND NOW, I AM, AND AS OF YET--YOU AREN'T, AND THEREFORE YOUR OPINIONS ARE WORTHY OF YOU--NOT OF ME. You had, I suggest, best look up the meaning of ATON. THAT IS MY LABEL; what's yours?

When you grasp onto another's truth--all you have and hold is HIS TRUTH--not yours, just an unreasonable following of another's attitudes and opinions. Even the people of Iraq must outgrow living in the opinion or attitude of Saddam for they are not living as independent individuals any more than any of you in the U.S. of A. Worse, you both are only subjects to the opinions and desires of your media and the media's higher controllers. GOD IS NOT GOING TO YANK YOU INTO SALVATION, SLEEPYHEADS; THE POINT IS TO HEAR THE ALARM CLOCK AND GET UP FROM YOUR COTS AND SEE YOUR POSITION AND DISCERN YOUR DESTINY.

The water deepens and the life-ring is floating--but for how long before the deflation happens? The source of your breathing must remain OUT OF THE WATER, children. As long as you keep that mouth and nose above water, the other things can be added into and within your dimension. Once you drown, it's over for this journey! Journeys are not over--only THIS ONE. Circumstances change and not only THIS one.

Back to the original topic of life and death: ONLY LIFE HAS MEANING. What will you make of YOURS and never mind anyone else's.

Thank you for indulging my professor stance; it is, however that which I am best at doing, that and CREATING, so therefore, I don't like lesser intentioned parties around about me. We are creators, not users of..., and when we start creating that which is toward perfection in expression--only then have we learned our more worthy lessons. Another's opinions are not more than exactly that, opinions. If you want to live in another's opinions rather than God's truth of instructions--fine, go for it--but the outcome is going to be so very disappointing in its realization. You can listen to another's opinions without becoming the person's opinions. To know the difference is the gift of gifts. Salu

Adonai. (And, perhaps you should look up the meaning of this label also.)

## CHAPTER 10

REC #1 HATONN

WED., FEB. 25, 1998 9:05 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 193

WED., FEB. 25, 1998

### GLOBAL RECOGNITION

I plan to move right along with the *GLOBAL PARASITES* journals. The entire world is seeing the International Jew at work in an effort to gain total control of the United Nations and all its activities. Other nations see no way out of working with the U.S. and Great Britain, but I tell you that **THERE IS A WAY! THE U.S. ALREADY REPRESENTS THE TOTAL FOOL AND FOOLHARDY IDIOTS OF THE GLOBE.**

While the world rejoices over a respite from bloodletting, note who does NOT--the U.S., Great Britain and ISRAEL.

But now they have done it to themselves, this triad of terror, in that the Head of the Nations has gone and witnessed that these maverick Zionist "*Kings*" *have lied, cheated, and planted evidence.* **WHEN TRUTH BEGINS TO FLOW, READERS, CHANGE IS IN THE WIND. WHEN PROOF IS VISITED UPON THE OBSERVERS AND OPEN TO WORLD OPINION AND MEDIA, CHANGE IS GOING TO HAPPEN.**

One of the things I note being touted to make Iraq look like a nation of evil monsters is the execution of TRAITORS. You act as if that is something out of the book of the Virgin Mary as to "awful", "terrible", and other offenses too bad to mention. People, you have a DEATH PENALTY FOR TREASON AND TRAITORS--AND I CAN TELL YOU RIGHT NOW--THERE ARE THOUSANDS WHO FIT THE CATEGORY RIGHT IN WASHINGTON D.C., NOT TO MENTION OTHER PLACES. Why don't you get facts AND THEN consider some judgment--you look like total fools trying this on MSNBC and CNN BEFORE THE FACTS ARE EVEN PUBLIC. YOU HAVE COME A LONG WAY, BABIES, AND IN THE WRONG DIRECTION.

[QUOTING:] PART 11, *GLOBAL PARASITES*]

### THE HISTORIC BASIS OF JEWISH IMPERIALISM

*"We are a people--One people... When we sink, we become a revolutionary proletariat, the subordinate officers of a revolutionary party; when we rise, there rises also our terrible power of the purse."* Theodor Herzl, *A Jewish State*, pp. 5, 23

A great unloosing of speech with reference to the Jewish Question and the Jewish program for world power has occurred in this country since the beginning of this series of articles. It is now possible to pronounce the word "Jew" in a perfectly serious discussion, without timidity, or without intimidation. Heretofore that has been regarded as the special prerogative of the Jewish publicists themselves and they have used the name exclusively in well-organized and favorable propaganda. They can oust portions of Shakespeare from the public schools on the ground that the Jews are offended; they can demand the removal of one of Sargent's paintings from the Boston Library

because it represents the Synagogue in the decline. But when anything emanates from the Gentile side which indicates that the Gentile is also conscious of the Jew, then the charge of prejudice is instantly and strongly made. The effect of that in this country has been a ban on speech which has had few parallels in our history. Recently at a banquet a speaker used the term "Jews" in reference to the actions of a group of Jewish bankers. A Jewish guest leaped to his feet demanding to know if the speaker considered it "American" to single out a race that way. The speaker replied, "I do, sir," and received the approval of the audience. In that particular part of the country, business men's tongues had been tied for years by the unwritten law that Jews must never be singled out as Jews.

No one would have predicted a year ago that a newspaper like the *Chicago Tribune* could have convinced itself that it was good newspaper policy to print in the first column of its first page a copyrighted article on the Jewish program for world rule, printing the word "Jew" in large letters in its headline, and abstaining from editorial retouching of the word "Jew" in the body of the article. The usual plan is to do what an eastern newspaper did when dealing with the same subject: whenever the term "International Jew" occurred in the article which it printed, it was retouched to "financiers".

**[H: Yes indeed, we had to move to the same tactics because, as I would dictate every third time the term Jew or Jewish was used, the entire program in the computer would be wiped away. Then the adversary monitors would change that to every, say, tenth time it was used the program would be wiped out in its entirety, even from the central data base. We often lost 35 to 45 pages of work. Then it began to happen with "Zionist" and then we moved to Khazarian, and we finally had to substitute in New World Order. This means that the surveillance crews had to start reading for CONTENT. Now we note that mostly we are left alone as we are only mostly duplicating that which has already been fought over.]**

**The next attacks were to totally disallow enough funds to print the paper. Then, an effort to discredit--but that only got more readers, so now it is hopelessly (for them) scattered around to too many recipients (hardly any of which are subscribers) and we have fallen INTO PROTECTION instead of outright assault. This does not mean that danger to my scribe is OVER, for there are always the ones who would destroy for any personal reason whatsoever--but in this attacking we find our own inner infiltrators.]**

The *Chicago Tribune*, however, on Saturday, June 19, 1920, printed in the first column of the first page a cable dispatch from John Clayton, its special correspondent, under the heading: "Trotsky Leads Jew-Radicals to World Rule. Bolshevism Only a Tool for His Scheme." **[H: Go back and READ THAT AGAIN!]**

The first paragraph reads as follows:

"For the last two years army intelligence officers, members of the various secret service organizations of the Entente, have been bringing in reports of a world revolutionary movement other than Bolshevism. At first these reports confused the two, but latterly the lines they have taken have begun to be more and more clear."

As previously stated in the *Dearborn Independent*, our own secret service is one of these, though there is reason to believe that because of the influence of Jews upon the government these investigations were not pursued with the persistency that might otherwise have been given them. However, we know from Jewish sources, not to mention any other, that the Department of Justice of the United States was at one time interested enough to make inquiries.

What the *Tribune* writer does in the above paragraph is to show that this interest has been sustained for two years by officials of the Entente, a fact which ought to be borne in mind by those who declare that the whole matter is of German instigation. The emergence of the Jewish Question into American thought was immediately met by the statement from Jewish sources that it was a German importation, and that the anti-Semitism which flowed over Germany and resulted in cleaning out the overwhelming Jewish revolutionary influences from the new German government, was only a trick to throw the blame for the defeat of Germany on the Jews. American rabbis are even now unitedly preaching that history shows that every great war is followed by a new "attack" on the Jews. It is undoubtedly a fact that every war newly opens the people's eyes to the power which international Jewish financiers exert with reference to war--and it would seem that such a fact is worthy of a better explanation than that of "prejudice". However, as the Tribune article shows, and as all the facts confirm, the interest is not confined to the German side; indeed, it is not even strongest there. It is "the various secret service organizations of the Entente" that have been most active in the matter.

The second paragraph further distinguishes between Bolshevism and Jewish imperialism:

"Bolshevism aims for the overthrow of existing society and the establishment of an international brotherhood of men who work with their hands as rulers of the world. The second movement aims for the **establishment of a new racial domination of the world**. So far as the British, French and our own department's inquiry have been able to trace, the moving spirits in the second scheme are Jewish radicals."

Other statements in the article:

"With the ranks of Communism is a group of this party, but it does not stop there. To its leaders, Communism is only an incident."

(This will recall the statement of Lord Eustace Percy, quoted last week from the *Canadian Jewish Chronicle*--"Not because the Jew cares for the positive side of radical philosophy, not because he desires to be a partaker in Gentile nationalism or Gentile democracy, but because no existing Gentile system of government is anything but distasteful to him.")

"They are ready to use the Islamic revolt, hatred by the central empires for England, Japan's designs on India, and commercial rivalry between America and Japan."

"As any movement of world revolution must be, this is primarily anti-Anglo-Saxon."

"The organization of the world Jewish-radical movement has been perfected in almost every land."

"The aims of the Jewish-radical party have nothing of altruism behind them beyond liberation of their own race."

It will be conceded that these are rather startling statements. If they were found in a propagandist publication of no responsibility, the average reader might pass them by as preposterous, so little does the average reader know of the secret influences which shape his life and frame his problems. But appearing in a great newspaper, they must receive a different evaluation.

Nor did the *Tribune* stop at the news article. On June 21, 1920, an editorial appeared entitled "World Mischief". The editorial is evidently an effort to prevent possible misunderstanding of what the news article was driving at.

"The Jewish phase of the movement, he asserts, aims at a **new racial domination of the world...**"

The *Tribune* says that while it is perhaps natural for the Jews of other countries to be engaged in this "World Mischief", the Jews of England and the United States "are loyal nationalists and conservative upholders of the national traditions." It were(ok) well if this were true. Perhaps it is true of tens of thousands of Jews as individuals; it certainly is not true of those internationalists who pull the strings of all the governments and who during the last six tragic years have been meddling with world affairs in a way which must soon be plainly told. The unfortunate circumstance is that all the American and English Jews must for a time feel a distress which no one desires them to feel, which everyone would do much to save them from, but which seems inevitable until the whole story is told and until the mass of the Jews themselves cut off from their name and support some who now receive their deepest homage.

It is worth while observing the contrasts and similarities between the Gentile and Jewish reaction to this alleged movement to establish a Jewish imperialism over the world. Jewish publicists first deny it without qualification. It is all false, all a lie, all hatched up by enemies of the Jews in order to stir up hatred and murder. As the evidence accumulates, the Jewish tone changes: "Well, suppose it is true," the publicists say; "is it any wonder that the poor oppressed Jews, driven to madness through their sufferings, should dream dreams of overthrowing their enemies and placing themselves in the seat of authority?"

The Gentile mind, confronted with the statement, says: "Yes, but they are Russian Jews. Don't mind them. American Jews are all right. They would never be taken in by anything like that." Going a little deeper into the subject, the Gentile mind is forced to admit the existence of some kind of a subversive world movement, the power of which has shaken even this country, and that the moving spirits in it are revolutionary Jews. And then the tendency from that point forward is either to fall in with the theory and the movement is really Jewish in its origin, agitation, execution and purpose, or to set up the theory that it is a "world movement" undoubtedly, but only incidentally Jewish. The end of both Jewish and Gentile reaction is an admission that something answering to the movement charged actually exists.

For example, the *Christian Science Monitor*, whose standard as a newspaper no one will question, has this to say in a lengthy editorial on the subject:

"In spite of this, it would be a tremendous mistake to conclude that the Jewish peril, given another name and atmosphere, does not exist. It might, indeed, be renamed, out of one of the grandest of the books of the *Old Testament*, 'the terror by night', for it is, essentially, the Psalmist's concept of the forces of mental evil at which, consciously or unconsciously, Professor Nilus is aiming. In other words, that a secret international political organization exists, working unremittingly by means of its Bureau of Psychology, though the world which should be awake to it is entirely asleep to it, is, to the man who can read the signs of the times, a thing unquestionable."

The *Monitor* gives warning against prejudice and disregard of the laws of evidence which is exceedingly timely and is, indeed, the desire of anyone who has ever undertaken to deal with this subject, but too often it is a disregard of facts and not of evidence that makes the difficulty. It is safe



to say that most of the prejudice today is *against* the facts, it has not been caused by them.

There are two preconceptions to be guarded against in making an approach to this question. One is that the Jewish imperialistic program, if such a thing exists, is of recent origin. Upon the mere mention of such a program, Gentiles are likely to think that it was formulated last week, or last year, or within recent time. That need not be the case at all, and in Jewish matters it is very likely not to be the case. It is very easy to see how, if the program were to be formulated today, it would be wholly different from the one which is to be considered. The kind of program that would be made today indeed exists too, but it is not to be compared in extent and profundity with that which has existed for a very long time. Perfect constitutions of invisible government are not the creations of secret conventions; they are the accumulated thought and experience of centuries. Moreover, no matter how prone a modern generation may be to disregard such things, the mere fact that they may have existed as a secret racial ideal for centuries is a powerful argument for their respectable acceptance, if not active execution, by the generation that now is. There is no idea deeper in Judaism than that Jews constitute a Chosen People and that their future is to be more glorious than their past. A large part of the Christian world accepts that, too, and it may well be true, but in a moral universe it cannot come to pass by the methods which have been and are being used.

**[H: The facts are and the problem IS: These latter JEWS are the very chosen people of their god, Jehovah. They have many names right in the BIBLES for this entity, but here is where the facts split from the tales. Jehovah is LUCIFER and is the god of the dark side, the PHYSICAL expression of life-form representing as humanoid and the adversary of HUMAN. I didn't make this up to annoy you readers; these are the FACTS, undeniable and indisputable--and actually, you will find that they are NOT REALLY DISPUTED. "Jesus" is in dispute always because people wish to put their "faith" in a MAN-person but as for the Christed TEACHER, HIS name was not "Jesus" as you write, pronounce and accept unquestioned from your false teachers.]**

But to mention the ancient lineage of the idea of the Chosen People is merely to suggest that of all the programs that may have gathered round it to assist its full historical realization, it is not strange that there should be one very old one to which the wisest minds of Israel have contributed their best of mind and heart to insure its success. That there is such a plan has been the belief of many deep delvers in the hidden things of the world, and that such a plan has at times had its dress rehearsals, so to speak, on a limited stage, as if in preparation for its grand finale on the universal stage, is another belief held by men at whose knowledge it is impossible to cavil.

So, then, it may be that we are dealing with something for which present-day Jews, even the more important internationalists, are not originally responsible. It may have come to them as part of their ancient Jewish inheritance. Certainly, if it were a mere modern thing, hastily conceived and thrown together after the modern fashion, it could be expected to disappear in the same era which saw it born.

Another preconception to be guarded against is that every Jew one meets has secret knowledge of this program. That is not the case. With the general idea of the ultimate triumph of Israel every Jew who has retained contact with his people is familiar, but with the special plans which for centuries have existed in formulated form for the attainment of that triumph, the average Jew is no more familiar than anyone else--no more so than was the average German with the secret plans of the Pan-Germanic party whose ideas started and guided the recent war. The average Jew enters into the plans of the secret group just to this extent, except in specially selected cases: It is perfectly understood that the consummation of the Jewish triumph will not be distasteful to any Jew, and if the

methods to be used toward the end are a bit violent, every Jew can be depended upon to see in that violence a very insufficient retribution visited upon the Gentile world for the sufferings which it has caused the sons of Judah throughout the centuries.

Still, with even these preconceptions guarded against, there is no escape from the conclusion that if such a program of Jewish world imperialism exists today, it must exist with the cognizance and active support of certain individuals, and that these groups of individuals must have somewhere an official head.

This is, perhaps, the one point at which more investigators stop than at any other. The idea of a Jewish autocrat is too strange for the mind which has not been much in contact with the main question. And yet there is no race which more instinctively supports autocracy than does the Jewish race, no race which more craves and respects position. It is their sense of the value of position which explains the main course their activities take. The Jew is primarily a money-maker for the reason that up to this time money is the only means he knows by which to gain position. The Jews who have gained position for any other reason are comparatively few. **[H: But THAT was 1920 and, NOW, you can see they have full control of the governments of several of your more powerful nations, including the United States and, through Great Britain, Canada, New Zealand, Australia, etc.]** This is not a Gentile gibe; it is the position of a famous Anglo-Jewish physician, Dr. Barnard Von Oven, who wrote: "all other means of distinction are denied him; he must rise by wealth, or not at all. And if, as he well knows, to insure wealth will be to insure rank, respect and attention in society, does the blame rest with him who endeavors to acquire wealth for the distinction which it will purchase, or with that society which so readily bows down to the shrine of Mammon?"

The Jew is not averse to kings, only to the state of things which prevents a Jewish king. The future autocrat of the world ***IS TO BE A JEWISH KING***, sitting upon the throne of David, so ancient prophecies and the documents of the imperialist program agree.

Is such a king in the world now? **[H: Well, there IS "now".]** If not, the men who could choose a king are in the world. There has been no king of the Jews since before the Christian Era, but until about the eleventh century there were Princes of the Exile, those who represented the headship of the Jews who were dispersed through the nations. They were and still are called "exilarchs", or Princes of the Exile. They were attended by the wise men of Israel; they held court; they gave the law to their people. They lived abroad wherever their circumstances or convenience dictated, in Christian or Mohammedan countries. Whether the office was discontinued with the last publicly known exilarch or merely disappeared from the surface of history, whether today it is entirely abandoned or exists in another form, are questions which must wait. That there are offices of world jurisdiction held by Jews is well known. That there are world organizations of Jews--organizations, that is, within the very strong solidarity of the Jewish nation itself--is well known. That there is world unity on certain Jewish activities, defensive and offensive, is well known. There is nothing in the condition or thought of the Jews which would render the existence today of an exilarch distasteful, to them; indeed, the thought would be very comfortable.

**[H: You should, and so should Kofi Annan, realize that he is the LAST PLANNED NON-JEW TO HEAD THE CURRENT UNITED NATIONS. It was thought that he could and would be CONTROLLED. That trip to Iraq has just about blown the entire scheme of the Jewish leadership of the nations already captured in the trap. Note the play DOWN of Kofi's work while the world is on its feet and knees applauding--for truth can be brought out by THAT ONE HUMBLE MAN. PLEASE, YOU MUST ACT NOW WHILE THE WINDOW AND**

## **DOOR STAND OPEN--YOU WILL NOT LIKELY EVER HAVE ANOTHER CHANCE FOR FREEDOM ON YOUR GLOBE.]**

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* remarks: "Curiously enough, the exilarchs are still mentioned in the Sabbath services of the Ashkenazim ritual... The Jews of the Sephardic ritual have not preserved this anachronism, nor was it retained in most of the Reform synagogues of the nineteenth century."

**[H: Please pay attention to this for if you study THIS carefully and relate it to Nora's research as well as Cal Burgin's material, Eustace Mullins and even Jewish writings of the last decade, you begin to see the breakdown in the various "sects" or groupings of the Jews themselves BY THEMSELVES.]**

Is there, then, a Jewish Sanhedrin?--a governing or counseling body of Jews who take oversight of the affairs of their people throughout the world? **[H: You bet, and they do it through the Protocols AND THE TALMUD.]**

The Jewish Sanhedrin was a most interesting institution. Its origin and method of constitution are obscure. It consisted of 71 members, with the president, and performed the functions of a political senate. There is nothing to show whence the Sanhedrin derived its authority. It was not an elective body. It was not democratic. It was not representative. It was not responsible to the people. In these qualities, it was typically Jewish. The Sanhedrin was chosen by the prince or priest, not with the purpose of safeguarding the people's interest, but to assist the ruler in the work of administration. **[H: Come on, people, this authority came directly down from Lucifer, Jehovah, SATAN.]** It was thus assembled by call, or it was self-perpetuating, calling its own members. The arrangement seems to have been that well-known device by which an aristocracy can maintain itself in power whatever the political construction of the nation may be. The *Jewish Encyclopedia* says: "The Sanhedrin, which was entirely aristocratic in character, probably assumed its own authority, since it was composed of members of the most influential families of the nobility and priesthood."

This body was flanked by a similar body, which governed the religious interests of the nation, the members being drawn apparently from classes nearer the common people.

The Sanhedrin exercised authority not only over the Jews of Palestine, but wherever they were scattered throughout the world. As a senate exercising direct political authority, it ceased with the downfall of the Jewish State in the year 70, but there are indications of its continuance as an advisory body down to the fourth century.

In 1806, in order to satisfy the mind of Napoleon upon some questions which had arisen concerning the Jews, an Assembly of Notables was called, whose membership consisted of prominent Jews of France. They, in turn, to bring the sanction of all Jewry to the answers which they should give Napoleon, convoked the Sanhedrin. The Sanhedrin assembled in Paris on February 9, 1807. It followed the prescribed ancient forms; it was comprised of Jews from all parts of Europe; it was assembled to put the whole authority of Jewry behind any compact the French Jews may have been able to make with Napoleon.

In putting forth its decisions, this Sanhedrin of 1807 declared that it was in all respects like the ancient Sanhedrin, "a legal assembly vested with power of passing ordinances in order to promote the welfare of Israel".

The significance of these facts is this: Whatever the leaders of the Jews may do today in the way

of maintaining the policy and constitution of Israel, would not constitute a new departure. It would not signify a new attitude. It would not be evidence of a new plan.

It would be entirely natural, Jewish solidarity being what it is, that the Sanhedrin should still be continued. The ancient Sanhedrin appears to have had a group of ten who were somewhat exalted in importance above the rest; it would be perfectly natural if the leaders of the Jews were today divided into committees, by countries or by objects. **[H: Puts a different light on the prophecies and "ten heads", doesn't it?]**

There are always being held, year by year, world meetings of the principal Jews of all lands. They come together whenever called, to the disregard of everything else. Great judges from the high courts of the various countries, international financiers, Jewish orators of the "liberal type" who have the ear of the Gentiles, political maneuverers from all the parties represented in the world, they assemble wherever they will, and the subjects of their deliberations are made known ONLY to the extent they will. It is not to be supposed that all of the attendants on these conventions are members of the inner circle. **[H: Try the Council on Foreign Relations for ONE group and work your way UP FROM THERE.]** The list of delegates will show scores of persons with whom no one would associate Lord Reading and Judge Brandeis. If the modern Sanhedrin meets, and it would be the most natural thing in the world if it should, we may be sure it meets within the **CLOSE CIRCLE** of those persons which the Jewish aristocracy of money, intellect and power approves. **[H: Bilderbergers? Club of Rome?]**

The machinery of a Jewish world government exists ready-made. The Jew is convinced that he has the best religion, the best morality, the best method of education, the best social standards, the best ideal of government. He would not have to go outside the circle of that which he considers best to get anything which he may need to advance the welfare of his people, or to execute any program which may have to do with the outside world.

It is the ancient machinery that the international Jew uses in all those activities which he permits the world to see in part. There are gatherings of the financial, political and intellectual chief rulers of the Jews. These gatherings are announced for one or another thing--sometimes. Sometimes there is a gathering of Jews in a world capital, with no announced purpose. They all appear in one city, confer and depart. **[H: Hummmnnn Hummnn.]**

Whether or not there is a recognized head to all of this is yet to be disclosed [1920]. There can be little doubt, however, as to the existence of what may be called a "foreign policy", that is, a definite point of view and plan of action with reference to the Gentile world. The Jew feels that he is in the midst of enemies, but he also feels that he is a member of a people--"one people". He must have some policy with regard to the outer world. He cannot help but consider present conditions, he cannot consider them without being stirred to speculate upon what the outcome must be, and he cannot speculate on the outcome without in some manner endeavoring to make it as he would like it to be.

The invisible government of the Jews, its attitude toward the Gentile world, its policy with regard to the future, are not, then, the abnormal things that some would make them appear. Given the Jewish position, they are of all things most natural. Jewish existence in the world is not such as woos the Jew into sleepy contentment; it is such as stirs him into organization against future contingencies and into programs which may shape those contingencies to the benefit of his race. That there should be a Sanhedrin of the Jews, a world body of the leading men of all countries; that there should even be an exilarch, a visible and recognized head of the Sanhedrin, mystically

foreshadowing the autocrat to come; that there should even be a world program, just as every government has its foreign policy, are not strange, uncanny suppositions. They grow normally out of the situation itself.

And it is also natural that not every Jew should know this. The Sanhedrin always WAS THE ARISTOCRACY, and would be today. When rabbis cry from their pulpits that they know nothing about this thing, they are doubtless telling the truth. What the international Jew depends upon is the likelihood of every Jew approving that which brings power and prestige to his people. At any rate, it is well enough known that however little the ordinary Jewish leader may have been told about world programs, he regards with the greatest respect and confidence the very men who must put these programs through, if these exist at all.

The twenty-fourth Protocol of the Learned Elders of Zion has this to say:

"Now I will discuss the manner in which the roots of the house of King David will penetrate to the deepest strata of the Earth. This dynasty, even to this day, has given the power of controlling world affairs to our wise men, the educational directors of all human thought."

**[H: But what does THIS have to do with happenings in Iraq TODAY? Well, these men set down some sequence criteria which are presented, BUT MISSED, by the observing world. Into the necessary acquisitions to bring under this KING OF THE JEWS IS ALL OF IRAQ. Not only THAT, but every inch of land that is represented in the Israeli FLAG. The two blue lines with the Star of (so-called) House of David in the middle REPRESENT THE EUPHRATES RIVER AND THE TIGRIS RIVER. THERE COMES A LINE OF ACQUISITIONS, HOWEVER, THAT ARE VERY IMPORTANT, AND THAT IS THE MAJOR BIBLICAL CLAIM AND THAT IS HELD BY SADDAM HUSSEIN.]**

This would indicate, if reliable, that, as the Protocol goes on to recite, the Autocrat himself has not appeared, but the dynasty, or the Davidic line in which he must appear, have entrusted the work of preparing for him to the Wise Men of Zion. These wise men are represented not only as preparing those who exercise rulership over Judaism's affairs, but also as framing and influencing the world's thought toward ends which shall be propitious to these plans. Whatever may be hidden in the program, it is certain that its execution or the effects of its execution cannot be hidden. Therefore, it may be possible to find in the outer world the clues which, traced back to their source, reveal the existence of a program, whose promise for the world, good or bad, ought to be widely known.

[END OF QUOTING]

So, let's look at this and that which I last wrote about sequence. For things to be "fulfilled" upon your heads from the prophecies of those who wrote your play, the lands between the Euphrates and Tigris Rivers MUST BE IN THE CONTROL OF THE JEWISH HENCHMEN. It has to be or the prophecies and program get such a GLITCH that even the involved and inner-Jewish hierarchy would lose face if the program fails. The power play is over oil on the FACE OF IT but the REAL CAUSE is to acquire through force and power that particular land upon which sits, in deference to all you hear and are shown, a goodly, even GODLY man, and all the lies will not make it otherwise.

Indeed, world, YOUR WINDOW OF OPPORTUNITY IS "RIGHT NOW". Adonai.

## CHAPTER 11

REC #2 HATONN

THU., FEB. 26, 1998 8:42 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 194

THU., FEB. 26, 1998

### NOT THE *PROTOCOLS*, AGAIN!

Yep, again. This time, however, I honor Mr. Ford for he has written his essays and they are compiled and my own honor defends the entry of all of his work as presented. My comments are not his and this is the way of integrity. Mr. Ford has made his transition long before now and, therefore, I have to stand for his rights lest nobody stand for same.

Since I don't know any of you who can report the correct writings **BACK TO ME**, it means that you **NEED TO SEE AND HEAR, AGAIN, THE CONTENTS AND THE FOUNDATION UPON WHICH PROOF OF VALIDITY IS OFFERED--YES, AGAIN.**

### TODAY IN WAR-LAND

The followers and planners base their **PLAN 2000** on the Protocols and utilize "Jewish" *Talmudic LAWS* and human Jewish guidelines. You can see these **AT WORK** in their most disgusting format--**TODAY**.

The **SHOUTS FOR WAR** and murder of Saddam and death to a nation (Iraq) are heating up, not going away, in the circles where the "red buttons" are handy. The work of Annan is being negated and any good in the agreements **IS BEING GIVEN OVER TO MADELEINE ALBRIGHT'S WORK**. I suggest you rerun the picture of Maddie with this as a caption [**picture next page**].



Make sure Ms. Albright's picture is affixed; you know, that Secretary of State, U.S.A. who "**didn't know she was Jewish**".

The assumption is that all nations who would rise to defend Iraq **AT THIS PARTICULAR TIME** could not win anything but can be wiped out with the Big Boys' **WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION--easily**.

I cannot urge you enough to act TIMELY. This is getting more serious than it was prior to Annan's visit. Remember, there doesn't have to be agreement with the UN--just Billy's signature and he is getting weaker and weaker by the moment.

Let us, Dharma, move on with our writing so that we don't delay others in their work-load.

[QUOTING:] PART 12, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

#### AN INTRODUCTION TO THE "JEWISH *PROTOCOLS*"

The documents most frequently mentioned by those who are interested in the theory of Jewish World Power rather than in the actual operation of that power in the world today, are those 24 documents known as *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*.

The *Protocols* have attracted much attention in Europe, having become the center of an important storm of opinion in England only recently, but discussion of them in the United States has been limited. These are the documents concerning which the Department of Justice was making inquiries more than a year ago, and which were given publication in London by Eyre and Spottiswoode, the official printers to the British Government.

Who it was that first entitled these documents with the name of the "elders of Zion" is not known. It would be possible without serious mutilation of the documents to remove all hint of Jewish authorship, and yet retain all the main points of the most comprehensive program for world subjugation that has ever come to public knowledge.

Yet it must be said that thus to eliminate all hint of Jewish authorship would be to bring out a number of contradictions which do not exist in the *Protocols* in their present form. The purpose of the plan revealed in the *Protocols* is to undermine all authority in order that a new authority in the form of autocracy may be set up. Such a plan could not emanate from a ruling class which already possessed authority, although it might emanate from anarchists. But anarchists do not avow autocracy as the ultimate condition they seek. The authors might be conceived as a company of French Subversives such as existed at the time of the French Revolution and had the infamous Duc d'Orleans as their leader, but this would involve a contradiction between the fact that those Subversives have passed away, and the fact that the program announced in these Protocols is being steadily carried out, not only in France, but throughout Europe, and very noticeably in the United States.

In their present form, which bears evidence of being their original form, there is no contradiction. The allegation of Jewish authorship seems essential to the consistency of the plan.

If these documents were the forgeries which Jewish apologists claim them to be, the forgers would probably have taken pains to make Jewish authorship so clear that their anti-Semitic purpose could easily have been detected. But only twice is the term "Jew" used in them. **[H: And that, you see, dates the translation.]** After one has read much further than the average reader usually cares to go into such matters, one comes upon the plans for the establishment of the World Autocrat, and only then it is made clear of what lineage he is to be.

But all through the documents there is left no doubt as to the people against whom the plan is aimed. It is not aimed against aristocracy as such. It is not aimed against capital as such. It is not aimed against government as such. Very definite provisions are made for the enlistment of

aristocracy, capital and government for the execution of the plan. It is aimed against the people of the world who are called "Gentiles". It is the frequent mention of "Gentiles" that really decides the purpose of the documents. Most of the destructive type of "liberal" plans aim at the enlistment of the people as helpers; this plan aims at the degeneration of the people in order that they may be reduced to confusion of mind and thus manipulated. Popular movements of a "liberal" kind are to be encouraged; all the disruptive philosophies in religion, economics, politics and domestic life are to be sown and watered, for the purpose of so disintegrating social solidarity that a definite plan, herein set forth, may be put through without notice, and the people then molded to it when the fallacy of these philosophies is shown.

The formula of speech is not, "We Jews will do this," but "The Gentiles will be made to think and do these things." With the exception of a few instances in the closing Protocols, the only distinctive racial term used is "Gentiles".

**[H: BUT REMEMBER THIS IMPORTANT FACT. THERE ARE ONLY "JEWS" AND "GENTILES" AS DESCRIBED IN THE DEFINITIONS OF THE "JEWS". THIS INCLUDES ALL PEOPLE, ALL RACES, ALL CREEDS, ALL CITIZENS--THERE ARE ONLY "JEWS" AND "GENTILES".]**

To illustrate: the first indication of this kind comes in the first Protocol in this way:

"The great qualities of the people--honesty and frankness--are essentially vices in politics, because they dethrone more surely and more certainly than does the strongest enemy. These qualities are attributes of Gentile rule; we certainly must not be guided by them."

And again:

"On the ruins of the hereditary aristocracy of the Gentiles we have set up the aristocracy of our educated class, and over all the aristocracy of money. We have established the basis of this new aristocracy on the basis of riches, which we control, and on the science guided by our wise men."

Again:

"We will force up wages, which however will be of no benefit to workers, for we at the same time will cause a rise in the prices of prime necessities, pretending that this is due to the decline of agriculture and of cattle raising. We will also artfully and deeply undermine the sources of production by instilling in the workmen ideals of anarchy and encourage them in the use of alcohol, at the same time taking measures to drive all the intellectual forces of the Gentiles from the land."

(A forger with anti-Semitic malice might have written this any time within the last five years, but these words were in print at least 14 years ago according to British evidence, a copy having been in the British Museum since 1906, and they were circulated in Russia a number of years prior.)

The above point continues: "That the true situation shall not be noticed by the Gentiles prematurely we will mask it by a pretended effort to serve the working classes and promote great economic principles, for which an active propaganda will be carried on through our economic theories."



These quotations will illustrate the style of the Protocols in making reference to the parties involved. It is "we" for the writers, and "Gentiles" for those who are being written about. This is brought out very clearly in the Fourteenth Protocol:

**"In this divergence between Gentiles and ourselves in ability to think and reason is to be seen clearly the seal of our election as the chosen people, as higher human beings, in contrast with the Gentiles who have merely instinctive and animal minds. They observe, but they do not foresee, and they invent nothing (except perhaps material things). It is clear from this that Nature herself predestined us to rule and guide the world."**

This, of course, has been the Jewish method of dividing humanity from the earliest times. The world was only Jew and Gentile; all that was not Jew was Gentile.

The use of the word Jew in the Protocol may be illustrated by this passage in the eighty section:

**"For the time being, until it will be safe to give responsible government positions to our brother Jews, we shall entrust them to people whose past and whose characters are such that there is an abyss between them and the people." [H: Poor old Billy Zipper and Hillarious.]**

This is the practice known as *using "Gentile fronts"* which is extensively practiced in the financial world today in order to cover up the evidences of Jewish control. How much progress has been made since these words were written is indicated by the occurrence at the San Francisco convention when the name of Judge Brandeis was proposed for President. It is reasonably to be expected that the public mind will be made more and more familiar with the idea of Jewish occupancy--which will be really a short step from the present degree of influence which the Jews exercise--of the highest office in the government. There is no function of the American Presidency in which the Jews have not already secretly assisted in a very important degree. Actual occupancy of the office is not necessary to enhance their power, but to promote certain things which parallel very closely the plans outlined in the Protocols now before us.

Another point which the reader of the *Protocols* will notice is that the tone of exhortation is entirely absent from these documents. They are not propaganda. They are not efforts to stimulate the ambitions or activity of those to whom they are addressed. They are as cool as a legal paper and as matter-of-fact as a table of statistics. There is none of the "Let us rise, my brothers" stuff about them. There is no "down with the Gentiles" hysteria. These *Protocols*, if indeed they were made by Jews and confided to Jews, or if they do contain certain principles of a Jewish World Program, were certainly not intended for the firebrands but for the carefully prepared and tested initiates of the higher groups.

Jewish apologists have asked, "Is it conceivable that if there were such a world program on the part of the Jews, they would reduce it to writing and publish it?" But there is no evidence that these *Protocols* were ever uttered otherwise than in spoken words by those who put them forth. The *Protocols* as we have them are apparently the notes of lectures which were made by someone who heard them. Some of them are lengthy; some of them are brief. The assertion which has always been made in connection with the *Protocols* since they have become known is that they are the notes of lectures delivered to Jewish students, presumably somewhere in France or Switzerland. The attempt to make them appear to be of Russian origin is absolutely forestalled by the point of view, the

references to the times and certain grammatical indications.

The tone certainly fits the supposition that they were originally lectures given to students, for their purpose is clearly *not to get a program accepted but to give information concerning a program* which is represented as being ***already in process of fulfillment***. There is no invitation to join forces or to offer opinions. Indeed it is specifically announced that neither discussion nor opinions are desired. ("While preaching liberalism to the Gentiles, we shall hold our own people and our own agents in unquestioning obedience." "The scheme of administration must emanate from a single brain... Therefore, we may *know* the plan of action, but we must ***not discuss it***, lest we destroy its unique character... The inspired work of our leader therefore must not be thrown before a crowd to be torn to pieces, or even before a limited group.")

Moreover, taking the *Protocols* at their face value, it is evident that the program outlined in these lecture notes was not a new one at the time the lectures were given. There is no evidence of its being of recent arrangement. There is almost the tone of a tradition, or a religion, in it all, as if it had been handed down from generation to generation through the medium of specially trusted and initiated men. There is no note of new discovery or fresh enthusiasm in it, but the certitude and calmness of facts long known and policies long confirmed by experiment.

This point of the age of the program is touched upon at least twice in the *Protocols* themselves. In the First Protocol this paragraph occurs:

**"Already in ancient times we were the first to shout the words, 'Liberty, Equality, Fraternity', among the people. These words have been repeated many times since by unconscious poll-parrots [H: Just like "weapons of mass destruction--Iraq and Saddam Hussein".), flocking from all sides to this bait, with which they have ruined the prosperity of the world and true personal freedom... The presumably clever and intelligent Gentiles did not understand the symbolism of the uttered words; did not observe their contradiction in meaning; did not notice that in Nature there is no equality..."**

The other reference to the program's finality is found in the Thirteenth Protocol:

**"Questions to policy, however, are permitted to no one except those who have originated the policy and have directed it for many centuries."**

Can this be a reference to a secret Jewish Sanhedrin, self-perpetuating within a certain Jewish caste from generation to generation?

Again, it must be said that the originators and directors here referred to cannot be at present any ruling caste, for all that the program contemplates is directly to the interests of such a caste. It cannot refer to any national aristocratic group, like the Junkers of Germany, for the methods which are proposed are the very ones which would render powerless such a group. It cannot refer to any but a people who have no government, who have everything to gain and nothing to lose, and who can keep themselves intact amid a crumbling world. There is only one group that answers that description.

Again, a reading of the *Protocols* makes it clear that the speaker himself was not seeking for honor. There is a complete absence of personal ambition throughout the document. All plans and purposes and expectations are merged in the future of Israel, which future, it would seem, can only

be secured by the subtle breaking down of certain world ideas held by the Gentiles. The *Protocols* speak of what has been done, what was being done at the time these words were given, and what remained to be done. Nothing like them in completeness of detail, in breadth of plan and in deep grasp of the hidden springs of human action has ever been known. They are verily terrible in their mastery of the secrets of life, equally terrible in their consciousness of that mastery. Truly they would merit the opinion which Jews have recently cast upon them, that they were the work of an inspired madman, were it not that what is written in the *Protocols* in words is also written upon the life of today in deeds and tendencies.

The criticisms which these *Protocols* pass upon the Gentiles for their stupidity are just. It is impossible to disagree with a single item in the *Protocols'* description of Gentile mentality and venality. Even the most astute of the Gentile thinkers have been fooled into receiving as the motions of progress what has only been insinuated into the common human mind by the most insidious systems of propaganda.

It is true that here and there a thinker has arisen to say that science so-called was not science at all. It is true that here and there a thinker has arisen to say that the so-called economic laws both of conservatives and radicals were not laws at all, but artificial inventions. It is true that occasionally a keen observer has asserted that the recent debauch of luxury and extravagance was not due to the natural impulses of the people at all, but was systematically stimulated, foisted upon them by design. It is true that a few have discerned that more than half of what passes for "public opinion" is mere hired applause and booning and has never impressed the public mind.

But even with these clues here and there, for the most part disregarded, there has never been enough continuity and collaboration between those who were awake to follow all the clues to their source. The chief explanation of the hold which the *Protocols* have had on many of the leading statesmen of the world for several decades is that they explain whence all these false influences come and what their purpose is. They give a clue to the modern maze. It is now time for the people to know. And whether the *Protocols* are judged as proving anything concerning the Jews or not, they constitute an education in the way the masses are turned about like sheep by influences which they do not understand. It is almost certain that once the principles of the *Protocols* are known widely and understood by the people, the criticism which they now rightly make of the Gentile mind will no longer hold good.

It is the purpose of future articles in this series to study these documents and to answer out of their contents all the questions that may arise concerning them.

Before that work is begun, one question should be answered--"*Is there a likelihood of the program of the Protocols being carried through to success?*" **THE PROGRAM IS SUCCESSFUL ALREADY!** In many of its most important phases it is already a reality. But this need not cause alarm, for the chief weapon to be used against such a program, both in its completed and uncompleted parts, is clear publicity. Let the people KNOW. Arousing the people, alarming the people, appealing to the passions of the people is the method of the plan outlined in the *Protocols*. ***The antidote is merely ENLIGHTENING the people.***

That is the only purpose of these articles. Enlightenment dispels prejudice. It is as desirable to dispel the prejudice of the Jew as of the Gentile. Jewish writers too frequently assume that the prejudice is all on one side. The *Protocols* themselves ought to have the widest circulation **among the Jewish people, in order that they may check those things which are bringing suspicion upon their name.**

Issue of July 24, 1920

[END OF QUOTING]

We have been interrupted in our work to have delivered an interesting paper pulled from some Catholic records which pertains to that touted "mark of the beast". This is actually a "commercial" code which is planned to come in with the New World Order. There is going to be a major thrust by such as the Christian churches and the Catholic (not (c)atholics) to REFUSE THE NUMBER. Readers, there is no "*refusing*" the "*NUMBER*". You, after all, do not even know what the heck the "number" might be--it is only speculation to scare you to death and fulfill the false prophecies and move them into true prophecy.

If you can't live without the number--then use the number. A "number" has NOTHING AT ALL TO DO WITH GOOD OR EVIL. YOU DO NOT NEED BECOME THE ADVERSARY TO LIVE. THAT IS UP TO YOU AND YOUR SOUL CHOICES, NUMBERS OR NO NUMBERS. STOP THE SILLY SUPERSTITIONS WHICH SET YOU APART AND POINT OUT YOUR ATTITUDE AS SURELY AS BEING PAINTED PURPLE WITH GREEN HAIR AND BEING TEN FEET TALL IN AN AREA WHERE 5'2" IS THE MEDIUM OF HEIGHT. REFUSAL TO PLAY IN THEIR GAME WILL GET YOU DEADED, **NOTHING MORE**. DON'T BE STUPID AND KEEP IT SIMPLE!

[QUOTING:]

METROPOLITAN AREA OF SAN PAULO  
METROPOLIS 90-01200-8  
60-403,021-01000, PAULO

(CONFERENCE OF JUNE 26, 1994  
BY POPE JOHN PAUL II)

[Typist comment: This page comes via FAX from South Africa and some of the letters and numbers are not completely "formed". I will do the best I can but, please, if you are looking up confirmation by document number, do try several different similarly printed numbers before giving up, e.g. the second number above may begin with "5" instead of "6". Even with strong magnifiers it is unclear so I use the most visible possibility.]

**[H: I suggest you not go off the deep end about this, readers. The so-called "Holy" Roman Catholic Church has been controlled by this Elite element since upstart and the reason for the Catholic code to disallow any research into OTHER religions was to stop members from fleeing by being in realization of TRUTH. Note the full cooperation of the current Pope and note, again, that the Bibles as written by the Talmudic Zionists are the basis of religious material dumped on you the blind. The term for universal is (c)atholic, so just hold it steady in your minds. Also note that this Pope, I remind you, is THE MAN who sold cyanide gas to the German detention camps during the second World War. The POPE was, and is, JUST A MAN, with feet of clay like any OTHER MAN. Use WISDOM, not hysteria, in dealing with any and all information--enlightenment comes with KNOWING and not with shouting and opinionating.]**

Herein below are the 16 Articles of the Roman Catholic Church which were published in the

meeting presided by Pope John Paul II in the conference of June 26, 1994 held in Kenya, Africa.

This document: Sixteen Articles of the Church will be presented again in all countries of the world officially during the Pope's visit to the Philippines by next year [assuming this to be from this year and thus: 1999] for immediate implementation in the very near future. **[H: We have to assume it was not from 1994 which would have indicated 1995, already long gone without this implementation.]**

#### Article

- 1 Nobody from now will have an ACCESS to the postal service without the number.
- 2 Nobody will be EMPLOYED in any factory or office unless they have the number.
- 3 No student shall be REGISTERED in any school or university without the students or the parents having the number.
- 4 No one shall be able to SELL OR BUY food nor shall they be able to PLANT trees or vegetables without the number.
- 5 Every soul that will not CONFORM to this social part will not be able to BUY or SELL or MAKE business without the number. **[H: SOUL??? BS, they can force the brain of physical person--they cannot force a SOUL in the hands of GOD.]**
- 6 No one shall be able to DEPOSIT OR WITHDRAW money or TRANSACT BUSINESS in any bank without the number. **[H: Well, now we do know where this atrocity of injustice originates.]**
- 7 If anyone does not RECOGNIZE or DENIES the articles of the union shall be severely punished with DEATH. **[H: This is always the primary threat of the New World Order. Facts are if you refuse the number or whatever they are talking about--you can't survive in the physical anyway.]**
- 8 Any person who does not have the number shall not be permitted to TRAVEL here or abroad. **[H: From WHERE to WHERE?]**
- 9 The ECUMENICAL CHURCHES **[H: The WHO?]** shall be decided to hold services upon the approval of the number. **[H: Now, this is clear as mud.]**
- 10 The IMPORTATION and EXPORTATION of any goods or products shall be carried out only according to their number. **[H: So far I haven't seen ANYTHING that differs from what you ALREADY have going.]**
- 11 There shall be an INTERNATIONAL MONETARY SYSTEM THAT WILL BE IMPLEMENTED UPON THE APPROBATION OF THE NUMBER. **[H: WOW, and what else do you have now? Have YOU really tried to do business with the Banksters?]**
- 12 All the CHURCHES OF THE WORLD shall unite in one day (Sunday) according to the number.
- 13 POVERTY shall be changed to a better life if you have the number. **[H: Then pick up your number at the local desk, readers. We only deal in SOULS here and a number has no impact whatsoever on your SOUL. I will repeat it until you understand it.]**
- 14 No one shall be permitted to HUNT for food nor catch fish in the sea, lake or ocean without the number. **[H: I note you already have to have a "number" called a license for these activities in almost ALL PLACES.]**
- 15 ALL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS of the world will be allowed to operate only according to the number. **[H: Same thing--already done.]**

**16** No person shall be admitted to any HOSPITAL or any HEALING INSTITUTION without the number. **[H: Oh, and you THINK you don't already have this also?]**

(SGD) POPE JOHN PAUL II

REPRINTED FROM THE ORIGINAL FORM WITH PERMISSION, YEAR 1994.

**[H: What "original form" and WHAT and WHOSE PERMISSION?]**

---

**[H: Now comes the clincher:]**

Note: PLEASE READ!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

DO NOT RECEIVE THE MARK OF COMPUTER BARCODE WHICH IS 666 (Ref: Rev 13:1-18) **[H: Oh Eee-GADS! This must have come from good old Zionist Jerry Falwell.]**

*Weekly World News*, Feb. 1, 1994, Canada. (Rev. 14:7-12, 162) **[H: Well, worse than Jerry Falwell!]**

[END OF QUOTING]

Readers: WISDOM, WISDOM, WISDOM! Stop your nonsense, open your minds IN WISDOM!

Go take a rest for we still have so much work to do as to boggle even myself at the task before us. Adonai.

CHAPTER 12

REC #3 HATONN

THU., FEB. 26, 1998 2:13 P.M. YR. 11, DAY 194

THU., FEB. 26, 1998

[QUOTING:] PART 13, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

"JEWISH" ESTIMATE OF GENTILE  
HUMAN NATURE

"Upon completing *this program of our present and future actions*, I will read to you the principles of these theories. "--Protocol 16.

"In all that I have discussed with you hitherto, I have endeavored *to indicate carefully the secrets of past and future events and of those momentous occurrences of the near future toward which we are rushing in a stream of great crises*, anticipating the hidden principles of future relationships with the Gentiles and our financial operations."--Protocol 22.

The *Protocols*, which profess themselves to be an outline of the Jewish World Program, are found upon analysis to contain four main divisions. These, however, are not marked in the structure of the documents, but in the thought. There is a fifth, if the object of it all is included, but this object is assumed throughout the *Protocols*, being only here and there defined in terms. And the four main divisions are great trunks from which there are numerous branches.

There is first what is alleged to be the Jewish conception of human nature, by which is meant Gentile nature. It is inconceivable that such a plan as that which the *Protocols* set forth could have been evolved by a mind that had not previously based the probability of success on a certain estimate of the ignobility and corruptibility of human nature--which all through the *Protocols* is referred to as Gentile nature.

Then, secondly, there is the account of what has already been accomplished in the realization of the program--things actually done.

Thirdly, there is a complete instruction in the methods to be used to get the program still further fulfilled--methods which would themselves supply the estimate of human nature upon which the whole fabric is based, if there were nothing else to indicate it.

Fourth, the *Protocols* contain in detail some of the achievements which, at the time these words were uttered, were yet to be made. Some of these desired things have been achieved in the meantime, for it should be borne in mind that between the year 1905 and the year 1920 there has been time to set many influences in motion and attain many ends. **[H: So, just imagine an additional 78 years to have success.]** As the second quotation at the head of this article would indicate, the speaker knew that events were "rushing in a stream of great crises", a knowledge which is amply attested by Jewish sources outside the *Protocols*.

If this series of articles represented a special pleading upon the Jewish Question, the present article would seek to win the reader's confidence by presenting first the set of facts which are

described under "secondly" in the above list of main divisions. To begin with the estimate of human nature here disclosed is to court alienation of the reader's interest, especially if the reader be a Gentile. We know from abundant sources what the Jewish estimate of human nature is, and it tallies in all respects with what is disclosed in the Protocols, but it has always been one of the fallacies of Gentile thought that human nature is, now, full of dignity and nobility. There is little question, when the subject is considered in all its lights, that the Jewish conception is right. And so far as these Protocols are concerned, their low estimate of mankind, though harsh to human pride and conceit, are very largely true.

Just to run through the Protocols and select the salient passages in which this view is expressed is to find a pretty complete philosophy of the motives and qualities of human beings.

Take these words from the **First Protocol**:

"It should be noted that people with evil instincts are more numerous than those with good ones; therefore, the best results in governing them are attained by intimidation and violence, and not by academic argument. Every man aims for power; everyone desires to be a dictator, if possible; moreover, few would not sacrifice the good of others to attain their own ends.

"People in masses and people of the masses are guided by exceptionally shallow passions, beliefs, customs, traditions and sentimental theories and are inclined toward party divisions, a fact which prevents any form of agreement, even when this is founded on a thoroughly logical basis. Every decision of the mob depends upon an accidental or prearranged majority, which, owing to its ignorance of the mysteries of political secrets, gives expression to absurd decisions that introduce anarchy into government.

"In working out an expedient plan of action, it is necessary to take into consideration the meanness, the vacillation, the changeability of the crowd... It is necessary to realize that the force of the masses is blind, unreasoning and unintelligent, prone to listen now to the right, and now to the left...

"Our triumph has also been made easier because, in our relations with the people necessary to us, we have always played upon the most sensitive strings of the human mind--on calculation, greed, and the insatiable material desires of men. Each of these human weaknesses, taken separately, is capable of paralyzing initiative and placing the will of the people at the disposal of the purchaser of their activities."

In the **Fifth Protocol**, this shrewd observation on human nature is to be found:

"In all times, nations as well as individuals, accepted words for acts. They have been satisfied by what is shown them, rarely noticing whether the promise has been followed by fulfillment. For this reason we will organize 'show' institutions which will conspicuously display their devotion to progress."

And this from the **Eleventh Protocol**:

"The Gentiles are like a flock of sheep... they will close their eyes to everything because we will promise them to return all the liberties taken away, after the enemies of peace have been subjugated and all the parties pacified. Is it worth while to speak of how long they will have to wait? For what have we conceived all this program and instilled its measures into the minds of the Gentiles without



giving them the possibility of examining its underside, if it is not for the purpose of attaining by circuitous methods that which is unattainable to our scattered race by a direct route?"

Notice also this very shrewd observation upon the "joiners" of secret societies--this estimate being made by the *Protocols* to indicate how easily these societies may be used to further the plan:

"Usually it is the climbers, careerists and people, generally speaking, who are not serious, who most readily join secret societies, and we shall find them easy to handle and through them operate the mechanism of our projected machine."

The remarks under this head are curtailed by the present writer, because the *Protocols* make reference to a very important special order, the mention of whose name in this connection might lead to misunderstanding, and which is therefore reserved for future and fuller attention. It will, however, be of interest to the members of that order to see what the *Protocols* have to say of it, and then to check up the facts and see how far they correspond with the words.

To continue: "The Gentiles join lodges out of curiosity or in the hope that through them they may worm their way into social distinction... We therefore give them this success so that we can take advantage of the self-conceit to which it gives birth and because of which people unconsciously accept our suggestions without examination... You cannot imagine to what an extent the most intelligent Gentiles may be brought to a state of unconscious naivete under conditions of self-deceit, and how easy it is to discourage them by the least failure, even the stopping of applause, or to bring them into a state of servile subjection for the sake of regaining it. The Gentiles are as ready to sacrifice their plans for the sake of popular success as our people are to ignore success for the sake of carrying out our plans. This psychology of theirs facilitates the task of directing them."

These are a few of the passages in which this estimate of human or Gentile nature is made out in words. But even if it were not so baldly stated, it could be easily inferred from various items in the program which was depended upon to break up Gentile solidarity and strength.

The method is one of disintegration. Break up the people into parties and sects. Sow abroad the most promising and utopian of ideas and you will do two things: you will always find a group to cling to each idea you throw out; and you will find this partisanship dividing and estranging the various groups. The authors of the *Protocols* show in detail how this is to be done. Not one idea, but a mass of ideas are to be thrown out, and there is to be no unity among them. The purpose is not to get the people thinking one thing, but to think so diversely about so many different things that there will be no unity among them. The result of this will be vast disunity, vast unrest--and that is the result aimed for.

When once the solidarity of Gentile society is broken up--and the name "Gentile society" is perfectly correct, for human society is overwhelmingly Gentile--then this solid wedge of another idea which is not at all affected by the prevailing confusion can make its way unsuspectedly to the place of control. It is well enough known that a body of 20 trained police or soldiers can accomplish more than a disordered mob of a thousand persons. So the minority initiated into the plan can do more with a nation or a world broken into a thousand antagonistic parties, than any of the parties could do. "Divide and rule" is the motto of the *Protocols*.

The division of society is perfectly easy, according to the estimate of human nature made in these documents. It is human nature to take promises for acts. No one who considered the list of dreams and vagaries and theories that have swayed the people through the centuries can doubt this.

The more utopian, the more butterfly-like the theory, the more it commands public adherence. Just as the *Protocols* say, Gentile society does not scrutinize the origin or the consequences of the theories it adopts. When a theory makes its appeal to the mind, the tendency is to believe that the mind which receives it always had it in essence, and therefore the experience has all the glow of original discovery.

In this manner, theory after theory has been exploited among the masses, theory after theory has been found to be impracticable and has been discarded, but the result is precisely that which the program of the *Protocols* aims for--with the discarding of each theory, society is a little more broken than it was before. It is a little more helpless before its exploiters. It is a little more confused as to where to look for leadership. As a consequence society falls an easy victim again to a theory which promises it the good it seeks, and the failure of this theory leaves it still more broken. There is no longer any such thing as public opinion. Distrust and division are everywhere. And in the midst of the confusion everyone is dimly aware that there is a higher group that is not divided at all, but is getting exactly what it wants by means of the confusion that obtains all around. It will be shown, as claimed by the *Protocols*, that most of the disruptive theories abroad in the world today are of Jewish origin; it will also be shown that the one solid unbroken group in the world today, the group that knows where it wants to go and is going there regardless of the condition of society, is the Jewish group.

The most dangerous theory of all is that which explains the rise of theories and the social break-up which follows them. These are all "symptoms of progress" we are told. If so, then "progress" is toward dissolution. NO one can predicate the fact of "progress" on the ground that, whereas our fathers made the wheels to go round with the blowing wind or the running water, we make them go round by successive small explosions of gasoline. The question of "progress" is: Where are the wheels taking us? Was windmill and water wheel society better or worse than the present society? Was it more unified in its morality? Did it more highly respect law, did it produce a higher and sturdier type of character?

The modern theory of "ferment", that out of all the unrest and change and transvaluation of values a new and better mankind is to be evolved is not borne out by any fact on the horizon. It is palpably a theory whose purpose is to make a seeming good out of that which is undeniable evil. The theories which *cause the disruption* and the theory which *explains the disruption* as good, come from the same source. The whole science of economics, conservative and radical, capitalistic and anarchistic, is of Jewish origin. This is another of the announcements of the *Protocols* which the facts confirm.

Now, all this is accomplished, not by acts, but by words. The *word-brokers* of the world, those who wish words to do duty for things, in their dealings with the world outside their class, are undoubtedly the Jewish group--the international Jews with which these articles deal--and their philosophy and practice are precisely set forth in the *Protocols*.

Take for illustration these passages: The first is from the **First Protocol**:

"Political freedom is an *idea, not a fact*. It is necessary to know how to apply this idea when there is need of a clever bait to gain the support of the people for one's party, if such a party has undertaken to defeat another party already in power. This task is made easier if the opponent has himself been infected by principles of freedom or so-called liberalism, and for the sake of the idea will yield some of his own power."

Or consider this from the **Fifth Protocol**:

"To obtain control over public opinion, *it is first necessary to confuse it by the expression from various sides of so many conflicting opinions* that the Gentiles will lose themselves in the labyrinth and come to understand that it is best to have no opinion on political questions, which it is not given to society at large to understand but only to the ruler who directs society. This is the first secret. [**H: And oh boy, I think you can see in these days of Zipper-gate and Iraq--that you can't find anything else on the news channels and you are so swamped by the incredible boring continuation of the same mouths and chosen "JEWISH" commentators doing sillies, that you are hardly able to stand the physical assault on your digestive system. THIS IS THE PRIMARY STANCE FOR MOVING INTO HYPNOSIS AND IT DOESN'T TAKE ROCKET SCIENTISTS TO UNDERSTAND IT.**]

"The second secret consists in so increasing and intensifying the shortcomings of the people in their habits, passions and mode of living that no one will be able to collect himself in the chaos and, consequently, people will lose all their mutual understanding. This measure will serve us also in breeding disagreement in all parties, in disintegrating all those collective forces which are still unwilling to submit to us and in discouraging all personal initiative which can in any way interfere with our undertaking."

And this from the **Thirteenth Protocol**:

"...and you may also notice that *we seek approval, not for our acts, but for our words* uttered in regard to one or another question. We always announce publicly that we are guided in all our measures by the hope and the conviction that we are serving the *general good*."

"To divert over-restless people from discussing political questions, we shall now bring forward new problems apparently connected with the people--problems of industry. In these, let them lose themselves as much as they like. Under such conditions we shall make them think that the new questions have also a political bearing."

(It is to be hoped that the reader, as his eye passes over these details of the Program, is also permitting his mind to pass over the trend of events, to see if he may detect for himself these very developments in the life and thought of the past few years.)

"To prevent them from really thinking out anything themselves, we shall deflect their attention to amusements, games, pastimes, excitements and people's palaces. Such interests will distract their minds completely from questions on which we might be obliged to struggle with them. Becoming less and less accustomed to independent thinking, people will express themselves in unison with us because we alone offer new lines of thought--of course through persons whom they do not consider as in any way connected with us."

In this same Protocol it is plainly stated what is the purpose of the output of "liberal" theories, of which Jewish writers, poets, rabbis, societies and influence are the most prolific sources:

**"The role of the liberal Utopians will be completely played out when our government is recognized.** Until that time they will perform good service. For that reason *we will continue to direct thought into all the intricacies of fantastic theories, new and supposedly progressive.* Surely we have been completely successful in turning the witless heads of the Gentiles by the word 'progress' ."

Here is the whole program of confusing, enervating and trivializing the minds of the world. And it would be a most outlandish thought to put into words, were it not possible to show that this is just what has been done, and is still being done, by agencies which are highly lauded and easy to be identified among us.

A recent writer in a prominent magazine has pointed out what he calls the impossibility of the Jewish ruling group being allied in one common World Program because, as he showed, there were Jews acting as the leading minds in all the divisions of present-day opinion. There were Jews at the head of the capitalists, Jews at the head of the labor unions, and Jews at the head of those more radical organization which find even the labor unions too tame. There is a Jew at the head of the judiciary of England and a Jew at the head of Sovietism in Russia. How can you say, he asked, that they are united, when they represent so many points of view?

The common unity, the possible common purpose of it all, is thus expressed in the **Ninth Protocol**:

"People of all opinions and of all doctrines are at our service, restorers of monarchy, demagogues, Socialists, Communists and other Utopians. We have put them all to work. Every one of them from his point of view is undermining the last remnant of authority, is trying to overthrow all existing order. All the governments have been tormented by these actions. **But we will not give them peace until they recognize our super-government.**"

The function of the idea is referred to in the **Tenth Protocol** also:

"When we introduced the poison of liberalism into the government organism, its entire political complexion changed." [**H: Organism of...? This is WHY we call this series of journals and writings, GLOBAL PARASITES.**]

The whole outlook of these *Protocols* upon the world is that the *idea* may be made a most potent poison. The authors of these documents do not believe in liberalism, they do not believe in democracy, but they lay plans for the constant preaching of these ideas because of their power to break up society, to divide it into groups, to destroy the power of collective opinion through a variety of convictions. The poison of an idea is their most relied-on weapon.

The plan of this using ideas extends to education:

"We have misled, stupefied and demoralized the youth of the Gentiles by means of education in principles and theories, patently false to us, but which we have inspired."--**Protocol 9**.

It extends also to family life:

"Having in this way inspired everybody with the thought of his own importance, we will break down the influence of family life among the Gentiles, and its educational importance."--**Protocol 10**.

And in a passage which might well provide the material for long examination and contemplation by the thoughtful reader, this is said:

"Until the time is ripe, let them amuse themselves... *Let those theories of life which we have*

*induced them to regard as the dictates of science* play the most important role for them. To this end we shall endeavor to inspire blind confidence in these theories by means of our Press...

"Note the successes we have arranged in *Darwinism, Marxism, and Nietzscheism*. [**H: Not to mention such long side-trips into LA-LA Land as provided by Albert Einstein, now being PROVEN TOTALLY WRONG IN HIS THEORIES WHILE YOU LET THE VIPERS PERFECT THEIR PLANS AND SCHEMES.**] The demoralizing effect of these doctrines upon the minds of the Gentiles should be evident at least to us. "--**Protocol 2.**

That this disintegration and division of Gentile society was proceeding at a favorable rate when the *Protocols* were uttered is evident from every line of them. For it must be remembered that the *Protocols* are not bidding for support for a proposed program, but are announcing progress on a program which has been in process of fulfillment for "centuries" and "from ancient times". They contain a series of statements regarding things accomplished, as well as a forelook at things yet to be accomplished. The split of Gentile society was very satisfactorily proceeding in 1896, or thereabouts, when these oracles were uttered.

It is to be noticed that the purpose is nowhere stated to be the extermination of the Gentiles, but their subjugation, at first under the invisible rule which is proposed in these documents, at length under the rule of one whom the invisible forces would be able to put in control of the world through political changes which would create an office of world President or Autocrat. The Gentiles are to be subdued, first intellectually, as here shown, and then economically. Nowhere is it hinted that they are to be deprived of the Earth, but only of their independence of those whom the *Protocols* represent to be Jews.

How far the divisions of society had proceeded when these **Protocols** were given may be gathered from the **Fifth Protocol**:

"A world coalition of Gentiles could cope with us temporarily, but we are assured against this by roots of dissension among them so deep that they cannot be torn out. [**H: United Nations perhaps, sleepyheads?**] We have created antagonism between the personal and national interests of the Gentiles by arousing religious and race hatred which we have nourished in their hearts for twenty CENTURIES."

As far as that concerns the dissensions of the Gentiles or Christian world, it is absolutely true. And we have seen in our own nation how "the antagonism between personal and national interests" have rested on "religious and race hatreds". But whoever suspected a common source for these? More amazing still, who would expect any man or group to avow themselves the source? Yet it is thus written in the *Protocols*--" we have created the antagonism--we thus assure ourselves against the possibility of a Gentile coalition against us." And whether these *Protocols* are of Jewish origin or not, whether they represent Jewish interests or not, this is exactly the state of the world, of the Gentile world today.

But a still deeper division is aimed for, and there are signs of even this coming to pass. Indeed, in Russia it has already come to pass, *the spectacle of a Gentile lower class led by Jewish leaders against a Gentile upper class!* In the First Protocol, describing the effects of a speculative industrial system upon the people, it is said that this sort of economic folly--

"...has already created and will continue to create a society which is disillusioned, cold and heartless. [**H: Well, let me tell YOU, READERS, THIS HAS OCCURRED IN YOUR LIVES**

**TODAY.]** Such a society is completely estranged from politics and religion. Lust of gold will be the only guide of the people... THEN, not for the sake of good, nor even for the sake of riches, but solely on account of their hatred of the privileged classes, the lower classes of the Gentiles will follow us in the struggle against our rivals for power, the Gentiles of the intellectual classes."

"The lower classes of the Gentiles will follow us... against... the Gentiles of the intellectual classes. " **[H: If that doesn't strike terror in your hearts as you look around at progression of this PLAN, nothing will touch you, I fear.]**

If that struggle were to occur today, the leaders of the Gentile insurgents against Gentile society would be Jewish leaders. They are in the leader's place now--not only in Russia, but also in the United States. **[H: 78 years ago this was written. Frightening, isn't it?]**

*"There is all the difference in the world," said a young Jewish philosopher, "between an American Jew and a Jewish American. A Jewish American is a mere amateur Gentile, doomed to be a parasite forever."* The Conquering Jew, p. 91.

[END OF QUOTING]

I want you to take note of something very consequential taking place TODAY. There is a major push to move right on with bombing the daylights out of Iraq IN SPITE OF THE WORK OF KOFI ANNAN. They are probably going to end up getting his resignation, which would be devastating for any hope of freedom or peace. But, that and total disregard for world opinion--means get ready for WAR.

Secondly, pay attention to the news these days: There were 5 or 6 MOSSAD (Israel's secret intelligence) agents CAUGHT tapping, bugging and (??) a place in Berne, Switzerland. The purpose now has four responses: to tap into Iran's activities; to tap into Iraq in behalf of (??); something about checking out Hesbola [misspelled I'm confident]; and/or has something to do with the step-down of the head of Mossad this week. Equally ???.

PEOPLE, **ISRAEL WANTS WAR AND PLANS TO HAVE IT.** You had best get ready for the HOLOCAUST--for they will come again and strip you for all the dirt you do to them. No, I'm quite serious! Switzerland says they will prosecute them-- wanna bet?

There have, for over two decades, been more KGB agents and MOSSAD agents in the CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY than your own citizens--no matter of what nation. And this includes such incidents as in the O.J. Simpson matter. These are of the HIGHEST ORDER OF THUGS.

If you aren't about ready to totally panic--**THEN YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR CIRCUMSTANCES.**

Good afternoon, Adonai.

## CHAPTER 13

REC #1 HATONN

FRI., FEB. 27, 1998 7:53 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 195

FRI., FEB. 27, 1998

GLOBAL SCIENCES

There has come forth a statement that this set of tornadoes sweeping Florida was "AIMED AT GLOBAL SCIENCES MEETINGS" intentionally by HAARP to silence the IMPORTANT WORLD LEADERS OF PATRIOT AMERICA.

NO, no and no. There are no lingering patriots of America sunk into that organization. As long as such as George Green appears and mingles and the lies continue--the gathering has LOST ALL IMPORTANCE. This is sad because there are some such as Horowitz on microbes, etc., who are invaluable to establish some modicum of recourse for you-the-people through information and, possibly, product. Otherwise, it is a total waste of time and funds for it has been rendered useless long before tornados hit this week in Florida.

You people had better look to GOD without rosy glasses and with an open heart, never mind the prattling. GET WITH GOD, FOR YOU NEED NO SPEAKER IN YOUR BEHALF, NO CHURCH, NO CULT, NO SILLY BI-ANNUAL SEMINARS WHICH ATTRACT THE FRINGES. GLEAN WHAT YOU CAN IN TRUTH AND DISCARD THE FOOLISHNESS.

How do YOU know Green is lying--AGAIN? Well, if you tell one bunch that you were asked to be the Campaign Financial Person for Carter (this one that literally steals gold from his own company and from YOU)--NOW TELLS THEM IN FLORIDA THAT HE WAS ASKED TO BE FINANCIAL CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR FOR BUSH--you have a problem! Neither? Both? Will the truth please stand up. He also said he was close with Paul Volcker of the Federal Reserve before Greenspan--but Mr. Volcker NEVER HEARD OF HIM. George Green has been hooked up with Dean Stonier (Global Sciences) for a very long time and did, in fact, bring Stonier to Tehachapi to meet ME. I KNOW OF THAT WHICH I SPEAK.

By the way, when Georgie took the gold and OTHER ASSETS to Nevada and was discovered, he also said that **"Hatonn had dumped Dharma and Ekkers, and had moved with him and Desiree (Druthea) to Carson City."** So, since I didn't move anywhere nor even let up on writing, I would suggest that surely, since I know who I am--I have nothing but a very good idea--who **MOVED WITH GOOD OLD GEORGE.**

"But, now just how can you back that up, Sir?" Because Bo Gritz called ME on the phone yet and asked, "How the hell many of you are there up there? George is claiming he is your spokesman and, and, and..." And Gritz? Oh he joined the *cute little devil*, along with Binder of US&P, et al.

Bo said something else quite interesting: "The one I'm concerned about is Desiree because she's like an Okie who don't know s--- from Shinola." Therefore, do NOT undersell Bo Gritz and stop overselling anything you get from George Green. And this is only the opinion of myself in a land of free speech in your own *Bill of Rights*.

These are examples of underlying things which may well save your lives. At one of the early conferences (Global Sciences) George Green came back reporting that someone there was selling "Tesla watches". They would take the back off and place a sticker reading "Tesla" on the face of a Casio CHEAP \$10.00 watch and close it up and sell it for \$50.00. George says he said to the man: "That is really bad." The man answered, "It's all in the mind anyway."

SO, I ASK YOU: *WHAT IS IT IN YOUR MIND?*

### SAME OLD NAMES AND SAME OLD FACES

I realize that you weary of hearing the same old things in this revolving world. What you want is to have everything you can dream of and also live on the ragged edge of criminal expectation. It is much like the old joke of the man getting married; he wanted a Saint, an angel, and yet he wanted that angel to turn into his private prostitute at 7:30 in the evening.

You are titillated by the zipper rasping but you refuse to look at what is truly behind the acts going on on the stage before your eyes. I am going to remind you ONE MORE TIME: ***THE WORLD PLAN 2000 ACCORDING TO THE PROTOCOLS OF ZION IS ALMOST FINISHED. DOES EVERYONE HEAR ME? ALMOST FINALIZED.***

You listen constantly to the news and even call in and place your opinions which flow in, say, an afternoon. Now, readers, are "most" citizens working in those hours of "opinion taking", or sleeping, or watching reruns wherein the calls were made earlier that day? How can polls be accurate when you only search and find the selected groups to get what you want? And then, the numbers are altered to suit the projections. The whole programs fall apart when random call-ins are counted and, according to the audience that day, poll numbers are in opposition. STOP IT! YOU CANNOT TRUST ANYTHING YOU ARE BEING SHOWN ON THESE PROGRAMS WHICH HAVE THE SAME PANEL GUESTS DAY AFTER DAY SPOUTING OFF ON ANYTHING FROM SEX ETHICS TO LEGAL MANIPULATIONS. AND TAKE NOTE: THEY ARE 100% JEWISH IF THEY ARE ON THE "CALL BACK" CIRCUIT.

Things do get caught by accidental stumbling, like the Mossad agents in Switzerland. But, how many do NOT get caught? That's right, LOTS. And, just let us consider the former head of the UN investigation team that precipitated this panic--the man was called a SPY and was AND IS, a SPY for guess who? That's right!

The Zionist Protocolists KNOW that they must have things under better control by the end of summer or there will be a delay in "take-over" timing. You have to have a WAR and it has to be in the Middle East, so, you have Iraq. The LIES pouring forth astound even myself in the very middle of your UN LEADER going and reporting back TRUTH.

And what of that zipper problem? Again, the same players are orchestrating the entire miserable and embarrassing (at least it should be) mess--again, through deceit, lies, half-truths, no truths, some truths, kinky grabbers, dirty "pool", zipper madness, and legal escapades that so point out the TYPICAL way the judicial system works that you wouldn't believe it if some of you had not EXPERIENCED IT. THOSE OPERATIONS IN WASHINGTON ARE ONLY DIFFERENT IN CONTENT THAN WHAT HAS HAPPENED TO MANY OF YOU FOR THE PAST DECADE. THERE IS NO "JUSTICE" IN THE "JUSTICE SYSTEM"--IT IS PURELY MANIPULATED ACCORDING TO THE WISHES OF OUTCOME.



I, further, am going to break some hearts here, but you are going to get NOWHERE SAVE JAIL AND THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS INPUT INTO THE DAVID MILLER ATTEMPTS TO TAME THE COURTS. **THE COURTS ARE READY FOR YOU--READY, WILLING AND ABLE TO BRING YOU IN AND TAKE YOU OUT. ASK DR. RON CARLSON, WHOM WE ALL KNOW, IN HAWAII.** Worse, when he was released from jail on "no charges" he found (was told) about the background check all the way back to the execution of Richard Snell in Arkansas and a letter he wrote asking compassion to Gov. Guy Tucker--newly acquired on exchange of [Tucker's] freedom for lies on Clinton.

The courts are fully set now to handle, as a FELONY OFFENSE, anyone who comes in proclaiming COMMON LAW DEMANDS OF THE COURT. The system will NOW pick you off like fleas.

Is this "right"? Of course not, and some may slip through the system as too unworthy to quarrel about--but it is not going to work in THIS SYSTEM. Even if you "win" according to the outlines of Miller--YOU HAVE LOST. IF YOU GET ALL THE WAY TO THE SUPREME COURT--THE CASE IS SIMPLY **SHELVED**.

If you are willing to go to jail for this "cause", fine, for it must mean you are focused on change in THAT arena. But, for instance, to have my typist in jail is not acceptable. Ekkers, for instance, with [if] funds available for attorneys, have EXCEPTIONALLY GOOD (OUTSTANDING) CAUSES FOR CONFRONTATION OF EACH PRIOR ACTION--IN COURT AS IT NOW SITS.

I KNOW that you do not know what to do when it "goes wrong" and the law nails you, because we continually live through the, "Oh God, what do I do now?" Remember, readers, you must stand ready for any and all consequences of whatever you do, for you are up against Satan in his living form. He is the PRINCE OF CONFUSION, DECEIT, AND LIES. You of God are totally unprepared, even at your BEST, to handle that opponent on HIS OWN TURF.

In all the Lewinsky affair have you noticed that after an introduction or two, Ms. Goldberg has been absent the fray? I guess so, for she is the fox in the henhouse.

Why doesn't Clinton or Reno fire Starr? Because Starr is the ONLY ONE STANDING BETWEEN THEIR OWN DEMISE AND THE HOUNDS OF HELL.

I don't want to spend time on it and I want you people to get accustomed to getting backup information to test everything I say to you. THAT IS GOOD INTELLIGENT WISDOM.

I will take time, however, to share an article which was requested to be copied and circulated from *SPOTLIGHT* from Feb. 16, 1998.

[QUOTING:]

PRIME MOVER FOUND  
IN LEWINSKY AFFAIR

[H: Oh indeed, you are going to find factions from the same intent playing their little "hands" on both sides of the table. Remember the goal is to gain control of the world--not check out and WD-40 Billy's zipper.]

*The names of the perpetrators of Clinton's*

*scandal-driven downfall are included as fingers are pointed in the direction of the Israel lobby.*

by George Nicholas, *Spotlight*

A veteran political *spy* and *undercover agent* has **been identified** as the prime mover behind the latest White House scandals, a *Spotlight* investigation has found.

Lucianne Goldberg, 62, a self-styled literary agent with what one acquaintance called "bone-deep" ethnic and emotional ties to Israel reportedly provided the technical equipment, the encouragement and the expectation of "six-figure" payoffs that set in motion a series of secret tape recordings of former White House intern Monica Lewinsky's phone chats with a trusted friend.

Those tapes, in which Lewinsky describes a sleazy sexual liaison with President Bill Clinton, and then complains of being pressured to lie about the affair under oath, form the core evidence in the sensational criminal case being pursued against Clinton and some of his closest cronies by Independent Counsel Kenneth Starr.

Mrs. Goldberg once worked for Murray Chotiner, the shadowy Nixon-era White House intelligence operative known for his links to the **MOSSAD**, Israel's secret service.

The finding that Mrs. Goldberg, who reportedly told friends she "masterminded" the latest Clinton scandals, has an unsavory background as an undercover agent in the service of a Zionist network linking the Nixon White House to the Mossad is a "startling discovery", intelligence sources say.

In the consensus of most expert observers, it raises new issues about the role played in the affair by the Israel lobby, the most powerful and ruthless alien interest pressure group in Washington.

Among them there are urgent questions about the actions of the hardline Israeli loyalists in the White House who have become disaffected over the president's *feud with the government of Israeli Prime Minister Binyamin Netanyahu*.

During his first administration, Clinton handed more key national security posts to **known dual loyalists than any of his predecessors. John Deutch became director of Central Intelligence [right out of Mossad]; Samuel Berger took over the White House National Security Council [right out of Mossad]; Martin Indyk--whose U.S. citizenship was then *only a few days old*--was made director of Middle Eastern affairs [right out of Mossad]; Dan Kurtzer took over a similar post at Madeleine Albright's State Department.**

The policies sponsored by these officials--often rigged to serve the ministate's interests above our own--demoralized the Washington intelligence establishment and exposed it to public disgrace. They also led to setbacks and conflicts all over the map, turning the administration's handling of foreign affairs into a "sorry mess", says Jimmie Thayer, a retired foreign service analyst who is writing a book about Clinton era diplomacy.

"If only as a successful politician, Clinton wants to break loose from this alien-directed, accident-prone praetorian guard in Washington," explained Thayer. "He wants to be his own master, especially since the main advantage he hoped to gain from his submissive courtship of the Israel lobby--favorable treatment by the mainstream media--proved to be an illusion."

In the consensus of Washington intelligence sources and diplomatic observers, the real question now is not whether Clinton can overcome his follies; the real issue may turn out to be whether or not this president with his buoyant political will--or, for that matter, any American president--can survive a knock-down drag-out fight with Zionism, its undercover operatives and its attack-ready Washington lobby.

[END OF QUOTING]

So, people ask, why doesn't Clinton clean his own house? Can you possibly imagine what would happen in Washington if he fired Albright, Cohen, etc., etc., etc.? (?????) Well, you who have received the brunt of a backwash like that would make, on a scale of 1 to 10 (and yours being a case for perhaps 2) CAN, and it is insane.

This is a silly thing with stupid cause but it was the opportunity to blow out the Presidency and "they" took it. The resignation of a President IN THE U.S. at THIS TIME would be without precedent for total disaster, no matter what his "screw-around" status might be. Clinton's very survival rests in the hands of YOU-THE-PEOPLE and one tiny screw-up on either part will dump the U.S. into total downfall and disaster. Moral ethics may well enter into your calculations for the NEXT PRESIDENT, but you better hang on for dear life to what you HAVE TODAY for this is THE PRESIDENT WHO CAN TAKE YOU, AT THE LEAST, TO YEAR 2000, AND BOTCH THE TAKE-OVER AND WAR PLANS--*IF YOU-THE-PEOPLE KEEP YOUR HEADS AND SENSES ABOUT YOU, IGNORE BUT WATCH, THE MEDIA, AND CHECK OUT THE GUESTS ON THESE SHOW-AND-TELL NEWS PROGRAMS!*

When you check out the news networks what do you find: Ted Turner, who is now controlled by Hanoi Jane, and MSNBC which is controlled by Time-Warner! **WAKE UP, AMERICA, FOR YOU ARE WITNESSING YOUR OWN DOOM.**

We are going to next write on the next portion of *GLOBAL PARASITES*. You will note that in 1920 Mr. Ford's investigators found that the "Jewish *Protocols* claimed partial fulfillment". That was 78 years ago and they now CLAIM 100% FULFILLMENT WITH ONLY THE FINAL CLOSE-DOWN OF THE POWER STRUCTURE OF THE WORLD TO BE ACCOMPLISHED. *READ IT AND WEEP, READ IT AND ARGUE, OR READ IT AND LET'S USE SOME WISDOM, FOR THAT, READERS, IS ABOUT ALL YOU HAVE LEFT WITH WHICH TO DO ANYTHING.*

I would like to say that what you need to counter the UN takeover for Antichrist is a Global Community union of GENTILES. Yes, keeping in mind that there are ONLY JEWS AND GENTILES (BY THEIR OWN DEFINITION). YOU ARE WATCHING THE PERFECTION OF PURE EVIL AT WORK--ON YOU.

[QUOTING:] PART 14, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

**"JEWISH PROTOCOLS" CLAIM  
PARTIAL FULFILLMENT**

*"With the present instability of all authority, our power will be more unassailable than any other, because it will be invisible until it has gained such strength that no cunning can undermine it. "--Protocol 1.*

*"It is indispensable for our purposes that, as far as possible, wars should bring no territorial advantages. This will shift war to an economic footing... Such a condition of affairs will place both sides under the control of international agents with their million eyes, whose vision is unhampered by any frontiers. Then, our international rights will eliminate national rights in the narrow sense, and will govern the governments as they govern their subjects."* --**Protocol 2.**

As a mere literary curiosity, these documents which are called *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, would exercise a fascination by reason of the terrible completeness of the World Plan which they disclose. But they discourage at every turn the view that they are literature; they purport to be statesmanship, and they provide within their own lines the clue by which their status may be determined. Besides the things they look forward to doing, they announce the things they have done and are doing. If, in looking about the world, it is possible to see both the established conditions and the strong tendencies to which these Protocols allude, it will not be strange if interest in a mere literary curiosity gives way to something like alertness, and it may be alarm.

A few general quotations will serve to illustrate the element of present achievement in the assertions of these documents, and in order that the point may be made clear to the reader the key words will be emphasized.

Take this from **Protocol Nine**:

"In reality there are no obstacles before us. Our super-government *has* such an extra-legal status that it may be called by the energetic and strong word--dictatorship. I can conscientiously say that, at the present time, *we are* the lawmakers. *We create* courts and jurisprudence. We rule with a strong will because *we hold* in our hands the remains of a once strong party, now subjugated by us."

And from the **Eighth Protocol**:

"We will surround our government with a whole world of economists. It is for this reason that *the science of economics is the chief subject of instruction taught by the Jews.* [H: "**Science of economics**" is an oxy-moron. **Manipulated economics is most certainly NOT A SCIENCE. THIS IS JUST ONE MORE WAY TO FOOL YOU NICE HYPNOTIZED PEOPLE. THE SAME IS TRUE OF "RELIGIOUS SCIENCE", "MILITARY SCIENCE", "SOCIAL SCIENCE", ETC.]** We shall be surrounded by a whole **galaxy of bankers, industrialists, capitalists, and especially by millionaires because, actually, everything will be decided by an appeal to figures.**"

These are strong claims, but not too strong for the facts that can be marshaled to illustrate them. They are, however, but an introduction to further claims that are made and equally paralleled by the facts. All through the *Protocols*, as in this quotation from the Eighth, the pre-eminence of the Jews in the teaching of political economy is insisted upon, and the facts bear that out. They are the chief authors of those vagaries which lead the mob after economic impossibilities, and they are also the chief teachers of political economy in our universities, the chief authors of those popular textbooks in the subject, which hold the conservative classes to the fiction that economic *theories* are economic *laws*. The *idea*, the *theory*, as instruments of social disintegration are common to both the university Jew and the Bolshevik Jew. When all this is shown in detail, public opinion upon the importance of academic and radical economics may undergo a change.

And, as claimed in the quotation just given from the ninth Protocol, the Jewish world power

does today constitute a super-government. It is the *Protocol's* own word, and none is more fitting. No nation can get all that it wants, but the Jewish World Power can get all that it wants, even though its demands exceed Gentile equality. "*We are the lawmakers,*" say the *Protocols*, and Jewish influences have been lawmakers in a greater degree than any but the specialists *realize*. In the past ten years **[H: Not to even be able to refer to the next 78 years.]** Jewish international rule, or the power of the group of International Jews, has quite dominated the world. More than that, it has been powerful enough to prevent the passage of salutary laws, and where one law may have slipped through to a place on the statute books, it has been powerful enough to get it interpreted in **a sense that rendered it useless for its purpose**. This, too, can be illustrated by a large collection of **facts**.

**Moreover, the method by which this is done was outlined long ago in the program of which the *Protocols* purport to be an outline.** "*We create courts,*" continues the quotation, and it is followed in other *Protocols* by numerous references to "our judges". There is a Jewish court sitting in a public building in the City of New York every week, and other courts, for the sole advantage and use of this people whose spokesmen deny that they are a "separate people", are in formation everywhere. **[H: From these very sources stems the now well-known and accepted "*Talmudic Law*" replacing any CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.]** The Zionist plan has already been used in some of the smaller European countries to confer an extra-citizenship upon Jews who already enjoy citizenship in the lands of their residence and, in addition to that, a degree of self-rule under the very governments which they demand to protect them. Wherever Jewish tendencies are permitted to work unhindered, the result is not "Americanization", nor "anglicization" nor any other distinctive nationalism, but a strong and ruling reversion back to essential "Judaization".

The "agents" referred to in the first quotation will receive attention in another article. To resume the claims of the *Protocols*: This from the Seventeenth Protocol:

"*We have taken good care long ago to discredit the Gentile clergy and thereby to destroy their mission, which at present might hamper us considerably. Their influence over the people diminishes daily.* **[H: Praise God!]**

"Freedom of conscience has been proclaimed everywhere. Consequently it is only a question of time when the complete crash of the Christian religion will occur. It will be easier still to handle the other religions, but it is too early to discuss this phase of the subject." **[H: That was sometime about the turn of the century for this written Protocol but you can see that NOW THE PURPOSE AND GOAL HAS BEEN TOTALLY BROUGHT INTO CONTROL WITH "JUDEO-CHRISTIAN" AND PROCLAIMED PENTECOSTAL AND OTHER CHRISTIAN ATTITUDES OF RAPTURES AND OUTRIGHT "ZIONISM" AS PROCLAIMED BY SUCH AS JERRY FALWELL WHILE LEADER OF THE CHRISTIAN MAJORITY.]**

This will be of considerable interest, perhaps, to those clergymen who are laboring with Jewish rabbis to bring about some kind of religious union. Such a union would of necessity dispose of Christ as a well-meaning but wholly mistaken Jewish prophet, and thus distinctive Christianity would cease to exist insofar as the "union" was effective. The principal religious aversion of the *Protocols*, however, so far as it is expressed, is against the Catholic Church in general and the pontifical office in particular.

A curious paragraph in this Protocol claims for the Jewish race a particular skill in the art of insult:

"Our contemporary press will expose governmental and religious affairs and the incapacity of

the Gentiles, always using expressions so derogatory as to approach insult, the faculty of employing which is so well known to our race."

This from the **Fifth Protocol**:

"Under our influence the execution of the laws of the Gentiles IS reduced to a minimum. Respect for the law IS undermined by the liberal interpretation we have introduced in this sphere. The courts *decide as we dictate*, even in the most important cases in which are involved fundamental **principles or political issues**, *viewing them in the light in which we present them to the Gentile administration through agents with whom we have apparently nothing in common, through newspaper opinion and other avenues.*

"In Gentile society where *we have* planted discord and Protestantism... "

The word "Protestantism" is evidently not used in the religious or sectarian sense, but to denote a temper of querulous fault-finding destructive of harmonious collective opinion.

This is from the **Fourteenth Protocol**:

"In countries called advanced, *we have created* a senseless, filthy and disgusting literature. For a short time after our entrance into power we shall encourage its existence so that it may show in greater relief the contrast between it and the written and spoken announcements which will emanate from us."

Discussing in the Twelfth Protocol the control of the Press--a subject which must be treated more extensively in another article--the claim is made: [**H: Remember: no television!**]

"*We have attained this at the present time* to the extent that all news is received through several agencies in which it is centralized from all parts of the world. [**H: UPI, AP, World Network, Reuters, etc.**] These agencies will then be to all intents and purposes OUR OWN INSTITUTIONS and WILL PUBLISH ONLY that which we permit."

This from the **Seventh Protocol** bears on the same subject:

"We must force the Gentile governments to adopt measures which will promote our broadly conceived plan, already approaching its triumphant goal, by bringing to bear the pressure of stimulated public opinion, *which has been organized* by us with the help of the so-called 'great power' of the press. With a few exceptions not worth considering, *it is already* in our hands."

To resume the **Twelfth Protocol**:

"If *we have already managed* to dominate the mind of the Gentile society to such a point that almost all see world affairs through the colored lenses of the spectacles which we place before their eyes, and *if now there is not one government with barriers erected against our access* to that which by Gentile stupidity is called *state secrets*, what then will it be when we are the recognized masters of the world in the person of our universal ruler?"

The Jewish nation is the only nation that possesses the secrets of all the rest. No nation long protects a secret which directly concerns another nation, but even so, no nation has all the secrets of all the other nations. Yet it is not too much to say that the International Jews have this knowledge.

Much of it, of course, amounts to nothing and their possession of it does not materially add to their power, but the fact that they have the access, that they can get whatever they want when they want it is the important point--as many a secret paper could testify if it could talk, and many a custodian of secret papers could tell if he would. The real secret diplomacy of the world is that which hands over the world's so-called secrets to a few men who are members of one race. The surface of diplomacy, those activities which get written down in the memoirs of comfortably aging statesmen, those coups and treaties which are given high-sounding fame as if they really were important--that is incomparable with the diplomacy of Judah, and its matchless enginery for worming out the hidden knowledge of every ruling group. The United States is included in all these statements. Perhaps there is no government in the world so completely at their service as is our own at present, their control having been gained during the past five or six years.

The *Protocols* do not regard the dispersal of the Jews abroad upon the face of the Earth as a calamity, but as a providential arrangement by which the world Plan can be the more certainly executed, as seen these words of the **Eleventh Protocol**:

"God gave to us, His Chosen People, as a blessing, the dispersal, and *this which has appeared to all to be our weakness has been our whole strength. It has now brought us to the threshold of universal rule.*"

The claims to accomplishment which are put forth in the Ninth Protocol would be too massive for words were they too massive for concrete realization, but there is a point where the word and the actuality meet and tally.

"In order not to destroy prematurely the Gentile institutions, *we have* laid our efficient hands on them, and rasped the springs of their mechanism. They were formerly in strict and just order, but we have replaced them with a liberal disorganized and arbitrary administration. *We have* tampered with jurisprudence, the franchise, the press, freedom of the person, and, most important of all, education and culture, the corner stone of free existence.

"*We have* misled, stupefied and demoralized the youth of the Gentiles by means of education in principles and theories patently false to us, but which *we have* inspired.

"Above existing laws, without actual change but by distorting them through contradictory interpretations, *we have* created something stupendous in the way of results."

Everyone knows that, in spite of the fact that the air was never so full of theories of liberty and wild declarations of "rights", there has been a steady curtailment of "personal freedom". Instead of being socialized, the people, under a cover of socialistic phrases, are being brought under an unaccustomed bondage to the state. The Public Health is one plea. Various forms of Public Safety are other pleas. Children are hardly free to play nowadays except under play-masters appointed by the State, among whom, curiously enough, an astonishing proportion of Jews manage to find a place. The streets are no longer as free as they were; laws of every kind are hedging upon the harmless liberties of the people. A steady tendency toward systematization, every phase of the tendency based upon some very learnedly stated "principle", has set in, and curiously enough, when the investigator pursues his way to the authoritative center of these movements for the regulation of the people's life, he finds Jews in power. Children are being lured away from the "social center" of the home for other "centers"; they are being led away (and we are speaking of Gentile children--no Gentiles are ever allowed to regulate the lives of Jewish children) from their natural leaders in home, church and school, to institutionalized "centers" and scientific "play spots", under "trained

leaders" whose whole effect, consciously or unconsciously, is to lead the modern child to look to the State, instead of its natural environment, for leadership. All this focuses up to the World Plan for the subjugation of the Gentiles, and if it is not the Jewish World Plan it would be interesting to know why the material for it is so largely Gentile children and the leaders of it so often of the Jewish race.

Jewish liberties are the best safeguarded in the United States. Gentiles take their chance with public matters, but every Jewish community is surrounded by some special protectors who gain special recognition by various devices--political and business threats not the least of them. No public spirited Gentiles are welcomed to the task of regulating the lives of Jewish children. The Jewish community in every city is all-sufficient in itself as far as such activities go. The most secret of all parochial schools are the Jewish schools, whose very locations are not all known to the officials of large cities. The Jew is almost anxious in his efforts to mold the Gentile mind; he insists on being permitted to tell the Gentile what to think, especially about the Jew; he is not averse to influencing general Gentile thought in a manner which, though it comes about by wide circles, works ultimately into the Jewish scheme of things. The anxiety and the insistence, so well known to all who have observed them, are only reflections of the Jew's conviction that his is the superior race and is capable of directing the inferior race--of which there is but one, including the whole non-Jewish world.

Every influence that leads to lightness and looseness in Gentile youth today heads up in a Jewish source. Did the young people of the world devise the "sport clothes" which have had so deleterious an effect on the youth of the times that every publicist has thought it worthy of mention? Those styles come out of Jewish clothing concerns, where certainly art is not the rule nor moral influence the main consideration. The moving picture is an interesting development along such lines as make it a menace to the minds of millions--so serious a menace that it has not escaped observation and condemnation everywhere? Who are the masters of musical jazz in the world? Who direct all the cheap jewelry houses, the bridge-head show parks, the "coney islands", the centers of nervous thrills and looseness? It is possible to take the showy young man and woman of trivial outlook and loose sense of responsibility, and tag them outwardly and inwardly, from their clothing and ornaments to their hectic ideas and hopes, with the same tag, "Made, introduced and exploited by a Jew."

*"We have misled, stupefied, and demoralized the youth of the Gentiles by means of education in principles and theories, patently false to us, but which we have inspired."*

"Principles and theories" do not necessarily imply lofty or even modest intellectual qualities. The youngster who spends his noon hours and evenings at the movies is getting his "principles and theories" just as the more intellectual youngster from a higher grade of society who listens to a Jewish "liberal" expound "sex liberty" and the "control of population" is getting his. The looseness which inheres in these "principles and theories" does not emanate from the Gentile home, or the Gentile church, or from any line of money-making which is filled principally with Gentiles, but from theories, movements and lines of money-making mostly fancied by Jews. This line of accusation could be run much deeper, but it is preferred to restrict it to what is observable by decent eyes everywhere. **[H: Well, these days it is obvious for decent and indecent eyes.]**

And that "the youth of the Gentiles" are the principal victims, and not the youth of the Jews, is also observable. **[H: But please note something, readers: as time passes the same pitfalls happen to the Jewish children as well--and hence the reason near-anarchy HAPPENS. The GENERAL trend moves toward, and then is taken over by, the very things set to TRAP the Gentile. Where do you find the first and most deviant behaviors and the most deaths from the very diseases laid forth to trap the opposition? The unsuspecting first to fall are the poor**



suckers who have no idea what hit them, the drugs, alcohol, and activities of the seamy side of experience and the very breaking of laws established for reasons not **JUST** for religious reasons--but to restrict disease and infections of all kinds. Can you not see that among the **MOST EXPLOITED** for use of the Elite Takers are the homosexuals, the AIDS carriers and infected, the deviant expressions from what was once "the norm". I do not talk of right or wrong--just **LOOK AROUND YOU AT WHO PAYS THAT HIGH, HIGH PRICE OF THESE DOCTRINES.**] While a certain percentage of Jewish youth itself is overcome by this social poison, the percentage is almost nothing compared with the results among the youth of the Gentiles. It is a significant fact that Jews who link this process of enervation of Gentiles with large profits are not themselves, nor are their sons and daughters the victims of this enervation. Jewish youth comes through more proudly and more cleanly than the mass of Gentile youth.

Many a father and mother, many a sound-minded, uncorrupted young person, and thousands of teachers and publicists have cried out against luxury. Many a financier, observing the manner in which the people earned and flung away their money, has warned against *luxury*. Many an economist, knowing that the nonessential industries were consuming men and materials that were necessary to the stabilizing of essential industries; knowing that men are making knick-knacks who should be making steel; knowing that men are engaged in making gewgaws who should be working on the farm; that materials are going into articles that are made only to *sell* and never to *use*, and that materials are thus diverted from the industries that support the people's life--every observer knowing this crazy insistence on luxurious nonessential has lifted up a strong voice against it.

But, according to these *Protocols*, we have been starting at the wrong end. The people, it is true, buy these senseless *nonessentials* which are called *luxuries*. But the people do not devise them. And the people grow tired of them one by one. But the stream of varieties continues--always something else being thrust at the people, dangled before their eyes, set bobbing down the avenue on enough manikins to give the impression that it is "style"; newspaper print and newspaper pictures; movie pictures; stage costumes enough to force the new thing into "fashion" with a kind of force and compulsion which no really worthy essential thing can command.

Where does it come from? What power exists whose long experience and deliberate intent enable it to frivolize the people's minds and tastes and compel them to pay most of their money for it too? Why this spasm of luxury and extravagance through which we have just passed? How did it occur that before luxury and extravagance were apparent, all the material to provoke and inflame them had been prepared beforehand and shipped beforehand, ready for the stampede which also had been prepared?

If the people of the United States would stop to consider, when the useless and expensive thing is offered them--if they would trace its origin, trace the course of the enormous profits made out of it, trace the whole movement to flood the market with uselessness and extravagance and thus demoralize the Gentile public financially, intellectually and socially--if, in short, it could be made clear to them that Jewish financial interests are not only pandering to the loosest elements in human nature, but actually engaged in a calculated effort to render them loose in the first place and keep them loose--it would do more than anything else to stop this six fold waste--the waste of material, the waste of labor, the waste of Gentile money, the waste of Gentile mind, the waste of Jewish talents, and the worse than waste of Israel's real usefulness to the world. [**H: And this was BEFORE there was an "Israel".**]

We say the *Gentile public* is the victim of this stimulated trade in useless luxuries. Did you ever see Jewish people so victimized? They might wear very noticeable clothing, but its **price and its**

**quality agree.** They might wear rather large diamonds, but they are diamonds. The Jew is not the victim of the Jew, the craze for luxuries is just like the "coney island" crowd to him; he knows what attracts them and the worthlessness of it.

And it is not so much the financial loss that is to be mourned, nor yet the atrocities committed upon good taste, but the fact that the silly Gentile crowds walk into the net willingly, even gaily, supposing the change of the fashion to be inevitable as the coming of spring, supposing the new demand on their earnings to be as necessary and as natural as taxes. The crowds think that somehow they have a part in it, when their only part is to pay, and then pay again for the new extravagance when the present one palls. There are men in this country who know two years ahead what the frivolities and extravagances of the people will be, because they decree what shall be. These things are all strictly business, demoralizing to the Gentile majority, enriching to the Jewish minority.

Look at the **Sixth Protocol** for a sidelight on this:

This is an excerpt from a longer passage dealing with the plans by which the people's interest could be swung from political to industrial questions, how industry could be made insecure and unfair by the introduction of speculation into its management, and finally how against this condition the people could be rendered restless and helpless. Luxury was to be the instrument:

"To destroy Gentile industry, we shall, as an incentive to this speculation, *encourage among the Gentiles a strong demand for luxuries--all enticing luxuries.*"

And in the **First Protocol**:

"Surely we cannot allow our own people to come to this. The people of the Gentiles are stupefied with spirituous liquors..."

Incidentally, the profits of spirituous liquors flow in large amounts to Jewish pockets. The history of the whisky ring in this country will show this. Historically, *the whole prohibition movement may be described as a contest between Gentile and Jewish capital*, and in this instance, thanks to the Gentile majority, the Gentiles won.

The amusement, gambling, jazz song, scarlet fiction, side show, cheap-dear fashions, flashy jewelry, and every other activity that lived by reason of an invisible pressure upon the people, and that exchanged the most useless of commodities for the prices that would just exhaust the people's money surplus and no more--every such activity has been under the mastery of Jews.

They may not be conscious of their participation in any wholesale demoralization of the people. They may only be conscious of "easy money". They may sometimes yield to surprise as they contrast the silly Gentiles with their own money-wise and fabric-wise and metal-wise Jews. But however this may be, there is the conception of a program by which a people may be deliberately devastated materially and spiritually, and yet kept pleasant all the time--and there also is the same program translated into terms of daily transactions and for the most part, perhaps altogether under control of the members of one race.

*Issue of August 7, 1920*

[END OF QUOTING]

I really don't think the contents of these writings need further comment for it is all laid before you NOW, in this present time of total chaos. The plan is to let you continue to think your economy is strong, your nation strong and then wham, bam, wipe you out, citizens. It is the oldest story in the world. Satan never has to change his plan--EVER. People fall for the same old trash over and over again, and when it is all over and the masses remaining after the holocaust is finished, find they have moved right back to the darkest of ages and values are noted far too late for easy recovery. This has been so since the very beginning of third-dimensional life forms.

Why don't you KNOW THIS? Because YOU kill the messengers and hide the messages. You listen to the sham pipers and follow their tune right off the cliff edge and into the pit. Then, only then, from the pit, do you call out to GOD, who you no longer even recognize in your confusion--to save you while you forgot/forget that GOD SAVES NOBODY. IF YOU WOULD BE SAVED, IT IS FOR SELF--FOR YOU ARE THE MIRACLE AND IT IS YOU WHO MUST LEARN THE LESSONS.

ONCE THE PIT IS FILLED AND COVERED, DEAR ONES, THE UNDOING OF IT WILL BE IMPOSSIBLE. THEREFORE, THE WORK MUST BE DONE WHILE YOU ARE LIVING, BREATHING AND *THINKING (IN WISDOM), VIABLE PERSONS.*

The trails of tears have flowed properly for the takeover by these Antichrist characters. Next must come the total control of the Americas and so-called CHRISTIANS because the players KNOW THEY CANNOT TAKE THE ARAB AND OTHER NATIONS THROUGH THIS OUTRAGEOUS PLOY FOR THEY ARE TOO WISE AND TOO DIFFICULT TO BRING INTO SUBMISSION.

Therefore, the point is to gain control of the most "powerful" arms suppliers and technologically brilliant to GO FIGHT THE WARS (these Antichrists NEVER FIGHT OR PLACE THEMSELVES INTO HARM'S WAY AND THAT IS PART OF THE WHOLE SCHEME)--SO THAT THEY ARE THE ONLY ONES LEFT TO RULE.

At this very time it is supposed to be that there is such unrest through the lies and manipulations that the WAR of wars is supposed to HAPPEN. Then YOU go forth and blow your own souls right off the planet and spill your blood--but utilize those WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION YOU HOLD ON THE WORLD, ONE PLACE AT A TIME, UNTIL EVEN YOUR CLOSEST ALLIES DON'T NOTICE YOU KILLED THEM.

"Diplomacy backed by force" silly children, IS NOT *DIPLOMACY.*

The Arab (all who would even note Mohammed or Islamic values) is FIRST SCHEDULED FOR DEMOLITION IN MOST HORRENDOUS WAYS, THEN WILL COME THE HINDUS, BUDDHISTS, CATHOLIC SO-CALLED CHRISTIANS (IN THE OUTER WORLD'S NATIONS), THEN CHINA AND ALL OF ASIA--AND FINALLY, BACK TO "WHITE" RUSSIA. THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH WHITE VS. BLACK. AND WHO IS SUPPOSED TO PULL OFF THIS MIGHTY FORCE OF POWER? THE UNITED STATES, BRITAIN, AND ALLIES--FOR THE JEWISH NEW HOMELAND AND ANTICHRIST OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER.

Think on these things, especially if you think yourself to be Jewish--for I repeat, the "little" Jewish persons are going to again be the first to be genocided--as usual. Adonai.

# **IMPORTANT** **ANNOUNCEMENT**

Hatonn has asked us to run the Freedman letter to Goldstein, [*Facts Are Facts, From One "Jew" To Another; Long Buried Truth Must Be Revealed*] OFTEN [A letter from a Jew to a Jew covering information that is **critical for all to know if we are to restore any political freedom to our dying world**]. It offers good information along with excellent reference material. HE FEELS IT URGENTLY NECESSARY TO KEEP CONSTANT REMINDERS BEFORE OUR READERS, AND THE JEWS, THAT WE ARE ONLY **REPRINTING** INFORMATION. THIS IS OUR KEY TO SURVIVAL AS A PAPER AND AS PEOPLE.

In this letter Mr. Freedman covers **many** topics several of which are: Jesus Was Not A Jew--Some Of The History Of The Modern Day Jew And His Origins--Some Of The History Of The *Talmud* [Some Very Important Quotes From It Including Permission For Sexual Attacks Upon Babies, Etc.]--The *Kol Nidre* Oath. **The Very Harmful Influence The *Talmud* and *Kol Nidre* Writings Have Exerted On The Entire World For Centuries--The Jews Are Not Any Part Of The "Lost Ten Tribes".**

This letter takes over 100 pages in a Journal so we will only give the sources for it: Phoenix Journal #223 *BIRTHING THE PHOENIX*, Vol. 2 & #233 *RISE OF ANTICHRIST*, Vol. 5 or *CONTACT* March 17, 1998, Vol. 20, #4.

## **Appendix Of Definitions**

*Excerpt from HATONN writing 3/7/98 #1:*

My goodness, only a couple of weeks into our topic and the sky is falling Rennie-Pennie. By the way, "You and all your readers are anti-Semitic," say a couple of the writers, "this is hate literature..." and "Tell us again your definitions because these writings are made to look like research."

I don't care what they look like, readers. I am using material researched in the early '20s. I don't, nor did I, make the rules of action for those calling themselves Jews. I don't call them Jews; they named themselves that over a thousand years after Immanuel, the one you call Jesus, was long gone. They priorly called themselves "The Serpent People", also known as Khazarian (many spellings of this word), and when a "*religion*" was required of them--they took up the Judean "faith" (misdefinition). They were commercially oriented and warriors, with the more blood spilled the better--and they called "that" sacrifice. They never pretended to be Semites until in the modern last century and a half when it could be used as a tool against Gentiles. There is no recognized "in-between"; there are, according to the self-styled, self-named "Jews", **ONLY JEWS AND GENTILES**.

You see, readers, I can't help it if you know **NO HISTORY**--for it is all available for your investigation.

If we deal with this confusion, also realize that **CONFUSION** is the number one tool of this group of nomadic peoples.

**SEMITE:** 1. A member of any of the peoples supposedly descended from Shem, the eldest son of Noah. (So the "Jews" of today **ARE NOT SEMITES**, nor are the Judeans or Hebrews.) 2. a Jew (but note this is only a **MODERN** classification in the Encyclopedia). 3. **a member of ANY OF THE VARIOUS ANCIENT AND MODERN** peoples originating in SW Asia, including the Sumerians, Akkadians, Canaanites, Phoenicians, and ARABS.

**SEMITIC:** 1. An important **SUBfamily** of **AFRO-ASIATIC** languages, including Akkadian,

Arabic, Aramaic and Hebrew.

**SEMITICS:** The study of the Semitic languages, literature, etc.

**[H: So, perhaps this is where they get bent out of shape for, in studying the languages, history and literature, we find that the "Jew" does not fit into these categories--as Semites.]**

**SEMITISM:** A term coined to refer to the "modern" Jew. It is a word or idiom peculiar to, derived from, or characteristic of a Semitic language. **[H: But YIDDISH is a separate language MADE to fit the Talmudic people and laws.]**

So, the controlled meaning set forth by the so-called Jews: A disparaging or antagonistic attitude or hostile act toward a Jewish person, Jewish organization or the religion of Judaism. **[H: This, further, only refers to the "Yiddish" "Jew" and not the Middle East Judeans or Semites. Remember that Semites come from the Tribe of Shem—and these people in point DO NOT.]**

**COVERT INTENT:** Used to disarm, intimidate and confuse Christians (GENTILES OF ALL RACES, CREEDS, COLOR) into submission to Jews at the expense and eventual destruction of Christianity.

**ACTUAL MEANING:** OPPOSITION TOWARD PEOPLE OF SEMITIC (SHEMITIC) ORIGIN. Most people calling themselves Jews are NOT Semitic in origin. **"SEMITISM" HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH JEWS, JEWISH ORGANIZATIONS OR JUDAISM.**

**JEW:** The word "Jew" did not appear in actual use until over 1000 years after the resurrection of "Jesus". It means someone who adheres to the religion of Judaism (modern PHARISAISM). The modern "Jew" of "Jewish Culture" has NO RACIAL SIGNIFICANCE. "Jews" are some of the most racially MIXED people on Earth due to their multi-national history, their practice of interracial marriage, as well as their contemporary international bias. Over 90% of "Jews" today are descended from the ancient Khazars--a racially NON-SEMITIC people from East Europe.

***WE DID NOT MAKE THE RULES OR THE DEFINITIONS; WE ARE JUST REPRINTING SOME WORK OF A VERY FAMOUS MAN OF YOUR OWN AMERICAN HISTORY--HENRY FORD, AND OTHER ASTUTE HISTORIANS OF PRIOR DAYS.***

We need one more definition:

**GENTILE:** The modern CONTROLLED MEANING is *anyone who is not a Jew of the above classification.*

**TRUE MEANING:** From the LATIN word "gentilis", meaning "of the same gens, clan or race." In the *Bible* the more modern world Gentile is a mistranslation of the actual *Old Testament* Hebrew word "goy", and the Greek *New Testament* word "ethnos"--both meaning "NATION OR PEOPLE" (ANY nation or people).

**CAHILLA:** The ones from the Cosmos without recognition of God Creator or the Christ concept. They never went beyond pretense to be anything else. They were from the Serpent Races and called themselves Serpent People when they were placed on this planet. They now call themselves Jews, even usurping the very heritage of some HUMANS already on your planet. They serve god Lucifer-Satan and facts are facts.

**YIDDISH:** NOT Hebrew. Yiddish is a language created for the self-styled parties who came to call themselves Jews and to suit the needs of the *TALMUD* AND BE UTILIZED IN THE *PROTOCOLS*. It is much like using a code as in World War II when Native language was used and could not be deciphered. How much do you think, as a Russian, you might

**understand of Lakota Sioux? By using the *Talmud* and Yiddish--everyone, including the Hebrew-speaking Jews, could be fooled until it was too late to change horses.**

3/24/98 #1 HATONN

Keep the following definition handy for continual reference please:

**ANTICHRIST:** 1. a particular personage or power, variously identified or explained, who is conceived of as appearing in the world as the principal antagonist of Christ. 2. An opponent of Christ, a person or power antagonistic to Christ and/or Christ's teaching. **3. A person or power who discounts or denies or ignores the presence or teachings of Christ in God's instructions and which or who practices that which is considered to be not "christian" in attitude or function.** **4. Satanists and those of any "order" who discount goodly and socially acceptable, as "christian", attitudes and set themselves up as authority to present interpretations of behaviors and teachings other than those of Christ as accepted as the Spiritual attitude of goodness.**

ALSO A POWER OR PERSON WHO RECOGNIZES A, OR MULTIPLES OF, GOD, BUT NOT THE GOD OF CHRIST TEACHINGS.

ONE WHO INTERPRETS THE ACCEPTED LAWS OF GOD IN A PERSONAL OR LEGAL DEVIATION OF SAME WHICH THEN PRESENTS AS A NEGATIVE ASPECT THRUST UPON HIMSELF OR ANOTHER.

THESE LAWS OF GOD IN EACH "GOOD" OR "GODLY" RELIGION OR SPIRITUAL ACCEPTANCE ARE BASED ON FUNDAMENTAL VALUES OF GOOD MORALITY, EQUAL JUSTICE, RESPECT, REVERENCE AND FUNCTION IN THE WAY OF THE "GOLDEN RULE": "DO UNTO OTHERS AS YOU WOULD HAVE OTHERS DO UNTO YOU."

**"CHRIST":** A Spiritual "state of being" in goodness.

**"ANTICHRIST":** That which is humanistically related to non-Spiritual thought and actions.

Teachers of the Christ "way" in the human physical form are classified as "christians" but the beings most prominently offered as "Christs" are NOT. They are teachers assuming the laws of God/Christ. This includes Jesus, Buddha, Mohammed, etc. Most of these laws as written in the accepted "Holy Books" are grossly tampered and misrepresent the actual instructions of God, in order to more closely fit the wishes and desires of the human individual or social "order" of any group or groups.

An organization or body of people has NO ACTUAL SPIRITUAL IDENTITY OTHER THAN "DOCTRINE". CHRISTIAN, FOR EXAMPLE, IS A STATE OF BEING OF ONLY AN INDIVIDUAL THOUGHT OR ACTION AS THOUGHT OR EXPRESSED BY AN INDIVIDUAL, INDEPENDENT PERSON.

AN ANTICHRISTIAN PERSON IS ANYONE WHO WOULD USURP OR INFLICT THROUGH PERSUASION OR FORCE HIS OPINION OR ACTION UPON THE WILL OR WISHES OF ANOTHER.

Antichrist has no selective ethnic, racial, national or color identification. Creed is the deciding factor and that is recognized in intent and/or action expressed.

Antichrist is usually defined as Atheistic, Agnostic, Unchristian, Immoral and Unlawful, a Usurper, and several other directly identifiable attributes within or expressed by an individual in a negative consideration.

Antichrist is basically that which is in opposition to the accepted teachings of Christ/God within the laws as accepted as guidelines expressed as the Ten Commandments of the Christian following

of the New Testament or the Hebrew teachings of the Old Testament.

Any CHURCH or person who deviates from these accepted laws as presented and accepted as Christ principles is, by definition, Antichrist. A person may proclaim Christ as an entity, but Christ is only an individual "state of being in Spiritual awareness".

**CHRISTED:** One who is SPIRITUALLY in alignment and intent within God Creator and deviates not from the moral, ethical, and lawful aspects of Christ-like teachings.

This could go on and on for pages into the hundreds--but suffice it to say that you have two working "parties" in human expression: those who are Spiritually accepting of soul as relative to God, and those who deny that relationship and are basically limited to Humanistic intent and thoughts.

Bad and good, good and evil are accepted terms defining intent and actions of individuals and often erroneously applied to groups. A group is made up of individuals who usually adopt a singular or very similar ideology. In every instance a group is a gathering or clump of individuals--perhaps bearing no similarity.

**JUDGMENT:** Only ACTIONS of individuals can be judged. A person is simply a person from which actions take place through intent: good, bad, or otherwise. To judge another is but to judge SELF for SELF is the only basis upon which JUDGMENT can be made--utilizing the laws as set forth by man in legislative forum or as accepted societally as being goodly laws of Deity or Spiritual Acceptance. In a court of law a PERSON should not be JUDGED, but rather, the actions of that INDIVIDUAL or MULTIPLES ACTING IN ONE EXPRESSION AS A WHOLE, are the factors for JUDGMENT. INTENT to ACT, until action is verified, is not a judged offense unless that intent is EXPRESSED IN SOME MANNER. MAN WORKS ON PHYSICAL EXPRESSION ONLY AND GOD/CHRIST WORKS ONLY ON THE MERITS OR DEMERITS OF "INTENT" IN CONNECTION WITH EXPRESSED THOUGHT OF/TO ACTION.

Now, I am asked for some examples. Fine, there are so many examples of every little illicit and unlawful action taking place in high places as to be a mass of confusion. The actions are all you have with which to consider INTENT of any individual. So, let us consider President Bill Clinton and his seemingly unique approach to sexual relationships. He goes to Church and it seems ANY church is fine with him. He clutches his "Holy Bible" in one hand and his "pretend" loving wife on his other arm. But he goes forth and breaks EVERY ONE OF THE LAWS OF GOD, even as laid forth by man as acceptable behavior.

Perhaps you need refreshing as to those Ten Commandments. I can do that but you will see that some of them are simply tossed in for the CONVENIENCE AND POWER CONTROL by MAN over others desired to be under control.

- \* You shall have no other gods before Me.
- \* You shall not misuse the name of the Lord, your God, for the Lord will not hold anyone guiltless who misuses His name.
- \* Remember the Sabbath day by keeping it holy.
- \* Honor your father and your mother, so that you may live long in the land the Lord your God is giving you.
- \* You shall not **kill**.
- \* You shall not commit adultery.
- \* You shall not steal.
- \* You shall not give false testimony against your neighbor.
- \* You shall not covet that which is another's. You shall not covet another's spouse or any property of another.

I have chosen to briefly outline those given in Exodus of your Old Testament for those are the ones which should be identified as being Hebrew oriented. I use the term "Hebrew" for I know no people calling themselves "Jews" who adhere to these laws today.

I, further, note most Christians not adhering to these Commandments TODAY.

Please note that even in the *Old Testament* the commands are not ended with "EXCEPT IF, WHEN, BUT, OR..."

Now, readers, IF THESE ACTUALLY BE THE INSTRUCTIONS OF "THE LORD", WHAT HAVE WE GOING HERE TODAY? AND if these be the accepted teachings of JESUS CHRIST, what can you make of the deviations FROM THESE LAWS? And how do you equate your opinions or interpretations against, or within, any OTHER religion than your own who assembled your opinions FOR YOU?

AND NO, I DO NOT CONSIDER THESE TO BE THE LAWS OF GOD. YOU ARE BIRTHED KNOWING, WITHIN, THE LAWS OF RIGHT AND THE INTENT OF WRONG. AND THEN, IN EACH LEVEL OF LEARNING OR GROWING INTO TRUTH, YOU CAN BEGIN TO SEPARATE THAT WHICH IS "MORAL" FROM THAT WHICH IS SOCIETAL, CULTURAL OR TRADITIONAL "MORES".

All written material is MAN-MADE. THOUGHT is of God and one can proclaim that his thoughts or the written word comes from God—but language and the activity of writing is human physical action. The actual expression in writing is that which must be JUDGED, not even the writer or printer or seer can be judged--ONLY THE WORD.

Now, let us consider and use some simple deductions in REASONING and LOGIC. We are now talking about two groups of individuals forming identifiable groupings OF RELIGION AND RELIGIOUS ASPECTS: Jews and Christians. This is to keep our writings from being confusing, if at all possible, for we are not going to discuss, here, OTHER RELIGIONS.

If the *TEN COMMANDMENTS* are the basis upon which the Israelites AND THE Christians foundation their RELIGION, what went wrong? If, as currently acceptable in modern RELIGIOUS PRACTICE, exceptions are made of the ONLY LAWS HANDED DOWN, AS THESE RELIGIONS PRESUME, ASSUME, AND PROJECT UPON OTHERS AS TEACHINGS—WHAT HAPPENED TO THE PRACTICE OF ABIDING BY THESE LAWS WHICH MAKE YOU TO BE CONSIDERED A JUDEAN OR A CHRISTIAN?

Now, also consider this: the Hebrew Israelites did not and do not accept EMMANUEL ESU OF THE HOUSE OF JOSEPH AS THE MESSIAH. Saul of Tarsus then labeled the man, who was thought to be Christed, Jesus. This happened AFTER, long after, the absence of the actual Emmanuel Esu of the House of Joseph. So, we can call him "Jesus" if that pleases you--or you can call that individual human energy form ANYTHING YOU PLEASE. But HOW you call him is the point of the writing here.

It is then made clear that the *NEW TESTAMENT* was written some 300 years AFTER the moving on, whatever, of this person, even if you call him Jesus. The Gospels were compiled, as was the New Testament, into Arabic, Aramaic and some Hebrew languages, then into other languages such as Greek, etc. The final translations were into German and the German scholars chose and assembled the New Testament. Then the books were further tampered with and translated, as to please the wondrous King James, into ENGLISH. Now, there are hundreds of versions of re-translated material to suit every sect and cult that comes along.

The point here, however, is that the Israelite Hebrews believed in the *Old Testament* and honored a book or scrolls they called the *Torah*. The Christians honored the book called the *New Testament*. So, from these opposite and estranged ideas of one denying this Christ messiah and the other accepting this Christ messiah AS THE GOD--how do you come to a merging of these opposing ideas? Well, THE WAY YOU DO IT IS TO DENY AND ACCEPT BOTH AS ADJUSTED TO THE NEED OF THE PRESENTER AND PRACTITIONER. And, in that tradition, the Christian accepts everything good or bad in the *Old Testament* which supposedly was



no longer valid with the birth of Jesus. But Jesus wasn't the "MAN'S" name. Then later you find that this after-named "Jesus" is the expected MESSIAH of the Illuminati and the Freemasonic cornerstone of their temple. WHOSE TEMPLE? The TALMUDISTS! They call themselves Jews-- but they are NOT. They call themselves anything and everything they choose to make their humanistic practice of Luciferian behavior the LAW OF THE LAND.

In this evolvment BOTH Hebrew and Christian ideals have been totally usurped and LOST. It does not matter WHAT you call the opposing entities--the facts remain the same, don't they?

Just as a little rule of thumb on these topics as to religion, spiritual, or whatever you are doing: they are THREE DIFFERENT THINGS, OF WHICH, USUALLY, NONE ARE GODLY, BUT RATHER, STRUCTURED AND GUIDELINED BY SOME HUMAN PERSON.

HUMAN is conceived and birthed (created) knowing right from wrong as part of his very being. So what happens? Indeed, what does happen to that perfect soul and mind?

Salu, Hatonn.

[The list of definitions will be added to in future Journals as they are revealed to us.]